

the submitted Rate of Progress SIP for future conformity determinations. These budgets also apply to the Atlantic City moderate ozone nonattainment area.

DATES: This finding is effective June 18, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melanie A. Zeman, Mobile Source Team, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-4022, e-mail address: zeman.melanie@epa.gov.

The finding and the response to comments will be available at EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 2 sent a letter to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection on May 23, 2001 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted rate of progress plan (dated April 11, 2001) for the New Jersey portions of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut and Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton severe nonattainment areas are adequate for conformity purposes. This finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 23, 2001.

Kathleen C. Callahan,

Acting Regional Administrator Region 2.

[FR Doc. 01-13780 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6618-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review

Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-G36152-NM Rating LO, Santa Fe National Forest, Santa Fe Municipal Watershed Project, Severe Crown Fire Reduction and Sustainable Forest and Watershed Conditions Restoration, Implementation, Pecos Wilderness to Cochitti Lake, Santa Fe National Forest, Santa Fe County, NM.

Summary

EPA has no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-BIA-K60031-NV Rating EC2, Moapa Paiute Energy Center/Associated Facilities Construction, Operation and Maintenance of a 760-Megawatt (MW) Baseload Natural Gas-Fired Combined Cycle Power Plant, Land Lease and Water Use Approval, R-O-W Grants, Temporary Use, COE Section 10/404 and EPA NPDES Permits, Moapa River Indian Reservation and BLM Lands, Clark County, NY.

Summary

EPA expressed concerns, and requested additional information regarding: lack of evaluation for

reasonable alternatives, impacts to groundwater resources, air quality impacts, and endangered species act compliance.

ERP No. D-BOP-G81010-LA Rating LO, Pollock Federal Correctional Institution, Construction and Operation, near Town of Pollock, Grant Parish, LA.

Summary

EPA expressed no objection to the project proposal.

ERP No. D-BOP-K80043-AZ Rating EC2, Southern Arizona Federal Correctional Facility, Construction and Operation, Pima and Yuma Counties, AZ.

Summary

EPA expressed concerns regarding the lack of detail relating to specific site location information and potential impacts of the prison industry component of the project. EPA requested additional information on those areas, and also requested additional analysis of the "no action" alternative.

ERP No. D-IBR-J39029-SD Rating EC2, Angostura Unit—(Dam, Reservoir and Irrigation Facilities) Renewal of a Long-Term Water Service Contract, Cheyenne River Basin, Pine Ridge Reservation, Bismarck County, SD.

Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns over the level of analysis of direct and indirect impacts, and the lack of information regarding the cumulative effects of the Angostura project and the means to mitigate the significant impacts of each alternative.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K61145-CA Programmatic EIS—Ansel Adams, John Muir and Dinkey Lakes Wildernesses, Proposed New Management Direction, Amending the Land and Resource Management Plans for the Inyo and Sierra National Forests, Implementation, Inyo, Madera, Mono and Fresno Counties, CA.

Summary

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-IBR-L28008-ID Arrowrock Dam Outlet Works Rehabilitation, Construction and Operation, To Remove 10 Lower Level Ensign Valves and Replace with 10 Clamshell Gates, Boise River, City of Boise, ID.

Summary

EPA is pleased that the final EIS includes a modification from the draft EIS which reduces the likelihood of

significant impacts to water quality and fish. However, EPA continues to have objections because the final EIS does not clearly state how much the modification would reduce the potential for turbidity and lacks a quantitative estimate of suspended solids, new information about monitoring and mitigation, and a greater description of environmental impacts and alternatives analyses.

ERP No. F-JUS-K81010-AZ Pinal County Private Detention Facility, To Develop and Operate a Pre-Trail Detention Facility, Pinal County, CA.

Summary

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Regulations

ERP No. R-BLM-A99217-00 43 CFR Subpart 3809—Surface Management Regulations for Locatable Mineral Operations; Proposed Suspension.

Summary

EPA expressed concern that the bonding and financial assurance requirements be adequate to ensure that states and the federal government are not required to pay reclamation and clean up costs for abandoned mine sites. EPA recommends that the financial assurance requirements in the current regulation not be suspended but be continued.

Dated: May 29, 2001.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-13829 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6618-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa
Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements
Filed May 21, 2001 Through May 25, 2001
Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010175, DRAFT EIS, AFS, ID, Hidden Cedar Project, Road Construction and Watershed Restoration, Idaho Panhandle National Forest, St. Joe Ranger District, Benewah, Shoshone, Clearwater and Latah Counties, ID, Comment Period Ending: July 16, 2001, Contact: Kimberly Johnson (208) 245-6072.

EIS No. 010186, FINAL EIS, AFS, MT, Maudlow-Toston Post-Fire Salvage Sale, Harvesting Burnt Timber, Implementation, Helena National Forest, Townsend Ranger District, Broadway County, MT, Wait Period Ending: July 02, 2001, Contact: Jerry Meyer (406) 449-5201.

EIS No. 010187, DRAFT EIS, USA, TX, Programmatic EIS—Fort Sam Houston, Camp Bullis, and Canyon Lake Recreation Area Master Plan, Implementing Revisions to the Existing 1988 Land Use Plan, City of San Antonio, TX, Comment Period Ending: July 16, 2001, Contact: Greg Brewer (793) 692-9220.

EIS No. 010188, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, Burned Area Recovery, Proposal to Reduce Fuels, Improve Watershed Conditions and Reforest Burned Lands, Sula, Darby, West Fork and Stevensville Ranger Districts, Bitterroot National Forest, Ravalli County, MT, Comment Period Ending: July 16, 2001, Contact: Craig Bobzien (406) 363-7100.

EIS No. 010189, FINAL EIS, AFS, OR, South Bend Weigh and Safety Station Establishment, Special Use Permit for Construction, Maintenance and Operation, Deschute National Forest Lands along US 97 near the Newberry National Volcanic Monument, Deschutes County, OR, Wait Period Ending: July 02, 2001, Contact: Chris Mickle (541) 383-4769.

EIS No. 010190, FINAL EIS, BLM, UT, CO, NM, Williams, Questar, Kern River Pipeline Project, To Approve a Petroleum Products Pipeline, and one or two Natural Gas Pipelines and To Amend Forest Plan, UT, NM, and CO, Wait Period Ending: July 02, 2001, Contact: LaVerne Steah (801) 539-4114.

EIS No. 010191, FINAL EIS, AFS, MN, Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness Fuel Treatment, Implementation, Superior National Forest, Cook, Lake and St. Louis, MN, Wait Period Ending: July 02, 2001, Contact: Joyce Thompson (218) 626-4317.

EIS No. 010192, DRAFT EIS, BPA, OR, Condon Wind Project, To Execute One or More Power Purchase and Transmission Services Agreements To Acquire and Transmit up to the Full Electrical Output, NPDES Permits and Right-of-Way Permit for Public Land, Gilliam County, OR, Comment Period Ending: July 16, 2001, Contact: Sarah T. Branum (503) 230-5115.

EIS No. 010193, FINAL EIS, FAA, Programmatic EIS-Licensing Launch Vehicles, Implementation, Issuing a Launch License, Wait Period Ending:

July 20, 2001, Contact: Michon Washington (202) 267-9305.

Dated: May 29, 2001.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01-13830 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00722; FRL-6786-8]

Meeting on Consumer Awareness Program for Chromated Copper Arsenicals--Treated Wood; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing a June 7th meeting which will focus on the Consumer Awareness Program and a new proposed action plan developed by the Chromated Copper Arsenicals (CCA)-treated wood industry to strengthen dissemination of information regarding proper consumer use and handling precautions to all purchasers and users of CCA-treated wood products. This meeting is a follow-up to two meetings held on May 9, 2001, concerning the consumer awareness program for dissemination of information to consumers on the proper use and handling of CCA-treated wood products. The first meeting was between the Agency and public interest groups (Beyond Pesticides and Environmental Working Group), while representatives of the American Wood Preservers Institute, Home Depot, Lowe's, the National Lumber and Building Materials Dealers Association, and the manufacturers of CCA attended the second meeting. A representative from the University of Florida and the Florida Department of Agriculture were also in attendance at both meetings. The purpose of the May 9th meetings was to evaluate current efforts for informing the public about the safe use and handling of CCA-treated wood.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Thursday, June 7, 2001, from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m.

Requests to participate in the meeting must be received by EPA on or before June 6, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Hilton Crystal City, 2399 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

Requests to participate in this meeting may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please