Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA’s rulemakings provisions governing the application processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public’s awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA’s regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before June 18, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on any petition to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. You must identify the docket number FAA–200–XXXX at the beginning of your comments. If you wish to receive confirmation that FAA received your comments, include a self-addressed, and stamped postcard. You may also submit comments through the Internet to http://dms.dot.gov. You may review the public docket containing the petition, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1–800–647–5527) is on the plaza level of the NASSIF Building at the Department of Transportation at the above address. Also, you may review public dockets on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Forest Rawls (202) 267–8033, Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267–7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267–8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91. Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 22, 2001.

Donald P. Byrne,
Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

**Petitioner:** Moody Aviation.
**Section of 14 CFR Affected:** 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

**Description of Relief Sought/Disposition:** To permit Moody Aviation to conduct local sightseeing flights at Elizabethton Municipal Airport for one day during the annual Covered Bridge Celebration in June 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 05/09/2001, Exemption No. 7529.

**Petitioner:** Ashland County Airport and Johnston Aviation.
**Section of 14 CFR Affected:** 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

**Description of Relief Sought/Disposition:** To permit Ashland County Airport and Johnston Aviation to conduct local sightseeing flights at the Ashland County Airport for the annual Open House in July 2001 and the Fall Foliage flights in October 2001 for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 05/09/2001, Exemption No. 7528.
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**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of dispositions of certain petitions.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91. Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 22, 2001.

Donald P. Byrne,
Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

**Petitioner:** The Boeing Company.
**Section of 14 CFR Affected:** 14 CFR 25.765(h)(2), 25.807(d)(7), 25.813(e), and 25.853(d).

**Description of Relief Sought:** To provide BFGoodrich with relief from the requirements of 14 CFR 25.813(e) pertaining to the installation of sliding pocket doors in partitions between passenger compartments in Bombardier BD–700–1A10 Global Express airplanes used for corporate transport.

**Disposition:**

**Description of Relief Sought:** To permit business jet interiors to be designed for “private, not-for-hire use” on Boeing Model 737–700 airplanes.

**Dispositions of Petitions**

**Petitioner:** Delta Air Lines, Inc.
**Section of 14 CFR Affected:** 14 CFR 121.339(a)(3).

**Description of Relief Sought:** To permit Delta to replace its approved pyrotechnic signaling device with a hand-held, high-intensity, strobe-scoping light source (Aviation Distress Signal).

**Disposition:**

**Petitioner:** BFGoodrich.
**Section of 14 CFR Affected:** 14 CFR 25.813(e).

**Description of Relief Sought:** To provide BFGoodrich with relief from the requirements of 14 CFR 25.813(e).

**Disposition:**

**Petitioner:** The Boeing Company.
**Section of 14 CFR Affected:** 14 CFR 25.765(h)(2), 25.807(d)(7), 25.813(e), and 25.853(d).

**Description of Relief Sought:** To permit business jet interiors to be designed for “private, not-for-hire use” on Boeing Model 737–800 airplanes.

**Disposition:**

**Description of Relief Sought:** To provide BFGoodrich with relief from the requirements of 14 CFR 25.813(e) pertaining to the installation of sliding pocket doors in partitions between passenger compartments in Bombardier BD–700–1A10 Global Express airplanes used for corporate transport.

**Disposition:**
Fundraising airlifts in May 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 05/08/2001, Exemption No. 7527.


Petitioner: Wings of Denver Flying Club, Aspen Flying Club, Key Lime Flights, and Barnstormers Aero Services.


Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit TNT to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft.

Grant, 05/07/2001, Exemption No. 7525.


Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Edwards to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft.

Grant, 05/07/2001, Exemption No. 7524.


Petitioner: Arctic Circle Air Service, Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit ACAS to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft.

Grant, 05/07/2001, Exemption No. 7523.

Docket No.: 2001–9492.
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Aging Transport Systems Rulemaking Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of new taskings for the Aging Transport Systems Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the new taskings assigned to and accepted by the Aging Transport Systems Rulemaking Advisory Committee. This notice informs the public of the activities of the Committee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Huber, Manager, Program Management Branch, ANM–114, Executive Director of ATSRAC, Federal Aviation Administration, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW, Renton, WA 98055; telephone (425) 227–2589 or fax (425) 227–1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In response to the White House Commission on Aviation Safety and Security, the FAA formed the Aging Non-Structural Systems Study Team, which developed the FAA’s approach to improving the management of aging wire systems. To assist in fulfilling the actions specified in the Aging Non-Structural Systems Plan, we have established an Aging Transport Systems Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ATSRAC) to provide advice and recommendations to the FAA Administrator, through the Associate Administrator for Regulation and Certification, on airplane system safety issues like aging wire systems. The ATSRAC was initially tasked in 1998 with five tasks, which encompassed collecting data on aging wiring systems through airplane inspections, reviewing airplane manufacturer’s service information, reviewing operators maintenance programs, and providing the FAA with recommendations to enhance the safety of these systems.

It should be noted that the results and recommendations from the initial taskings indicate that problems associated with systems on aging airplanes are not completely related to the degradation over time of wire systems. Inadequate installation and maintenance practices can lead to what is commonly referred to as an “aging system” problem. As such, the scope of the Committee is not limited solely to age-related issues, but includes improving the continued airworthiness of airplane systems, and in particular wire systems.

This notice informs the public of four new tasks assigned to and accepted by ATSRAC. These new tasks are intended to facilitate implementation of earlier recommendations of ATSRAC. The ATSRAC has chosen to establish harmonization working groups (HWG) to provide technical support in developing its recommendations to the Federal Aviation Administration. The HWG’s will establish working methods to ensure coordination among the four groups and coordination with working groups established by the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee. This coordination is required to ensure efficient use of resources, continuity in related decisions, and to reduce duplication of efforts. The new tasks and harmonization working groups follow:

I. Wire System Certification

Requirements Harmonization Working Group

This group should be comprised of representatives and experts from type certificate and supplemental type certificate holders, operators, and regulatory authorities.

• Review all 14 CFR part 25 and JAR 25 requirements and ATSRAC