

Anchorage CO nonattainment area was reclassified as a serious CO nonattainment area by operation of law (see 62 FR 63687, (December 2, 1997)). As a result of this reclassification, the State was to attain the CO NAAQS as expeditiously as practicable but no later than December 31, 2000, the CAA attainment date for serious areas.

II. EPA's Proposed Action

EPA is, by today's action, making the determination that the Anchorage serious CO nonattainment area did attain the CO NAAQS by the attainment date of December 31, 2000. As explained below, the Anchorage nonattainment area remains classified a serious CO nonattainment area, and today's action does not redesignate the Anchorage nonattainment area to attainment.

III. Basis for EPA's Action

Alaska has four CO monitoring sites in the Anchorage CO nonattainment area. The air quality data in AIRS for these monitors show that, for the 2-year period from 1999 through 2000, there were no violations of the annual CO standard. The highest 8-hour annual average measured during this 2-year period was at the Trinity Christian Church monitoring site in 1999 where the 8-hour CO NAAQS average measured 7.8 ppm. Based on this information, EPA has determined that the area attained the CO NAAQS standard as of the attainment date of December 31, 2000.

In summary, EPA proposes to find that the Anchorage CO nonattainment area attained the CO NAAQS as of the attainment date of December 31, 2000. If we finalize this proposal, consistent with CAA section 188, the area will remain a serious CO nonattainment area with the additional planning requirements that apply to serious CO nonattainment areas. This proposed finding of attainment should not be confused with a redesignation to attainment under CAA section 107(d). Alaska has not submitted a maintenance plan as required under section 175A(a) of the CAA or met the other CAA requirements for redesignation to attainment. The designation status in 40 CFR part 81 will remain serious nonattainment for the Anchorage CO nonattainment area until such time as EPA finds that Alaska has met the CAA requirements for redesignations to attainment.

IV. Request for Public Comments

We are soliciting public comments on EPA's proposal to find that the Anchorage CO nonattainment area has

attained the CO NAAQS as of the December 31, 2000, attainment date. These comments will be considered before taking final action. Interested parties may participate in the Federal rulemaking process by submitting written comments to the EPA Regional office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

V. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this proposed action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. This proposed action merely makes a determination based on air quality data and does not impose any requirements. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this proposed rule does not impose any enforceable duty, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4). This proposed rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely makes a determination based on air quality data and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

The requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this proposed rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order

12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, National parks, Wilderness areas.

Dated: May 16, 2001.

Charles Findley,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 01-13277 Filed 5-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 01-1183; MM Docket No. 01-58; RM-10071]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Morenci, AZ

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; dismissal.

SUMMARY: This document dismisses a petition filed on behalf of Copper Valley Radio proposing the allotment of Channel 290A to Morenci, Arizona, as that community's first local aural transmission service, based upon the lack of an expression of interest in pursuing the proposal by any party. See 66 FR 13870, March 8, 2001. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Report and Order*, MM Docket No. 01-58, adopted May 2, 2001, and released May 11, 2001. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th

Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20036,
(202) 857-3800.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules
Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 01-13244 Filed 5-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

[Docket No. NHTSA-2001-9600; Notice 1]

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; FMVSS No. 121, Air Brake Systems

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic
Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Denial of petition for
rulemaking, Motor & Equipment
Manufacturers Association—Heavy
Duty Brake Manufacturers Council

SUMMARY: On January 16, 2001, the Heavy Duty Brake Manufacturers Council (HDBMC) of the Motor & Equipment Manufacturers Association petitioned NHTSA to delay the implementation date for transmittal of antilock braking system (ABS) malfunction signals from trailers to tractors and trucks that are equipped to tow trailers. These requirements are specified in S5.1.6.2(b) for trucks and tractors, and S5.2.3.2 for trailers, of FMVSS No. 121, Air Brake Systems. The petitioner cites difficulties of its member companies in obtaining suitable computer chips at a reasonable cost to perform the ABS malfunction signal communications. However, the agency believes that the member companies' failure to reach suitable business arrangements with their supplier and a holder of a patent on this technology has resulted in this situation. The agency notes that nearly five years of lead time was provided to meet these requirements. The current difficulties cited by the petitioner normal commercial problems, not a lack of available technology. This petition is denied.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jeff Woods, Office of Crash Avoidance Standards, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone (202) 366-6206; fax (202) 366-4329.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NHTSA has been petitioned by the Heavy Duty

Brake Manufacturers Council (HDBMC) to delay implementation of the in-cab warning lamp requirements in FMVSS No. 121. These requirements became effective March 1, 2001. The HDBMC petition dated January 16, 2001, asked for a one-year extension to March 1, 2002. The requirements apply to air-braked vehicles that tow or are towed by other air-braked vehicles, such as tractors, trucks, trailers, and converter dollies. The in-cab warning lamp provides the driver with an indication on the truck instrument panel that there is a malfunction in the ABS of a towed vehicle, so that the driver can identify such malfunctions and have them corrected. The requirements for ABS malfunction signaling between towed and towing vehicles were originally adopted in a final rule issued on March 10, 1995 (60 FR 13216). These requirements underwent slight revisions and were finalized in their present form in a final rule issued on May 31, 1996 (61 FR 27288).

In 1997, the industry formed the PLC-4-TRUCKS consortium to develop a system to transmit the trailer ABS malfunction signals between towing and towed vehicles. The industry believed that the systems commercially-available at that time did not meet all of the industry's needs, which include the ability of the system to be incorporated into the ABS electronic control units installed on the subject vehicles; the availability of a system that is not proprietary and thus any manufacturer could produce the needed components; and the ability of the system to work within the existing wiring common among heavy-duty tractors and trailers.

The PLC-4-TRUCKS system that was developed by the consortium uses computer chips manufactured by Intellon Corporation that provide spread-spectrum data communications capability over a power line, or power line carrier (PLC). These chips, which are used in other commercial applications, were adapted to heavy-duty truck use and through the PLC-4-TRUCKS consortium, a communications protocol was developed. As HDBMC states in their petition, Alan Lesesky of VES Corporation was granted a patent in October, 2000, which covers the use of spread-spectrum data communications on tractor-trailer applications, and subsequently VES filed a lawsuit against Intellon alleging patent infringement issues. Prior to filing the lawsuit, VES offered licensing agreements to the manufacturers of heavy-duty ABS systems to enable them to use this technology. As stated in the petition, the HDBMC believes that the licensing fees proposed by VES are commercially

unreasonable and inconsistent with past licensing arrangements for products covered by Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) or NHTSA standards.

NHTSA notes that as early as 1998, the agency was prepared to initiate rulemaking to mandate one of the commercially-available systems for the purpose of communicating trailer ABS warning lamp signals, to ensure that the industry would comply with the March 1, 2001 compliance date. However, the agency was assured by the industry that the PLC-4-TRUCKS solution was indeed that way that the industry wanted to go and that such a mandate was unnecessary. The agency heeded the industry's recommendations and subsequently did not begin the rulemaking process for such a mandate.

NHTSA contacted VES and Intellon attorneys for an update on the lawsuit by VES against Intellon. The attorney for VES indicated that one of the HDBMC member companies, Eaton Corporation, had negotiated and signed a licensing agreement with VES to use the spread-spectrum technology for trailer ABS warning lamp purposes. The VES attorney indicated that he believed other ABS manufacturers would follow suit. The agency believes that if suitable licensing agreements are made between the ABS manufacturers and VES, then the lawsuit would be terminated so that manufacturing the PLC-4-TRUCKS system with the Intellon computer chips could resume.

The agency therefore denies the petition for a one-year extension of the March 1, 2001, compliance date for trailer ABS malfunction signals. The technology is available to transmit the trailer ABS malfunction signals. The agency believes that this issue is a business matter that the ABS suppliers need to work out with appropriate parties, including Intellon, VES, and the vehicle manufacturers to whom they sell their products. The agency notes that it was prepared to mandate one of the older, commercial systems on the market, but the agency was persuaded not to do so. Under these circumstances the fact that the vehicle manufacturers do not want to pay the amount proposed by VES is not an adequate basis to extend the effective date. Further, the agency notes that the cost of ABS in general has decreased significantly (i.e., a factor of two or three) compared to ABS costs prior to the tractor ABS mandate of March 1, 1997, and that the cost to incorporate the trailer ABS malfunction signaling would only amount to a small increase in the cost of current ABS equipment.