

This matter concerns allegedly false claims for its "free" and fee-based online services. The Commission's proposed complaint alleges:

- Juno falsely represented that consumers participating in its free trial periods for its fee-based Internet service could cancel at any time before the free trial expired and avoid incurring charges, and Juno failed to disclose the restrictive procedures that subscribers must follow to cancel this service;
- Juno misrepresented the duration of its free trial offers for its fee-based service and, in other instances, failed to disclose that these free trial periods must be completed within a month;
- Juno misrepresented that there were no additional costs associated with using its free Internet service, and failed to adequately disclose important information about potential long distance telephone toll charges ("toll charges") in promoting its free, fee-based and free trial period offers;
- Juno failed to adequately disclose in its advertising for certain rebate programs both the possibility of incurring toll charges while using its fee-based Internet service and applicable cancellation penalties; and
- Juno misrepresented that its Internet service was available for purchase at certain prices, when it was not, and concurrently misrepresented the purpose for which it solicited credit card and other personal identifying information from consumers

The proposed consent order contains several provisions designed to prevent Juno from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future and requires redress for certain injured consumers.

Part I of the proposed consent order prohibits Juno from misrepresenting the price or cost of any electronic mail, Internet or other online service ("Internet services"). The Part also prohibits Juno from misrepresenting the ability or terms by which consumers can cancel these Internet services, or the amount of time consumers have to use these services during a free trial period before fees are charged. Part I further prohibits Juno from falsely representing that Internet service is available for purchase—when it is not—and from falsely representing why it requests or collects credit card or any other personal identifying information from consumers.

Part II of the proposed consent order prohibits Juno from beginning to compute the billing cycle or free trial period for its Internet services before the consumer is able to use these services. In cases, however, where it is necessary to provide consumers with a software upgrade or hardware installment before

they can use these services as advertised, Juno can comply with this Part if it clearly and conspicuously discloses when it will begin to compute the billing cycle or free trial period for these consumers before they register for these services.

Part III of the proposed consent order requires Juno to clearly and conspicuously disclose obligations that consumers have to cancel their Internet service and the procedures consumers must follow to effectively cancel their service.

Part IV of the proposed consent order requires Juno to provide consumers with reasonable means to cancel its Internet services, at a minimum providing for cancellation through e-mail and a toll-free telephone number. The Part further requires Juno to maintain adequate customer support to promptly handle requests for cancellation, terminating service before the next billing cycle.

Parts V and VI of the proposed consent order require Juno to disclose clearly and conspicuously potential toll charges associated with its services and any cancellation penalties.

Part VII of the proposed consent order requires that Juno provides consumers with reasonable means to determine the telephone numbers available for accessing its Internet services and the town or city where these numbers are located—at least making this information available in a directory posted on its Web site and through a toll-free telephone number. The Part further requires Juno to maintain adequate customer support to respond to consumer inquiries about its access telephone numbers.

Part VIII of the proposed consent order prohibits Juno from using or disclosing the personal identifying information obtained by the company in connection with its deceptive dry test advertisements. The Part further conditions the Commission's approval of this consent order on the veracity of representations made by Juno that: (1) did not collect credit card numbers provided by consumers responding to these dry test advertisements; (2) it has since deleted any other personal identifying information that it did collect from consumers in connection with these advertisements; and (3) it did not share this information with any third party.

Part IX of the proposed consent order prohibits Juno from providing the means and instrumentalities for any third party to violate any provision of the consent order.

Part X of the proposed consent order requires Juno to offer reimbursement to

certain consumers for toll charges incurred in the first two months of subscribing to its Internet services. Eligible consumers include those who: (a) subscribed to Juno's Internet service as part of a rebate program that required the purchase of another product or service and subscription to respondent's Internet services for a period of more than a month; and (b) cancelled their subscription and either (i) identified the unavailability of a local access number as a reason for the cancellation; or (ii) complained to Juno about incurring telephone toll charges. Eligible consumers are required to supply Juno with a copy of their telephone bill(s) reflecting the amount of the toll charges they incurred. Consumers, however, who incurred such toll charges at least 18 months prior to the date on which they mailed their application form, also can prove their claim with (a) a copy of a check or other form of payment; or (b) a written declaration indicating the amount of the toll charges that they incurred. Consumers who provide these alternative proofs of claim are entitled to receive a reimbursement not to exceed a maximum dollar amount.

Parts XI through XV of the proposed consent order are standard record keeping and compliance provisions. Part XIII requires that respondent provides a summary and explanation of the consent order requirements and the consent order to all retailers and other parties who promoted its Internet services as part of a rebate program. Part XVI of the proposed consent order "sunsets" the order after twenty years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-12678 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Findings of Scientific Misconduct

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Office of Research Integrity (ORI) and the Assistant Secretary of Health

have taken final action in the following case:

Ayman Saleh, Ph.D., University of Pittsburgh: Based on the report of an inquiry conducted by the University of Pittsburgh and additional analysis conducted by ORI in its oversight review, the U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) found that Dr. Saleh, former postdoctoral research associate, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, engaged in scientific misconduct in research supported by the National Institutes of Health.

PHS finds that Dr. Saleh falsified:

(A) Data for a manuscript which purported to show Western blots of rabbit Bcl-2 and tubulin; the blots were actually obtained from different experiments by another researcher using antibody against Hsp70 and against Bag-1, respectively;

(B) The label on a Western blot for Bcl-2 that he presented to the inquiry committee as evidence that he had conducted the experiment at issue; the blot was actually from a different experiment by a coworker;

(C) Data for a laboratory figure purported to represent a rabbit PARP cleavage blot; the data was from another experiment, and the antibody to PARP was not available to Dr. Saleh at that time;

(D) Western blot data on pcasp-9 and p37/p35 for a manuscript on Hsp27; the data represented experiments that could not be performed because the cell lines were unavailable at the time; and

(E) Figure 2b, the panel that shows a Western blot of Casp-9(WT) in a publication by Srinivasa M. Srinivasula, Ramesh Hegde, Ayman Saleh, Pinaki Datta, Eric Shiozaki, Jijie Chais, Ryung-Ah Lee, Paul D. Robbins, Theresa Fernandes-Alnemri, Yigong Shi, and Emad S. Alnemri. "A conserved XIAP-interaction motif in caspase-9 and Smac/DIABLO regulates caspase activity and apoptosis." *Nature* 410(6824):112-116, 2001. The Figure 2b data were actually taken from a Western blot of Bcl-XL data, in which Dr. Saleh transposed the lanes.

The experiments examined the regulation of programmed cell death (apoptosis), a process that is important to a better understanding of cancer. Figure 2b in the *Nature* paper represented a control experiment that confirmed the association of an X-linked gene to a particular type of apoptosis.

Dr. Saleh has entered into a Voluntary Exclusion Agreement with PHS in which he has voluntarily agreed for a period of three (3) years, beginning on May 3, 2001:

(1) To exclude himself from any contracting or subcontracting with any agency of the United States Government

and from eligibility for, or involvement in, nonprocurement transactions (e.g., grants and cooperative agreements) of the United States Government as defined in 45 CFR Part 76 (Debarment Regulations);

(2) To exclude himself from serving in any advisory capacity to PHS, including but not limited to service on any PHS advisory committee, board, and/or peer review committee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Director, Division of Investigative Oversight, Office of Research Integrity, 5515 Security Lane, Suite 700, Rockville, MD 20852, (301) 443-5330.

Chris Pascal,

Director, Office of Research Integrity.

[FR Doc. 01-12681 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-31-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the intention of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to grant a "Voluntary Customer Satisfaction Survey Generic Clearance for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality." In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), AHRQ invites the public to comment on this proposed information collection request to allow AHRQ to conduct these customer satisfaction surveys.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by July 20, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to: Cynthia D. McMichael, Reports Clearance Officer, AHRQ, 2101 East Jefferson Street, Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20852-4908.

All comments will become a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cynthia D. McMichael, AHRQ, Reports Clearance Officer, (301) 594-3132.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Project

Voluntary Customer Satisfaction Survey Generic Clearance for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

In response to Executive Order 12862, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) plans to conduct voluntary customer satisfaction surveys to assess strengths and weaknesses in program services. Customer satisfaction surveys to be conducted by AHRQ may include readership surveys from individuals using AHRQ automated and electronic technology data bases to determine satisfaction with the information provided or surveys to assess effects of the grants streamlining efforts. Results of these surveys will be used in future program planning initiatives and to redirect resources and efforts, as needed, to improve AHRQ program services.

The current clearance will expire December 31, 2001. A generic approval will be requested from OMB to conduct customer satisfaction surveys over the next three years.

Method of Collection

The data will be collected using a combination of preferred methodologies appropriate to each survey. These methodologies are:

- Evaluation forms;
- Mail surveys;
- Focus groups;
- Automated and electronic technology (e.g., instant fax, on-line, feedback forms for AHRQ Clearinghouse Publications); and
- Telephone surveys.

The estimated annual hour burden is as follows:

Type of survey	Number of respondents	Average burden/response (hours per respondent)	Total hours of burden
Mail/Telephone Surveys	51,200	.15	7,680
Automated/ Web-based ..	52,000	.163	8,476
Focus Groups ..	200	1.0	200
Totals	103,400	.159	16,441

Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) The necessity of the proposed collections; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the