

Trans #	Acquiring	Acquired	Entities
Transactions Granted Early Termination—04/23/2001			
20011713	LSI Logic Corporation	C-Cube Microsystems Inc	C-Cube Microsystems Inc.
20011720	Normandy Mining Limited ACN 009 295 765.	Franco-Nevada Mining Corporation Limited.	Midas Joint Venture, Inc.
20011722	Illinois Tool Works Inc.	Foilmark, Inc	Foilmark, Inc.
20011725	The Stanley Works	Global Private Equity III Limited Partnership.	Contact East, Inc.
20011726	Protective Industries, LLC	MIV Holdings, S.A	Mark IV Industries, Inc.
20011728	General Electric Company	Paragon Leasing	Paragon Leasing
Transactions Granted Early Termination—04/25/2001			
20011698	Tyco International Ltd.	The CIT Group, Inc	The CIT Group, Inc.
20011721	Cisco Systems, Inc	Velocita Corp	Velocita Corp.
Transactions Granted Early Termination—04/26/2001			
20011693	United Parcel Service, Inc	Miles Group, Inc	Miles Group, Inc.
Transactions Granted Early Termination—04/27/2001			
20011548	Xcel Energy Inc	Duke Energy Corporation	Duke Capital Corporation. Duke Energy Audrain, LLC.
20011649	Royster-Clark Group, Inc	Land O'Lakes, Inc	Agro Distribution, LLC.
20011650	Royster-Clark Group, Inc	Cenex Harvest States Cooperatives	Agro Distribution, LLC.
20011701	Wells Fargo & Company	ACO Brokerage Holdings Corporation ..	ACO Brokerage Holdings Corporation.
20011714	Micron Technology, Inc	Interland, Inc	Interland, Inc.
20011744	Pilot Corporation	USX Corporation	Speedway SuperAmerica LLC.
20011745	USX Corporation	Pilot Corporation	Pilot Corporation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandra M. Peay or Parcellena P. Fielding, Contact Representatives, Federal Trade Commission, Premerger Notification Office, Bureau of Competition, Room 303, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-3100.

By Direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-12679 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 992 3276]

Gateway, Inc.; Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 14, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Badger, Federal Trade Commission, Western Region—San Francisco Office, 901 Market St., Suite 570, San Francisco, CA 94103. (415) 848-5151.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for May 15, 2001), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/05/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627.

Public comment is invited. Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580. Two paper copies of each comment should be filed, and should be accompanied, if possible, by a 3½ inch diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Gateway, Inc. ("Gateway").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

Gateway advertises and sells personal computers, computer peripherals, software, and Internet services to the public. This matter concerns allegedly

false and deceptive advertising of Gateway's Internet access service, "Gateway.net." The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that Gateway advertised that with the purchase of certain computer models, Gateway.net Internet access service would be included for free for one year, or could be purchased for a flat fee, such as \$14.95 a month. In fact, for many consumers one year of Gateway.net was not free or obtainable for a flat fee, because these customers incurred long distance charges to access Gateway.net, or were charged \$3.95 per hour by Gateway for the use of a "toll-free" telephone number to access the service. The Commission's proposed complaint challenges these "free" or "flat-fee" ads as both misrepresentations and as failures to disclose material facts under Section 5 of the FTC Act. Further, the complaint alleges that Gateway falsely represented that the use of its "toll-free" 1-888 number to connect to the Internet was free to consumers. In fact, Gateway charged consumers \$3.95 per hour for the use of this "toll-free" number.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent Gateway from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future. Part I of the proposed order prohibits the company from misrepresenting the price or cost of any Internet access service, or of any "toll-free" telephone number. Under the order, the term "Internet access service" is defined as "any service that enables a consumer to access the Internet or any other electronic network."

Part II of the order prohibits representations regarding the price or cost of any "1-800" or "toll-free" telephone number provided to the consumer by Gateway unless it discloses, clearly and conspicuously, the dollar amounts of any hourly surcharges and any other fees it charges for the use of such numbers. Part III of the proposed order requires that Gateway clearly and prominently disclose that consumers may have to pay long distance telephone charges, hourly surcharges, or other costs in excess of local telephone service charges to access any Internet access service. Gateway must disclose the dollar amounts of any such fees within its control or the control of any of its promotional partners providing the service. It must also provide a means for each consumer to ascertain whether he or she would incur such fees to access the service, and inform consumers that they should contact their local telephone company to determine whether using the access telephone number for the location closest to them

will result in charges in excess of local telephone service charges.

Part IV of the order requires that Gateway maintain customer support to answer consumer inquiries regarding any Internet access service, including but not limited to, an adequately staffed toll-free number where consumers can determine whether they have a local access number for such service.

Part V is a redress provision requiring that Gateway refund all charges for "toll-free" numbers paid by local access plan gateway.net customers who registered for the plan between January 19, and April 1, 1999, and who paid such fees up until August 15, 1999. Parts VI through IX of the proposed order contain the usual reporting and compliance provisions, and, Part X is a provision "sunsetting" the order after twenty years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-12677 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 002 3061]

Juno Online Services, Inc.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 14, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Darren Bowie or Laura Sullivan, FTC/S-4002, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326-2018 or 326-3327.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with an accepted by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for May 15, 2001), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/05/index.htm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627.

Public comment is invited. Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580. Two paper copies of each comment should be filed, and should be accompanied, if possible, by a 3½ inch diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Juno Online Services, Inc. ("Juno").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

Juno is an Internet service provider with approximately 842,000 subscribers to its fee-based services and nearly 4 million total active subscribers. Juno typically charges subscribers a flat monthly fee for its fee-based services. The company's subscriber revenues reached early \$34.5 million for 1999 and \$73.9 million last year.