

- Airport master plan update.
- PFC administration.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Lebanon Municipal Airport, 5 Airpark Road, West Lebanon, New Hampshire.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts on May 4, 2001.

**Vincent A. Scarano,**

*Manager, Airports Division, New England Region.*

[FR Doc. 01-12552 Filed 5-17-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Monterey Peninsula Airport, Monterey, CA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Monterey Peninsula Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 18, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Susan Kovalenko, Manager, Support Services, Monterey Peninsula Airport District, at the following address: 200 Fred Kane Drive, Suite 200, Monterey, CA 93940. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Monterey

Peninsula Airport District under section 158.23 of Part 158.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303, Telephone: (650) 876-2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Monterey Peninsula Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On April 27, 2001, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Monterey Peninsula Airport District was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than July 27, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 01-07-C-00-MRY:

*Level of proposed PFC:* \$4.50.

*Charge effective date:* October 1, 2001.

*Proposed charge expiration date:* March 1, 2002.

*Total estimated PFC revenue:* \$381,935.

*Brief description of the proposed projects:* Acquire Airport Rescue and firefighting (ARFF) Equipment, Modify ARFF Vehicle Cooling System, Lower Obstruction to Runway 10R Obstacle-Free Zone, Reconstruct Portion of Entrance Road to North Side, Purchase Runway Sweeper, Soundproofing, Phases 6 and 7, Replace Terminal Fire Doors, Realign and Improve Sky Park/Fred Kane Drive Connection, and Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment for Sky Park Drive Extension to North Side of Airport.

*Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs:* Unscheduled Part 135 Air Taxi Operators.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 1500 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the

application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Monterey Peninsula Airport District.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on May 3, 2001.

**Ellsworth L. Chan,**

*Acting Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 01-12555 Filed 5-17-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement: Shelby, Tipton, Lauderdale, and Dyer Counties, TN

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed section of Interstate Highway 69 (I-69) in Shelby, Tipton, Lauderdale, and Dyer Counties, Tennessee beginning at State Route 385 (Paul Barrett Parkway) north of Memphis and extending to Dyersburg, Tennessee.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Mark Doctor, Field Operations Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration, 640 Grassmere Park Road, Suite 112, Nashville, Tennessee 37211, Telephone: (615) 781-5788.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FHWA, in cooperation with the Tennessee Department of Transportation will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal for the construction of a four-lane freeway facility from State Route 385 (Paul Barrett Parkway) north of Memphis, Tennessee to Interstate 155 (I-155) near Dyersburg, Tennessee. The distance of this proposed project is approximately 104 kilometers (65 miles).

This proposed facility is identified as Segment of Independent Utility #8 of the Congressionally-designated High Priority Corridor 18 or Interstate 69. The overall purpose for this project is to improve international and interstate trade in accordance with national and state goals and to facilitate economic development in accordance with state, regional, and local policies, plans, and surface transportation consistent with national, state, regional, local needs and with Congressional designation of the corridor.

Alternatives under consideration include: (1) Taking no action (no-build);