

rules and serious area requirements have been fully implemented.¹⁷

(3) Data recorded at the three eastern Kern monitors (TSD, Appendix A) and confirmed by CARB in its December 15, 2000 letter, indicate no monitored exceedances during 1999 and 2000.

Because the statutory provisions have been satisfied, we are proposing to grant two one-year attainment date extensions for the proposed East Kern ozone nonattainment area. If we finalize this action, the attainment deadline would be extended from November 15, 1999 to November 15, 2001.

VI. Summary of EPA Proposed Rulemaking

We are proposing to change the boundaries for the SJV ozone nonattainment area by removing the eastern portion of Kern County and proposing the designation of a new East Kern ozone nonattainment area with a serious classification. Concurrently, EPA is proposing to grant the State's request for two one-year attainment date extensions for the proposed East Kern ozone nonattainment area, which would make the attainment deadline November 15, 2001.

In order to reflect the proposed boundary change, we are repropounding our finding that the SJV ozone nonattainment area failed to attain the federal 1-hour ozone standard by its CAA deadline of November 15, 1999. If we finalize this nonattainment finding, the revised SJV nonattainment area will be reclassified by operation of law to severe and California must submit to EPA by May 31, 2002 a severe area nonattainment plan that meets the requirements of CAA section 182(d), including providing for the attainment of the federal 1-hour ozone standard as expeditiously as practicable, but no later than November 15, 2005. We are also asking for comment on the legal, technical, and policy justifications for an alternative November 15, 2007 attainment deadline.

VII. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this proposed action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget.

Under section 188(b)(2) of the CAA, findings of failure to attain are based solely upon air quality considerations

and the subsequent nonattainment area reclassification must occur by operation of law in light of those air quality conditions. These actions do not, in and of themselves, impose any new requirements on any sectors of the economy. In addition, because the statutory requirements are clearly defined with respect to the differently classified areas, and because those requirements are automatically triggered by classifications that, in turn, are triggered by air quality values, findings of failure to attain and reclassification cannot be said to impose a materially adverse impact on State, local, or tribal governments or communities. The proposed designation of eastern Kern County as a new, separate nonattainment area with a serious classification and the proposed attainment date extensions will not impose any new requirements on any sectors of the economy because the area is already classified as serious.

Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

These proposed actions do not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4) for the following reasons: (1) The proposed finding of failure to attain is a factual determination based on air quality considerations; (2) the resulting reclassification must occur by operation of law and will not impose any federal intergovernmental mandate; and (3) the proposed designation of eastern Kern County as a separate nonattainment area with a serious classification will not impose any new requirements on any sectors of the economy. For the same reason, this proposed rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). For these same reasons, these proposed actions will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). These proposed actions are also not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885,

April 23, 1997), because they are not economically significant. Finally, for these same reasons, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing these proposed actions, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. These proposed actions do not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations, National parks, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds, Wilderness areas.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 10, 2001.

Jane Diamond,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 01-12576 Filed 5-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 36

Meetings of the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on Joint Tribal and Federal Self-Governance

AGENCY: Indian Health Service, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Health and Human Services has established a Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on Joint Tribal and Federal Self-Governance (Committee) to negotiate and develop a proposed rule implementing the Tribal Self-Governance Amendments of 2000 (the Act). We intend to publish the proposed rule for notice and comment no later than one year after the date of enactment of the Act (August 18, 2000 + one year), as required by section 517(a)(2) of the Act.

¹⁷ As noted in footnote 1, EPA's June 19, 2000 proposal included a finding of failure to fully implement the SIP. The SIP measures at issue in that proposal are ones committed to by the SJVUAPCD, not the KCAPCD. All KCAPCD SIP measures have been implemented.

EFFECTIVE DATES: Meetings of the Committee are as follows:

1. June 11–12, 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m.; June 13, 8:30 a.m.–1:00 p.m., St. Paul, MN.
2. July 10–12, 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m., Seattle WA,
3. July 30–August 1, 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m., Anchorage, AK.

ADDRESSES: The meeting locations are:

1. St. Paul, MN—Radisson City Center, 411 Minnesota St., St. Paul, MN 55101, Phone: 1–800–333–3333.
2. Seattle, WA—Red Lion Hotel in South Center Area, 205 Strander Blvd., Seattle, WA 98188, Phone: 206–575–8220.
3. Anchorage, AK—Hilton Anchorage, 500 West 3rd Avenue, Anchorage, AK 99501, Phone: 1–800–245–2527.

Written statements may be submitted to Paula Williams, Director, Office of Tribal Self-Governance, Indian Health Service, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5A–55, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paula Williams, Director, Office of Tribal Self-Governance, Indian Health Service, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5A–55, Rockville, MD 20857, Telephone 301–443–7821. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: All meetings are open to the public without advance registration. Public attendance may be limited to the space available. Members of the public may make statements during the meetings to the extent time permits and file written statements with the Committee for its consideration. Submit your written statements to the address listed above. Summaries of the Committee meetings will be available for public inspection and copying ten days following each meeting at the same address.

Dated: May 14, 2001.

Michel E. Lincoln,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. 01–12531 Filed 5–17–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–16–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 578

[Docket No. NHTSA 2001–9404; Notice 1]

RIN 2127–AI42

Civil Penalties

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposed to adjust certain civil penalties authorized for violations of odometer tampering and theft prevention statutes administered by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). The Federal Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, requires us to take this action at least every four years. The penalties that would be increased were last adjusted in March 1997.

DATES: Comments on the proposal are due June 18, 2001.

Proposed effective date: 30 days after date of publication of the final rule in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: All comments on this document should refer to the docket and notice number set forth above and be submitted to Dockets Management, Room PL–401, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. The docket room hours are from 9:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Taylor Vinson, Office of Chief Counsel, NHTSA, telephone (202) 366–5263, facsimile (202) 366–3820, electronic mail “ATVinson@nhtsa.dot.gov”, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In order to preserve the remedial impact of civil penalties and to foster compliance with the law, the Federal Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (“Adjustment Act”), 28 U.S.C. Sec. 2461 note, Public Law 101–410), as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (“Collection Act,” Pub. L. 104–134), requires us and other Federal agencies to regularly adjust certain civil penalties for inflation. Under these laws, each agency must make an initial inflationary adjustment for all applicable civil penalties, and must make further adjustments of these penalty amounts at least once every four years. The Collection Act limited the initial increase to 10 percent of the penalty being adjusted.

Our initial adjustment of civil penalties under these legislative authorities was published on February 4, 1997 (62 FR 5167). We established 49 CFR part 578, *Civil Penalties*, which applies to violations that occur on and after March 6, 1997. These adjustments resulted in the maximum permissible increases of 10 percent. On July 14,

1999, we further adjusted certain penalties to enhance their deterrent effect (64 FR 37876), effective August 13, 1999. As we are now at the end of the four-year period following the initial adjustment, the purpose of this notice is to review the penalties that have remained unchanged since 1997, and to propose adjusting those penalties where the statutory formulae authorize it.

Method of Calculation

Under the Adjustment Act as amended by the Collection Act, we determine the inflation adjustment for each applicable civil penalty by increasing the maximum civil penalty amount per violation by the cost-of-living adjustment, and then applying a rounding factor. Sec. 5(b) of the Adjustment Act defines the “cost-of-living” adjustment as:

The percentage (if any) for each civil monetary penalty by which—

(1) the Consumer Price Index for the month of June of the calendar year preceding the adjustment exceeds

(2) the Consumer Price Index for the month of June of the calendar year in which the amount of such civil monetary penalty was last set or adjusted pursuant to law.

Since the proposed adjustment is intended to be effective before December 31, 2001, the “Consumer Price Index [CPI] for the month of June of the calendar year preceding the adjustment” would be the CPI for June 2000. This figure is 172.4. NHTSA’s penalties were initially adjusted in February 1997 based on the CPI figure for June 1996, which was 156.7. The factor that we are using in calculating the increase, then, is 172.4 divided by 156.7, or 1.1001914. We shall use 1.1 as the appropriate figure. Any calculated increase under this adjustment is then subject to a specific rounding formula set forth in Sec. 5(a) of the Adjustment Act. Under the formula:

Any increase shall be rounded to the nearest

(1) multiple of \$10 in the case of penalties less than or equal to \$100;

(2) multiple of \$100 in the case of penalties greater than \$100 but less than or equal to \$1,000;

(3) multiple of \$1,000 in the case of penalties greater than \$1,000 but less than or equal to \$10,000;

(4) multiple of \$5,000 in the case of penalties greater than \$10,000 but less than or equal to \$100,000;

(5) multiple of \$10,000 in the case of penalties greater than \$100,000 but less than or equal to \$200,000; and

(6) multiple of \$25,000 in the case of penalties greater than \$200,000.