

to ensure that everyone who wishes to speak has a chance to do so and that DOE understands all issues and comments. At this time DOE expects to provide speakers with approximately 5 minutes for their oral statements. Allotted time may change based on the number of speakers who register. Persons who have not submitted a request to speak in advance may register to speak at each scoping meeting, but advance requests are encouraged. Should any speaker desire to provide for the record further information that cannot be presented within the designated time, such additional information may be submitted in writing by the date listed in the **DATES** section. Both oral and written comments will be considered and given equal weight by DOE. Oral and written comments previously submitted in this proceeding have been entered in the official record of this proceeding and need not be resubmitted.

Issued in Washington, DC on May 15, 2001.

**Anthony J. Como,**

*Deputy Director, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Coal & Power System, Office of Fossil Energy.*

[FR Doc. 01-12538 Filed 5-17-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL01-61-001]

#### PacifiCorp; Notice of Filing

May 14, 2001.

Take notice that on May 4, 2001, PacifiCorp tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) in accordance with 18 CFR Part 35 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, a Long-Term Firm Transmission Service Agreement with Idaho Power Company (Idaho) under PacifiCorp's FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 11 (Tariff). Copies of this filing were supplied to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before May 25, 2001. Protests will be considered by the

Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(m)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-12504 Filed 5-17-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-360-000]

#### Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

May 14, 2001.

Take notice that on May 7, 2001, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), 1001 Louisiana, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP01-360-000, an application pursuant to sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), and the Regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's requesting authorization for its proposed Dracut Expansion Project. In the proposal for the Dracut Expansion Project, Tennessee seeks to abandon approximately 11.92 miles of 16-inch pipeline, and requests a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct, install and operate approximately 11.50 miles of 24-inch diameter replacement pipeline and 0.42 miles of 16-inch diameter replacement pipeline, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> [call (202) 208-2222 for assistance].

Tennessee states that the Project will increase Tennessee's capacity and flexibility on its system in the New England region, so that it can help meet the significant growth in the demand for natural gas services projected in this area of the country. Tennessee's current capacity from Dracut is 200 MMcfd on

a firm year-round basis. Tennessee states that the proposed replacement and upgrade of facilities will increase its capacity from Dracut to 500 MMcfd on a firm year-round basis, with minimal environmental disruption and relatively modest facility construction.

The estimated cost for installations and removal of the Dracut Project facilities is approximately \$36.4 million. Tennessee proposes to place the Dracut Expansion facilities in service by November 1, 2002. Tennessee requests that the Commission grant the requested authority by December 31, 2001. Tennessee states that it will charge transportation rates as currently set forth in its tariff for any service which utilizes the proposed facilities; that no new or rate schedules are being proposed; and that capacity created by the Dracut Expansion Project will be awarded in accordance with Tennessee's existing Gas Tariff.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Susan T. Halbach, Senior Counsel, P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252 (713) 420-5751.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before May 4, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing

comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicants may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-12505 Filed 5-17-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG01-45-000, et al.]

#### Constellation Power Source Generation, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

May 11, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Constellation Power Source Generation, Inc.

[Docket No. EG01-45-000]

Take notice that on May 8, 2001, Constellation Power Source Generation, Inc. (Applicant), having its principal place of business at 111 Market Place, Suite 500, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) a second amended and restated application for redetermination of exempt wholesale generator (EWG) status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations. This application amends and restates an application for EWG status originally filed by the Applicant with the Commission on December 5, 2000, as amended on January 19, 2001 in the above-captioned proceeding.

The Applicant is a Maryland corporation and is engaged, directly or indirectly through an affiliate as defined in Section 2(a)(11)(B) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (PUHCA), exclusively in owning or operating, or owning and operating, eligible facilities and participating in project development activities incidental to such eligible electric facilities as authorized under PUHCA. The Applicant owns and operates eligible facilities located in Maryland and Pennsylvania.

*Comment date:* May 23, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that address the adequacy or accuracy of the amended application.

##### 2. Gray County Wind Energy, LLC

[Docket No. EG01-206-000]

Take notice that on May 8, 2001, Gray County Wind Energy, LLC (the Applicant), with its principal office at 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408, filed with the Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant states that it is a Delaware limited liability company engaged directly and exclusively in the business of developing and operating an approximately 110 MW wind-powered generating facility located in Gray County, Kansas. Electric energy produced by the facility will be sold at wholesale or at retail exclusively to foreign consumers.

*Comment date:* June 1, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that address the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

##### 3. Timber Energy Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. EG01-207-000]

Take notice that on May 8, 2001, Timber Energy Resources, Inc. (Applicant) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant, a Texas corporation and currently an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Casella Waste Systems, Inc., owns and operates a 14 MW eligible facility near Telogia, Florida. The facilities will consist of one steam turbine generator driven by a boiler fired by waste wood products from chip production, waste from logging operations and unrecyclable waster paper, and interconnecting transmission facilities necessary to effect sales of electric energy at wholesale. Applicant also owns and operates a chip mill located near Cairo, Georgia, which is one of the primary sources of the wood waste utilized as fuel for the TERI facility. Applicant, as more fully explained in the application, asserts that it is and will be engaged either directly or indirectly and exclusively in the business of owning and operating the subject facility and selling electric energy at wholesale.

*Comment date:* June 1, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that address the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

##### 4. Itiquira Energética S.A.

[Docket No. EG01-208-000]

Take notice that on May 8, 2001, Itiquira Energética S.A., Rua Isaac Povoas 901, Cidade de Cuiabá, MT, Brazil (Itiquira), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.