

Commission (NRC) continue to review and act on all requests before the NRC which had been submitted by PASNY.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to support continued operation with cable wrap fire barriers in CT-1 that do not have a rating of 1 hour.

No Significant Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there would be no significant environmental impact as a result of the proposed action. While the installed fire barrier in CT-1 has less than a 1-hour fire endurance rating, it will provide some resistance to fire. The area where the fire barrier is located has no ignition sources other than cables, has available manual suppression capability, and is equipped with automatic fire suppression and fire detection. Under these circumstances, there is an adequate level of fire safety that there is reasonable assurance that at least one means of achieving and maintaining safe shutdown conditions will remain available during and after any postulated fire, and, therefore, the underlying purpose of the rule is met.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on March 22, 2001, the staff consulted with the New York State State official, Jay Dunkleberger, of the New York State Research and Development Authority, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see PASNY's letter dated October 30, 2000, as supplemented by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.'s letter dated February 7, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of May 2001.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Guy S. Vissing,

Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-12413 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of

the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and purpose of information collection:

Railroad Employers with No Compensated Employees;

Under 20 CFR 209.2 of the RRB's regulations, the RRB may require any employer or employee to furnish or submit any information, records, contracts, documents, reports or other materials within their possession or control, that, in the judgement of the RRB, may have any bearing upon (a) the employer status of any individual, person or company (b) the employee or pensions status of any individual, (c) the amount and credibility of service and compensation, and (d) any other matter arising which involves the administration of the Railroad Retirement Act. The RRB proposes to establish a monitoring program designed to periodically contact covered railroad employers who have either reported no compensated employees for the last 2 years, or who, after previously reporting no compensated employees are no longer reporting. The RRB will contact the targeted railroad employers and obtain information as to whether they had compensated employees in the past reporting year, if they expect to have compensated employees in the current reporting year, and provide them the opportunity to request that their status as an employer under the Railroad Retirement Act and Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act be reviewed. For program integrity purposes, targeted employers who operate a freight or passenger service will be asked to provide additional information as to whether they conducted any freight or passenger service during the previous reporting year, if they expect to conduct any during the current reporting year, or if they have ceased all operations. If they have conducted freight or passenger service, they will be asked how the service and compensation was accounted for. If they have ceased operations, they will be asked to provide the Interstate Commerce Commission/Surface Transportation Board references to any abandonment proceedings.

The RRB proposes the establishment of Form T-7, Request to Railroad Employers to obtain the necessary information from the targeted railroad employers. Form T-7 will be accompanied by an Employer Program Letter which explains the purpose of the initiative and provides instructions. The completion time for Form T-7 is estimated at 10 minutes. Completion is mandatory. The RRB estimates that approximately 175 T-7's will be completed annually.

Additional Information or Comments: To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 N. Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Chuck Mierzwa,
Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-12452 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Home Security International, Inc. Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share) File No. 1-14502

May 11, 2001.

Home Security International, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its Common Stock, \$0.001 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange ("Amex").

The Issuer started in its application that it has met the requirements of Amex Rule 18 by complying with all applicable laws in effect in the State of Delaware, in which it is incorporated, and with the Amex's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration.

In making the decision to withdraw the Security from listing on the Exchange, the Issuer considered:

(1) The Issuer's non-compliance with the Amex maintenance standards concerning the price per share of the Issuer's Security (\$0.12 as of May 1, 2001);

(2) The Issuer's non-compliance with the Amex maintenance standards concerning the number of registered shareholders of the Issuer's Security (21 as of October 23, 2000);

(3) The volume of trading of the Security is approximately nine percent (9%) of the aggregate trading volume in the Common Stock since 1997;

(4) The resignation of the Issuer's independent auditor;

(5) The percentage of the Issuer's Security owned by affiliates of the Issuer; and

(6) The costs associated with maintaining the Issuer's listing on the Amex in light of the Issuer's current financial position.

The Issuer represent that the Security has been listed in the Pink Sheets since late April 2001. The Issuer also represents that it is investigating whether or not to file a Form 15 with the Commission.

Any interested person may, on or before June 1, 2001, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Amex and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.³

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-12437 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as Amended by P.L. 104-13; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Tennessee Valley Authority.
ACTION: Submission for OMB Review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection described below will be submitted to the Office of Management

and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended). The Tennessee Valley Authority is soliciting public comments on this proposed collection as provided by 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1). Requests for information, including copies of the information collection proposed and supporting documentation, should be directed to the Agency Clearance Officer: Wilma H. McCauley, Tennessee Valley Authority, 1101 Market Street (EB 5B), Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801; (423) 751-2523.

Comments should be sent to OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Desk Officer for Tennessee Valley Authority no later than June 18, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Type of Request: Regular submission, new collection of information.

Title of Information Collection: TVA Police Customer Satisfaction Survey.

Frequency of Use: On occasion.

Type of Affected Public: Individuals or households and business or other for-profit.

Small Business or Organizations Affected: Yes.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 2,000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 167.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 5 Minutes.

Need For and Use of Information: This information collection will be randomly distributed to individuals who use TVA facilities and come in contact with TVA Police Officers (i.e., campers, boaters, marina operators, etc.) to provide feedback on the quality of the security and safety provided by TVA Police on TVA-managed public lands. The information collection will be used to evaluate current security and safety policies and to identify new opportunities for improvement.

Jacklyn J. Stephenson,

Senior Manager, Enterprise Operations, Information Services.

[FR Doc. 01-12453 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8120-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Request To Release Airport Property at the University of Oklahoma Westheimer Airport, Norman, OK

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).