

before June 4, 2001, to Sharon Morrissey, Executive Secretary, ERISA Advisory Council, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5677, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Individuals or representatives of organizations wishing to address the Working Group should forward their request to the Executive Secretary or telephone (202) 219-8753. Oral presentations will be limited to 20 minutes, but an extended statement may be submitted for the record. Individuals with disabilities, who need special accommodations, should contact Sharon Morrissey by June 4, at the address indicated in this notice.

Organizations or individuals may also submit statements for the record without testifying. Twenty (20) copies of such statements should be sent to the Executive Secretary of the Advisory Council at the above address. Papers will be accepted and included in the record of the meeting if received on or before June 4.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of May 2001.

**Ann L. Combs,**

*Assistant Secretary, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-12476 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-M**

## **MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD**

### **Sunshine Act Notice**

**TIME AND DATE:** 11 a.m., Thursday, May 31, 2001.

**PLACE:** Board Conference Room, Sixth Floor, 1615 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20419.

**STATUS:** The meeting will be closed to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Board adjudication of the Office of Personnel Management's Request for Reconsideration in Azdell and Fishman v. Office of Personnel Management, DC-300A-97-0368-R-1 and DC-300A-97-0369-R-1.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:** Matthew Shannon, Counsel to the Clerk of the Board, (202) 653-7200.

Dated: May 15, 2001.

**Robert E. Taylor,**

*Clerk of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 01-12614 Filed 5-15-01; 3:18 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7400-01-M**

## **NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION**

### **Advisory Committee on the Records of Congress; Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) announces a meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Records of Congress. The committee advises NARA on the full range of programs, policies, and plans for the Center for Legislative Archives in the Office of Records Services.

**DATES:** June 11, 2001, from 10:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Bill Emerson Hall, U.S. House of Representatives Page School, Library of Congress, Jefferson Building, Room LJ-A15.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael L. Gillette, Director, Center for Legislative Archives, 202-501-5350.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Agenda**

Third Report to Congress: Follow-up Historical Services Legislative Resource Center—Update  
NARA Report on Electronic Records Project—Update  
Center for Legislative Archives—Update  
Other current issues and new business  
The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: May 10, 2001.

**Mary Ann Hadyka,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-12427 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515-01-P**

## **NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION**

### **Sunshine Act Meeting, Annual Board of Directors Meeting**

**TIME & DATE:** 2 PM, Thursday, May 31, 2001.

**PLACE:** Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, 1325 G Street, NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005.

**STATUS:** Open.

**CONTACT FOR MORE INFORMATION :** Jeffrey T. Bryson, General Counsel/Secretary 202-220-2372.

#### **Agenda**

I. Call to Order  
II. Approval of Minutes: February 26, 2001, Regular Meeting

III. Election of Chairman  
IV. Election of Vice Chairman  
V. Committee Appointments  
VI. Election of Officers  
VII. Board Appointments  
VIII. Treasurer's Report  
IX. Executive Director's Quarterly Management Report  
X. Strategic Planning Discussion  
XI. Adjournment

**Jeffrey T. Bryson,**

*General Counsel/Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-12520 Filed 5-15-01; 10:35 am]

**BILLING CODE 2570-01-M**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 50-333]**

### **Entergy Nuclear Fitzpatrick, LLC, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of Section III.G.2.c of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 to Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick, LLC, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee), in connection with Facility Operating License No. DPR-59 for operation of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant located in Oswego County, New York.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

##### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would provide an exemption from the technical requirements of Section III.G.2.c of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 to the extent that it requires the enclosure of cables of one redundant train of safe shutdown equipment in a 1-hour fire rated barrier, in fire area Control Tunnel 1 (CT-1).

The proposed action is in accordance with the application for exemption dated October 30, 2000, filed by the former licensee, the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY), as supplemented by the Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. letter dated February 7, 2001. On November 21, 2000, PASNY's interests in the license were transferred to Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick, LLC, which is authorized to possess and use FitzPatrick and to Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., which is authorized to possess, use and operate FitzPatrick. By letter dated January 26, 2001, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission (NRC) continue to review and act on all requests before the NRC which had been submitted by PASNY.

#### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The proposed action is needed to support continued operation with cable wrap fire barriers in CT-1 that do not have a rating of 1 hour.

#### *No Significant Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there would be no significant environmental impact as a result of the proposed action. While the installed fire barrier in CT-1 has less than a 1-hour fire endurance rating, it will provide some resistance to fire. The area where the fire barrier is located has no ignition sources other than cables, has available manual suppression capability, and is equipped with automatic fire suppression and fire detection. Under these circumstances, there is an adequate level of fire safety that there is reasonable assurance that at least one means of achieving and maintaining safe shutdown conditions will remain available during and after any postulated fire, and, therefore, the underlying purpose of the rule is met.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on March 22, 2001, the staff consulted with the New York State State official, Jay Dunkleberger, of the New York State Research and Development Authority, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see PASNY's letter dated October 30, 2000, as supplemented by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.'s letter dated February 7, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of May 2001.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### **Guy S. Vissing,**

*Senior Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-12413 Filed 5-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

---

## **RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD**

### **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirement of section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of

the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

#### *Title and purpose of information collection:*

Railroad Employers with No Compensated Employees;

Under 20 CFR 209.2 of the RRB's regulations, the RRB may require any employer or employee to furnish or submit any information, records, contracts, documents, reports or other materials within their possession or control, that, in the judgement of the RRB, may have any bearing upon (a) the employer status of any individual, person or company (b) the employee or pensions status of any individual, (c) the amount and credibility of service and compensation, and (d) any other matter arising which involves the administration of the Railroad Retirement Act. The RRB proposes to establish a monitoring program designed to periodically contact covered railroad employers who have either reported no compensated employees for the last 2 years, or who, after previously reporting no compensated employees are no longer reporting. The RRB will contact the targeted railroad employers and obtain information as to whether they had compensated employees in the past reporting year, if they expect to have compensated employees in the current reporting year, and provide them the opportunity to request that their status as an employer under the Railroad Retirement Act and Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act be reviewed. For program integrity purposes, targeted employers who operate a freight or passenger service will be asked to provide additional information as to whether they conducted any freight or passenger service during the previous reporting year, if they expect to conduct any during the current reporting year, or if they have ceased all operations. If they have conducted freight or passenger service, they will be asked how the service and compensation was accounted for. If they have ceased operations, they will be asked to provide the Interstate Commerce Commission/Surface Transportation Board references to any abandonment proceedings.