

Appendix C to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For Private-Sector Payments

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate annuity rate (percent)	Deferred annuities (percent)				
	On or after	Before		i ₁	i ₂	i ₃	n ₁	n ₂
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
92	6-1-01	7-1-01	5.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	7	8

PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

4. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.
 5. In appendix B to part 4044, a new entry, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used To Value Benefits

* * * * *

For valuation dates occurring in the month—	The values of i _t are:					
	i _t	for t =	i _t	for t =	i _t	for t =
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
June 2001	.0660	1-20	.0625	>20	N/A	N/A

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 8th day of May 2001.

Joseph H. Grant,
Acting Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.
 [FR Doc. 01-12198 Filed 5-14-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7708-01-P

third to last sentence correct “AF/ILEV” to read “USAF/ILEV.”

Dated: May 10, 2001.
Janet A. Long,
Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.
 [FR Doc. 01-12200 Filed 5-14-01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

temporary rule is issued to facilitate land traffic management while emergency repairs are made to the Jefferson Street Bridge.
DATES: This rule is effective from 7:30 a.m., March 30, 2001 until 7:30 a.m. on July 2, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Unless otherwise indicated, documents referred to in this notice will be available for inspection and copying at room 2.107f in the Robert A. Young Federal Building at Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, 1222 Spruce Street, St. Louis, MO 63103-2832, between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger K. Wiebusch, Bridge Administrator, Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, 1222 Spruce Street, St. Louis, MO 63103-2832, Telephone (314) 539-3900, extension 378.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Regulatory Information

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking has not been published and good cause exists for making this rule effective in less than 30 days from publication. The change has been implemented to address the emergency situation resulting in extensive damage to the Jefferson Street Bridge caused by a vessel allision. Thus, following normal rule making procedures would be impractical. Delaying implementation of

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

32 CFR Part 989

Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP); Correction

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DoD.
ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Air Force published in the *Federal Register* of March 28, 2001, a document concerning correcting amendments. This document corrects the inadvertent change to correcting amendment 17.

DATES: Effective on May 15, 2001.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack Bush (HQ USAF/ILEB), 1260 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330-1260, (703) 604-0553.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 01-7671 published on March 28, 2001 (66 FR 16868) make the following correction. On page 16868, correcting amendment 17, § 989.18, paragraph (a),

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

**[CGD 08-01-005]
 RIN 2115-AE47**

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Illinois Waterway, Illinois

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.
ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District is temporarily changing the regulation governing the McDonough Street Bridge, mile 287.3; Jefferson Street Bridge, mile 287.9; Cass Street Bridge, mile 288.1; Jackson Street Bridge, mile 288.4 and the Ruby Street Bridge, mile 288.7, Illinois Waterway. The drawbridges, with the exception of the Jefferson Street Bridge, will be allowed to remain closed to navigation from 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Saturday. The Jefferson Street Bridge will remain in the open-to-navigation position. This

the regulation will not adversely impact navigation; however, it would result in unnecessary prolonged traffic management problems within the City of Joliet, Illinois.

Background and Purpose

On February 21, 2001 the Jefferson Street Bridge, mile 287.9, Illinois Waterway in Joliet, Illinois was struck and seriously damaged by a vessel. The allision requires the Jefferson Street Bridge to remain in the open-to-navigation position until repairs are completed. It is estimated that it will take four months to complete the repairs. The Jefferson Street Bridge is one of five bascule leaf drawbridges within Joliet that carry vehicular traffic across the Illinois Waterway. The current regulations permit the bridges to remain closed to navigation during commuter hours of 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. to 5:15 p.m., Monday through Saturday. Damage to the Jefferson Street Bridge prevents its use by highway traffic and has increased traffic levels on the other bridges and travel time between bridges. The temporary rule was requested by the Illinois Department of Transportation in order to accommodate the additional vehicular traffic that has been diverted to the four remaining operable bridges.

Discussion of Temporary Rule

The five Joliet area drawbridges have a minimum vertical clearance of 16.5 feet above normal pool in the closed-to-navigation position. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of commercial tows and recreational watercraft. Presently, the draws of all Illinois Waterway bridges within Joliet open on signal for passage of river traffic, except that they need not open from 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and from 4:15 p.m. to 5:15 p.m., Monday through Saturday. This temporary drawbridge operation amendment has been coordinated with the commercial waterway operators who do not object. Extending the morning drawbridge closure period by 30 minutes and the afternoon closure period by 30 minutes during the week, now until July 2, 2001, will not adversely impact navigation. It will, however, significantly facilitate traffic management in the City of Joliet.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the

regulatory polices and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this temporary rule to be minimal. A full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The temporary rule only impacts vessel traffic for one hour a day Monday through Saturday during the spring and early summer months. Although this timeframe coincides with part of the navigation season, commercial interests can accommodate this restriction in their schedules. Therefore, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Any individual that qualifies or, believes he or she qualifies as a small entity, and requires assistance with the provisions of this rule, may contact Mr. Roger K. Wiebusch, Bridge Administrator, Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, telephone (314) 539–3900, extension 378.

Small businesses may send comments on the action of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork

Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13132 and have determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism under that Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government having first provided the funds to pay those unfunded mandate costs. This rule will not impose an unfunded mandate.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under figure 2–1, paragraph (32), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this rule is categorically excluded from further

environmental documentation. Promulgation of changes to drawbridge regulations has been found not to have significant effect on the human environment. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects

Bridges.

For the reason discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. Sec. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Effective 7:30 a.m. on February 26, 2001 through 7:30 a.m. on July 2, 2001, paragraph (c) of § 117.393 is suspended and a new paragraph (e) is added to read as follows:

§ 117.393 Illinois Waterway.

* * * * *

(e) The draws of the McDonough Street Bridge, mile 287.3; Cass Street Bridge, mile 288.1; Jackson Street Bridge, mile 288.4 and the Ruby Street Bridge, mile 288.7; all of Joliet, shall open on signal, except that they need not open from 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday through Saturday. The Jefferson Street Bridge shall remain in the open-to-navigation position during the period February 26, 2001 to July 2, 2001 for repairs.

Dated: March 30, 2001.

J.C. Van Sice,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 01–12115 Filed 5–14–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 136, 141 and 143

[FRL–6974–7]

RIN–2040–AD59

Withdrawal of Direct Final Rule; Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants Under the Clean Water Act; National Primary Drinking Water Regulations and National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations; Methods Update

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Because EPA received adverse comments, we are withdrawing the direct final rule entitled "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants Under the Clean Water Act; National Primary Drinking Water Regulations and National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations; Methods Update; Direct Final Rule." We published the direct final rule (66 FR 3466) with a companion proposed rule (66 FR 3526) on January 16, 2001. We stated in the direct final rule that if we received adverse comment by March 19, 2001, we would publish a timely notice of withdrawal in the **Federal Register**. We subsequently received adverse comments on the direct final rule. We will address those comments in a subsequent action based on the parallel proposal. The proposed rule stated that we would not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: As of May 15, 2001, EPA withdraws the direct final rule published at 66 FR 3466 on January 16, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Maria Gomez-Taylor, Ph.D., Engineering and Analysis Division (4303), USEPA Office of Science and Technology, Ariel Rios Bldg., 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 (phone: 202–260–1639; e-mail: Gomez-Taylor.Maria@epa.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA published a direct final rule on January 16, 2001, to approve the use of updated versions of test procedures (*i.e.*, analytical methods) for the determination of chemical, radiological, and microbiological pollutants and contaminants in wastewater and drinking water. These updated versions of analytical methods have been published by one or more of the following organizations: American

Society for Testing Materials, United States Geological Survey, United States Department of Energy, American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation. Previously approved versions of the methods would remain approved. The rule also corrected method citations and minor typographical errors in EPA's regulations for test procedures. EPA published a companion proposed rule (66 FR 3526) on the same date as the direct final rule.

The companion proposed rule invited comment on the substance of the direct final rule and stated that if adverse comments were received by March 19, 2001, the direct final rule would not become effective and a document would be published in the **Federal Register** to withdraw the direct final rule before the May 16, 2001, effective date. The EPA subsequently received adverse comments on the final rule. EPA plans to address those comments in a subsequent action. Today's action withdraws the direct final rule; the updated versions of the test procedures are not approved under 40 CFR parts 136, 141, or 143.

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 136

Environmental protection, Analytical methods, Incorporation by reference, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water pollution control.

40 CFR Part 141

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Incorporation by reference, Indian-lands, Intergovernmental relations, Radiation Protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water supply.

40 CFR Part 143

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Incorporation by reference, Indian-lands, Water supply.

Dated: May 7, 2001.

Christine Todd Whitman,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01–12045 Filed 5–14–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P