

Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions not specifically required by law. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

**Taking of Private Property**

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

**Civil Justice Reform**

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

**Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

**Environment**

We considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(b), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. The proposed rule is excluded under paragraph (34)(b) because it is administrative in nature and has no environmental effect. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 140**

Continental shelf, Incorporation by reference, Investigations, Marine safety, Occupational safety and health, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 140 as follows:

**PART 140—GENERAL**

1. The authority citation for part 140 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 43 U.S.C. 1333, 1348, 1350, 1356; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. In § 140.10, add, in alphabetical order, the definition of "Minerals Management Service inspector" to read as follows:

**§ 140.10 Definitions.**

*Minerals Management Service inspector* or *MMS inspector* means an individual employed by the Minerals Management Service who inspects fixed OCS facilities on behalf of the Coast Guard to determine whether the requirements of this subchapter are met.

- 3. In § 140.101—
  - a. Revise the section heading to read as set forth below;
  - b. Redesignate paragraphs (b) through (e) as paragraphs (c) through (f);
  - c. Add a new paragraph (b) to read as set forth below;
  - d. In redesignated paragraph (c), before the words "marine inspectors", add the words "Coast Guard"; following the words "OCS activities", add the words ", and MMS inspectors may inspect fixed OCS facilities,"; and, at the end of the last sentence, add the words "or MMS"; and
  - e. In redesignated paragraph (d), remove the words "a marine inspector" and add, in their place, the words "a Coast Guard marine inspector or an MMS inspector"; and remove the words "The marine inspector" and add, in their place, the words "The Coast Guard marine inspector or the MMS inspector".

**§ 140.101 Inspection by Coast Guard marine inspectors or Minerals Management Service inspectors.**

(b) On behalf of the Coast Guard, each fixed OCS facility engaged in OCS activities is subject to inspection by the Minerals Management Service (MMS).

- 4. In § 140.103—
  - a. In paragraph (b), remove "140.101(e)" and add, in its place, "140.101(f)"; and remove the words "Marine inspectors" and add, in their place, the words "marine inspectors and Minerals Management Service (MMS) inspectors"; and
  - b. In paragraph (c), remove "140.101(e)" and add, in its place, "140.101(f)"; and at the end of the paragraph, add a sentence to read as follows:

**§ 140.103 Annual inspection of fixed OCS facilities.**

(c) \* \* \* A copy of the completed form must be retained on the facility for

2 years after the inspection and made available to MMS on request.

\* \* \* \* \*  
**§ 140.105 [Amended]**

- 5. In § 140.105—
  - a. In paragraph (a), after the words "during an inspection", add the words "by a Coast Guard marine inspector or a Minerals Management Service (MMS) inspector";
  - b. In paragraph (b), before the words "is reported to", add the words "or an MMS inspector"; and, after the words "time specified by the", remove the words "Coast Guard";
  - c. In paragraph (c), after the words "fire fighting equipment deficiencies", add the words "on fixed OCS facilities"; and remove the words "the OCFI" wherever they appear and add, in their place, "MMS"; and
  - d. In paragraph (d), after the words "Marine Inspection," add the words "or MMS (for deficiencies or hazards discovered by MMS during an inspection of a fixed OCS facility)".

Dated: March 16, 2001.  
**R.C. North,**  
*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.*  
[FR Doc. 01-11848 Filed 5-9-01; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4910-15-U**

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS**

**38 CFR Part 36**

RIN 2900-AE20; 2900-AE60

**Loan Guaranty: Title Evidence Requirements and Occupancy Requirements for Conveyance of Properties to VA by Holders; Acceptance of Partial Payments; Indemnification of Default**

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rules: withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** This document withdraws the proposal to amend the loan guaranty regulations that was published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 1990 (55 FR 31847). We proposed to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to specify the title documentation required from the holder when VA acquires a property which was financed with a VA-guaranteed loan that has been terminated and to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a date by which VA must receive such title documentation from the holder. Further, we proposed to require that a property acquired by VA be vacant

when conveyed to VA unless someone properly in possession by virtue of a redemption period occupies it or VA otherwise directs the holder. This document also withdraws the proposal to amend the loan guaranty regulations that was published in the **Federal Register** on March 2, 1994 (59 FR 9944). In the March 2, 1994 document, we proposed to change the regulations by requiring that the mortgage holder provide notice to VA when refusing to accept partial payment on a loan in default and to clarify when a veteran is liable to VA for a loss due to a loan default. We are reconsidering the issues raised in both proposed rules in light of changes that have occurred in the industry since the proposals were promulgated. These issues may be the subject of a future rulemaking proceeding.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Richard Fyne, Assistant Director for Loan Management (261), Loan Guaranty Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, phone (202) 273-7380. (This is not a toll-free number.)

Approved: February 15, 2001.

**Anthony J. Principi,**

*Secretary of Veterans Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 01-11745 Filed 5-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8320-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[Region 2 Docket No. NY46-217b, FRL-6977-3]

### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans For Designated Facilities; New York

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the New York supplementary submittal for meeting EPA's conditional approval of the New York State Plan for regulating existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. The supplemental submittal documents that, except for two landfills, all are in compliance. A Title V permit containing a compliance schedule with all five federally enforceable increments of progress has been provided for one landfill and the other landfill is undergoing an applicability determination. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this

**Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's State Plan submittal, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 11, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to: Raymond Werner, Chief, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866.

Copies of the State submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Air Resources, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Craig Flamm, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10278, (212) 637-4021.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 19, 2001.

**William J. Muszynski,**

*Acting Regional Administrator Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 01-11830 Filed 5-9-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

### 44 CFR Part 62

RIN 3067-AD23

### National Flood Insurance Program; Assistance to Private Sector Property Insurers

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Based on recent cost information, we (FEMA) propose to adjust the expense allowance under the Financial Assistance/Subsidy Arrangement between the Federal Insurance Administrator and the private sector insurers that sell and service flood insurance.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule should be received on or before June 11, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Please submit any written comments to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., room 840, Washington, DC 20472, (facsimile) 202-646-4536, or (email) [rules@fema.gov](mailto:rules@fema.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward L. Connor, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, 202-646-3443, (facsimile) 202-646-3445, (email) [Edward.Connor@fema.gov](mailto:Edward.Connor@fema.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the Financial Assistance/Subsidy Arrangement between the Federal Insurance Administrator and the private sector insurers that sell and service flood insurance under the Write Your Own (WYO) program, participating insurers are entitled to an expense allowance—a portion of the flood premiums from the policies that the insurers sell. The expense allowance is based on data for the property/casualty industry published, as of March 15 of the prior Arrangement year, in Part III of the Insurance Expense Exhibit in A.M. Best Company's Aggregates and Averages for five property coverages.

Based on our analysis of recent expense information from the companies, we conclude that we should increase the current expense allowance under the Arrangement. We are therefore proposing a change in the expense allowance to reflect this new cost information.

### National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

NEPA imposes requirements for considering the environmental impacts of agency decisions. It requires that an agency prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for "major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment." If an action may or may not have a significant impact, the agency must prepare an environmental assessment (EA). If, as a result of this study, the agency makes a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), no further