

reports of their activities under the program.

The information is collected for compliance purposes by the Engine Programs Group, Certification and Compliance Division, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Office of Air and Radiation. Confidentiality of proprietary information is granted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, EPA regulations at 40 CFR part 2, and class determinations issued by EPA's Office of General Counsel.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on December 29, 2000, (65 FR 83004). No comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 146 hours per equipment manufacturer or post-manufacture marinizer, and 72 hours per engine manufacturer. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Nonroad compression ignition engine and equipment manufacturers and post-manufacture marinizers.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 548.

Frequency of Response: Equipment manufacturers and post-manufacture marinizers: On occasion. Engine manufacturers: Annually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 66,647 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$18,611.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No.1826.02 and OMB Control No. 2060-0369 in any correspondence.

Dated: April 25, 2001.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 01-11282 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6975-4]

Adequacy Status of Indiana and Kentucky Ozone Attainment Demonstration for Transportation Conformity Purposes for the Louisville Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of inadequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that it has found that the Louisville ozone attainment demonstration state implementation plans (SIP) submitted by Kentucky and Indiana on November 12, 1999, and November 15, 1999, respectively, do not contain motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs) that are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The Louisville moderate one-hour ozone nonattainment area includes Clark and Floyd Counties, Indiana, and Jefferson County, Kentucky, and portions of Bullitt and Oldham Counties in Kentucky. EPA is finding the MVEBs inadequate because, due to a decision by the United States Court of Appeals, one of the significant assumptions of the demonstration has changed. The SIP submittal assumes that regional oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) reductions will be achieved in adjoining States by May 1, 2003. Due to the Court's decision, those reductions will not be assured to occur until May 31, 2004. Since the MVEBs in the SIP submittal could only be adequate if the reductions occur in 2003, they are now being found inadequate. Since the November 15, 1999, submittal does not contain adequate MVEBs, this attainment demonstration submittal cannot be used for future transportation conformity determinations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ryan Bahr, Environmental Engineer,

Regulation Development Section (AR-18)), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-4366, bahr.ryan@epa.gov.

Dr. Robert Goodwin, Environmental Scientist, Regulatory Planning Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides, and Toxics Management Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth St., SW., Atlanta, GA 30303, (404) 562-9044, goodwin.robert@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management on April 20, 2001, stating that the submitted Louisville ozone attainment demonstration does not contain adequate MVEBs, and EPA Region 4 sent a similar letter to the Kentucky Division for Air Quality on April 20, 2001. This finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/traq>. (Once at EPA's Transportation and Air Quality Center website, click on the "Conformity" button and look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity.")

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Transportation conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which EPA determines whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudice EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if EPA finds a motor vehicle emission budget adequate, the EPA may later disapprove the SIP.

EPA described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999, memorandum titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999, Conformity Court Decision").

EPA followed this guidance in making this determination.

EPA is finding the MVEBs in the submitted Louisville attainment SIP inadequate because the associated SIP assumes that regional NO_x reductions under the NO_x SIP Call will be achieved in adjoining States by May 1, 2003. However, on August 30, 2000, the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit issued an order extending the compliance date for the NO_x SIP Call from May 1, 2003, to May 31, 2004. The effect of this ruling is that the regional NO_x emissions reductions cannot be assumed to occur until 2004, and, therefore, 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4)(iv) cannot be satisfied by the submitted MVEBs.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 26, 2001.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

Dated: April 20, 2001.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 01-11410 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6975-7]

National Drinking Water Advisory Council, Arsenic Cost Working Group, Request for Nominations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for nominations to the Arsenic Cost Working Group of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing the formation of an Arsenic Cost Working Group of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council and soliciting nominations to this working group. The Advisory Council was established to provide practical and independent advice, consultation, and recommendations to the Agency on the activities, functions, and policies related to the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water Act as amended.

Any interested person or organization may nominate qualified individuals for membership on the working group. Nominees should be identified by name, occupation, position, address and telephone number. To be considered, all nominations must include a current resume providing the nominee's

background, experience and qualifications.

Following the January 22, 2001 **Federal Register** promulgation of the arsenic rule, a number of concerns were raised to EPA by States, public water systems, and other stakeholders regarding the adequacy of science and the basis for national cost estimates underlying the rule. Because of the importance of the arsenic rule and the national debate surrounding it related to science and costs, EPA's Administrator publicly announced on March 20, 2001, that the Agency would take additional steps to reassess the scientific and cost issues associated with this rule and seek further public input on each of these important issues.

Consistent with that commitment, EPA will work with the National Drinking Water Advisory Council (NDWAC) to convene a panel of nationally recognized technical experts to review the cost of compliance estimates associated with the final arsenic in drinking water rule.

The criteria for selecting working group members and for conducting the review are that working group members are recognized experts in their fields; that working group members are as impartial and objective as possible; that working group members represent an array of backgrounds and perspectives (within their disciplines); that the working group members are available to participate fully in the review, which will be conducted over a relatively short time frame (i.e., within approximately 3-4 months); and that the results of the review be made publicly available for comment. Working group members will be asked to attend a series of meetings (approximately three) over the course of 3-4 months, participate in the discussion of key issues and assumptions at these meetings, and review and finalize the products and outputs of the working group. The working group will make a recommendation to the full NDWAC. The NDWAC will, in turn, make a recommendation to EPA.

Nominations should be submitted to Janet Pawlukiewicz, Designated Federal Officer, National Drinking Water Advisory Council, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4601), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20460, no later than May 14, 2001. The Agency will not formally acknowledge or respond to nominations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Pawlukiewicz at

pawlukiewicz.janet@epa.gov or call (202) 260-9194.

Dated: May 2, 2001.

Cynthia C. Dougherty,

Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

[FR Doc. 01-11423 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6617-7]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed April 23, 2001 Through April 27, 2001

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

EIS No. 010136, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, Forest Development Trail (FDT) 1135 (Arapaho Ridge Trail), Forest Development Road (FDR) 711.1 and FDR 711.1A Motorized or Non-Motorized Determination and Trailhead Parking Areas Creation at both ends of the Trail, Routt National Forest, Jackson County, CO, Comment Period Ends: June 18, 2001, Contact: Charles T. Oliver (970) 723-8204.

EIS No. 010137, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Meadow Face Stewardship Pilot Project, Implementation, Nez Perce National Forest, Clearwater Ranger District, Idaho County, ID, Comment Period Ends: June 18, 2001, Contact: Darcy Pederson (208) 983-1950.

EIS No. 010138, Final EIS, FHWA, VA, VA-37 Highway Transportation Improvement, Construction from VA-37/I-81/US-11 (south) to VA-37/US-11 (north), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Winchester, Frederick County, VA, Wait Period Ends: June 04, 2001, Contact: Roberto Fonseca-Martinez (804) 775-3320.

EIS No. 010139, Final EIS, FHWA, TX, TX-130 Construction, I-35 of Georgetown to I-10 near Seguin, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit, Williamson, Travis, Caldwell, Guadalupe Counties, TX, Wait Period Ends: June 04, 2001, Contact: Patrick Bauer (512) 536-5950.

EIS No. 010140, Final EIS, FRA, FL, GA, MD, PA, CA, LA, NV, Programmatic—Maglev Deployment Program, Development and Construction of an Operating Public Transportation System using Magnetic Levitation, Grants Issuance, CA, FL, GA, LA, MD, NV and PA, Wait Period Ends: June