

or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 1, 2001.

Madeleine Clayton,

*Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-11315 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-835, A-549-812]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Furfuryl Alcohol From the People's Republic of China and Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Furfuryl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China and Thailand.

SUMMARY: On September 5, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Thailand would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (65 FR 53701). On April 26, 2001, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (66 FR 21015). Therefore, pursuant to 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2000, the Department initiated (65 FR 25309), and the Commission instituted (65 FR 25363), sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of its reviews, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked. See *Furfuryl Alcohol From the People's Republic of China and Thailand; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Sunset Reviews*, 65 FR 53701 (September 5, 2000).

On April 26, 2001, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *Furfuryl Alcohol from China and Thailand*, 66 FR 21015 (April 26, 2001) and USITC Publication 3412 (April 2001), Investigations Nos. 731-TA-703 and 705 (Review).

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered in these antidumping duty orders is furfuryl alcohol (C⁴ H³ OCH²OH). Furfuryl alcohol is a primary alcohol and is colorless or pale yellow in appearance. It is used in the manufacture of resins and as a wetting agent and solvent for coating resins, nitrocellulose, cellulose acetate, and other soluble dyes. The product subject to these orders is classifiable under subheading 2932.13.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determination by the Department and the Commission that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping

duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from the PRC and Thailand. The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders no later than April 2006.

Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

April 30, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group 1, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-11308 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-840]

Manganese Metal From the People's Republic of China; Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of the antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to February 27, 2001, and February 28, 2001, requests by certain producers/exporters of manganese metal from the People's Republic of China, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on manganese metal from the People's Republic of China, covering the period February 1, 2000, through February 6, 2001. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations In Part*, 66 FR 16037 (March 22, 2001). Based on timely withdrawals of the requests for review from these companies, we are rescinding this review in its entirety in accordance with § 351.213(d)(1) of our regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 3, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Campbell or Suresh Maniam, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2239 and (202) 482-0176, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department's") regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On February 27, 2001, Minmetals Precious & Rare Minerals Import and Export ("Minmetals") and CEIEC-Hunan Company (Electronics) ("CEIEC-Hunan"), producers/exporters of manganese metal from the People's Republic of China, requested an administrative review of the subject merchandise for the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001. On February 28, 2001, London & Scandinavian Metallurgical Co., Ltd. and Shieldalloy Metallurgical Corporation (together referred to as "LSM/SMC"), likewise requested an administrative review of the subject merchandise for the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), the Department published the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations In Part, 66 FR 16037 (March 22, 2001) ("Initiation Notice").¹ On January April 17, 2001, LSM/SMC withdrew their request for review. On April 24, 2001, Minmetals and CEIEC-Hunan withdrew their request for review.

The Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Since all parties requesting review withdrew their requests for an administrative review within the 90-day deadline, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

¹ We note that the Initiation Notice specified a period of review of February 1, 2000, through February 6, 2001. This period of review was extended beyond the dates initially requested by the respondents to include the 6 days remaining prior to the revocation of this dumping order, which became effective February 6, 2001. See January 2001 Sunset Reviews: Final Result and Revocation, 63 FR 17524 (April 2, 2001).

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: April 27, 2001.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-11307 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-827]

Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Taiwan; Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by various interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on static random access memory semiconductors from Taiwan. This review covers the U.S. sales and/or entries of four manufacturers/exporters. In addition, we are rescinding this review with respect to one company. The period of review is April 1, 1999, through March 31, 2000.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the normal value by each of the companies subject to this review. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who wish to submit comments in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irina Itkin, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), in April 2000, the following two producers/exporters of SRAMs requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SRAMs from Taiwan: Galvantech, Inc. (Galvantech), and GSI Technology, Inc. (GSI Technology). In addition, the petitioner, Micron Technology, Inc., requested an administrative review of GSI Technology, as well as G-Link Technology (G-Link), Integrated Silicon Solution Inc. (ISSI), and Winbond Electronics Corporation (Winbond).

In May 2000, the Department initiated an administrative review for each of these companies (65 FR 35320 (June 2, 2000)) and issued questionnaires to them.

On June 16, 2000, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until April 30, 2001. See *Static Random Access Memory Semiconductors From Taiwan: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 38809 (June 22, 2000).

In December 2000, we received responses to sections A through C of the questionnaire (*i.e.*, the sections relating to general information, home market sales, and U.S. sales) from each of the respondents. In addition, we also received responses to section D of the questionnaire (*i.e.*, the section relating to cost of production (COP)/constructed value (CV)) from all companies except Galvantech.

On January 9, 2001, the petitioner alleged that Galvantech was selling at prices below the COP in its home market. Based on an analysis of this allegation, the Department initiated an investigation to determine whether Galvantech made home market sales during the period of review (POR) at