

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9091
(previously Docket No. 29479).

Petitioner: Skydive U, Inc. formerly known as Skydive Utah, Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 105.43(a).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit SUI's nonstudent foreign nationals to participate in SUI-sponsored parachute jumping events held at SUI's facilities without complying with the parachute equipment and packing requirement of § 105.43(a). *Grant, 04/10/2001, Exemption No. 6928A.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–8741
(previously Docket No. 29218).

Petitioner: Cessna Aircraft Company.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 91.409(b).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit owners and operators of Cessna Model 172R, 172S, and 182S (C–172R, C–172S, and C–182S, respectively) airplanes to use Cessna's PhaseCard Inspection Program rather than completing the required 100-hour inspection. *Grant, 04/10/2001, Exemption No. 6901B.*

Docket No.: 29975

Petitioner: Express Airlines I, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.434(c)(1)(ii).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Express to substitute a qualified and authorized check airman in place of an FAA inspector to observe a qualifying pilot in command who is completing initial or upgrade training specified in § 121.424 during at least one flight leg that includes a takeoff and a landing. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7504.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–8877.

Petitioner: Piedmont Aviation Services, Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 25.857(c), and 25.858, 121.314(c).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit two Boeing Model 737–200 airplanes to operate from March 20, 2001, until September 15, 2001, without being fitted with fire suppression equipment. *Denial, 03/13/2001, Exemption No. 7457.*

Docket No.: FAA–2000–8492.

Petitioner: The Boeing Company.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 25.1435(b)(1).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit amended type certification of the Boeing Models 777–200LR and 777–300ER by conducting a 3400 psig (the system relief pressure) test of the modified or added hydraulic tubing runs and any rerouted sections while verifying that adequate clearances

exist. *Partial Grant, 03/28/2001, Exemption No. 7478.*

[FR Doc. 01–11259 Filed 5–3–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE–2001–35]

Petitions for Exemption, Summary of Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Forest Rawls (202) 267–8033, Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267–7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267–8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 30, 2001.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9010.

Petitioner: Kitty Hawk Charters, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Kitty Hawk to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/16/2001, Exemption No. 7506.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–8787.

Petitioner: Flight Alaska, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Flight Alaska to operate certain aircraft under part 135

without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/16/2001, Exemption No. 7505.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–8865.
Petitioner: Corporate Air, LLC.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Corporate Air to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7498.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9033.

Petitioner: Silverhawk Aviation, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Silverhawk to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7499.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9105.

Petitioner: Ameristar Jet Charter, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.13(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Ameristar to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7500.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9031.

Petitioner: Houston Helicopters, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit HHI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7501.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9100.

Petitioner: Tex-Air Helicopters, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Tex-Air to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7502.*

Docket No.: FAA–2001–8871.

Petitioner: Mentone Flying Club, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.231, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Mentone to conduct sightseeing flights at Fulton County Airport for the one-day Round Barn Festival charitable event in June 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7503.*

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9081.
Petitioner: Helicopter Experts, Inc.
Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit HEI to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO-C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. *Grant, 04/12/2001, Exemption No. 7497.*

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9166 (previously Docket No. 30076).

Petitioner: TACA International Airlines.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.344(b).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit TAI to operate five Airbus A300 airplanes (Registration Nos. N59106, N59107, N59139, N59140, and N68142) without installing the required DFDR on each airplane until August 20, 2001. *Grant, 04/17/2001, Exemption No. 7350A.*

Docket No.: FAA-2001-9166 (previously Docket No. 28828).

Petitioner: North American Airlines Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 119.67(a)(1).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Edward F. Dascoli to act as the Director of Operations for NAA without holding an airline transport pilot certificate. *Grant, 04/13/2001, Exemption No. 7510.*

[FR Doc. 01-11260 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Chico Municipal Airport, Chico, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Chico Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 4, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered

in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210 Burlingame, CA 94010-1303. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Robert A. Grierson, Airport Manager of the Chico Municipal Airport, at the following address: City of Chico, P.O. Box 3420, Chico, CA 95927. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the city of Chico under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marlys Vandervelde, Airports Program Analyst, San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303, Telephone (650) 876-2806. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from PFC at Chico Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). On April 4, 2001, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC submitted by the city of Chico was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than July 3, 2001.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 01-04-C-00-CIC:

Level of proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: November 1, 2001.

Proposed charge expiration date: September 1, 2006.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$536,747.

Brief description of the proposed projects: Access road and drainage facilities between Fortress Street and aircraft parking apron development including complete engineering design and construction; Rehabilitate underground electrical duct and cable system-electrical vault to terminal to airport traffic control tower to apron edge; Runway 13L/31R pavement rehabilitation; Fortress Street overlay and reconstruction; Commercial road development-road construction and gate controls-Boeing Avenue, Convair Court, Fairchild Court, Lockheed Court, Piper

Court, and Alley Road; New airfield sweeper; Replace airfield guidance signs-3 and noise abatement signs-2; Overlay runway 13R/31L and taxiways B, D, E & F between parallel runways; Expand T-hangar area taxiway and connect T-hangar taxiway to taxiway A; Acquire insulation suits for aircraft fire and rescue personnel; Airport master plan study; infield grading; Extend security fence ditch crossings; Improve emergency access and service road to west side of airport; and Installation of automated weather observation system.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of Chico.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on April 4, 2001.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 01-11263 Filed 5-3-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application (01-05-C-00-EGE) To Impose and To Use a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at the Eagle County Regional Airport, Submitted by the County of Eagle, CO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at the Walker Field Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 4, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Alan Wiechmann, Manager; Denver Airports District Office, DEN-ADO; Federal Aviation Administration;