

#### 2.4 Pallet Preparation and Labeling with Scheme (L001) Sort

Mailers must prepare pallets of packages in the manner and sequence listed below and under M041. Mailers must prepare all merged 5-digit scheme, 5-digit scheme carrier routes, 5-digit scheme, and merged 5-digit pallets that are possible in the mailing based on the volume of mail to the destination using L001 and the 5% threshold. Mailers must label pallets according to the Line 1 and Line 2 information listed below and under M031.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### M940 Merged Palletization of Packages Using the City State Product and a 5% Threshold

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 2.0 STANDARD MAIL

##### 2.1 Basic Standards

[Amend the introduction to read as follows:]

Carrier route packages of flats in a carrier route rate mailing may be placed on the same pallet as 5-digit packages of flats from an automation rate mailing and 5-digit packages of flats from a Presorted rate mailing under the following conditions:

\* \* \* \* \*

[Amend item f to delete references to the optional L001 scheme sort.]

f. If sortation under this section is performed, then merged 5-digit scheme pallets must be prepared whenever there is enough volume of carrier route and 5-digit packages under M041 to prepare such pallets using the criteria in 2.1e and the sortation criteria in 2.4.

\* \* \* \* \*

[Amend item j to delete references to the optional L001 scheme sort.]

j. The packages from each separate mailing must be sorted together on pallets (copalletized) using presort software that is PAVE-certified.

\* \* \* \* \*

##### 2.3 5% Threshold Standard

[Amend the introduction to 2.3 to show that the L001 scheme sort is the only allowable sort:]

For 5-digit ZIP Codes with a "B" or "D" indicator in the City State Product, mailers may place 5-digit packages with carrier route packages on the same merged 5-digit scheme and merged 5-digit pallet if all of the following conditions are met:

\* \* \* \* \*

[Delete 2.4. Renumber 2.5 (palletizing with scheme sort) as 2.4. Amend the title and introduction to read as follows:]

#### 2.4 Pallet Preparation and Labeling with Scheme (L001) Sort

Mailers must prepare pallets of packages in the manner and sequence listed below and under M041. Mailers must prepare all merged 5-digit scheme, 5-digit scheme carrier routes, 5-digit scheme, and merged 5-digit pallets that are possible in the mailing based on the volume of mail to the destination using L001, the City State Product, and the 5% threshold. Mailers must label pallets according to the Line 1 and Line 2 information listed below and under M031.

\* \* \* \* \*

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR part 111 to reflect these changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 01-9510 Filed 4-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-U**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 52

[ID-01-01; FRL-6962-2]

##### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Idaho

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve revisions to Idaho's State Implementation Plan (SIP) relating to permit requirements for new major facilities or major modifications in the former PM-10 Northern Ada County nonattainment area. These revisions were submitted to EPA on February 9, 2001, by the Director of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's State Implementation Plan submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this

proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received in writing by May 17, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: Donna Deneen (OAQ-107), Office of Air Quality, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the State submittal are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, 1420 North Hilton, Boise, Idaho 83706-1255.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Donna Deneen (OAQ-107), Office of Air Quality, EPA, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-6706.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 26, 2001.

**Charles E. Findley,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 01-9354 Filed 4-16-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-U**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

##### 40 CFR Part 52

[OH139-1b; FRL-6960-2]

##### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve the July 6, 2000, Ohio site-specific State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision revising Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) requirements for Morgan Adhesives Company in Stow, Ohio. The SIP revision establishes an alternative control strategy for limiting VOC emissions from coating lines at its pressure sensitive tape and label manufacturing plant in Stow.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this action as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A