

convicted of violating the AECA, or certain other provisions of the United States Code, shall be eligible to apply for or use any export license issued pursuant to, or provided by, the Act or the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. parts 730–774 (2000), as amended (65 FR 14862, March 20, 2000)) (the Regulations), for a period of up to 10 years from the date of the conviction. In addition, any license issued pursuant to the Act in which such a person had any interest at the time of conviction may be revoked.

Pursuant to sections 766.25 and 750.8(a) of the Regulations, upon notification that a person has been convicted of violating the AECA, the Director, Office of Exporter Services, in consultation with the Director, Office of Export Enforcement, shall determine whether to deny that person's export privileges for a period of up to 10 years from the date of conviction and shall also determine whether to revoke any license previously issued to such a person.

Having received notice of Cheng's conviction for violating the AECA, and after providing notice and an opportunity for Cheng to make a written submission to the Bureau of Export Administration before issuing an Order denying his export privileges, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Regulations, I, following consultations with the Director, Office of Export Enforcement, have decided to deny Cheng's export privileges for a period of 10 years from the date of his conviction. The 10-year period ends on February 23, 2009. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act in which Cheng had an interest at the time of his conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby

#### *Ordered*

I. Until February 23, 2009, George K. Cheng, currently incarcerated at: Inmate Registration No: 489–40–053, Allenwood Low FCI, White Deer, Pennsylvania 17887, and with an address at: 9 Judith Drive, Greenlawn, New York 11550, may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States, that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport or to on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership; possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Cheng by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

VI. In accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Cheng may file an appeal from this Order with the Under Secretary for Export Administration. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

VII. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Cheng. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: April 2, 2001.

**Eileen M. Albanese,**

*Director, Office of Exporter Services.*

[FR Doc. 01–9079 Filed 4–11–01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DT-U**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A–475–703]

#### **Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Resin From Italy: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 12, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Salim Bhabhrawala or Gabriel Adler, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1784 or (202) 482–3813, respectively.

#### **Time Limits**

##### *Statutory Time Limits*

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and for the final results to 180

days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of publication of the preliminary results.

#### Background

On September 26, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping order on PTFE resin from Italy, covering the period August 1, 1999, through July 31, 2000 (65 FR 58733). The preliminary results are currently due no later than May 3, 2001.

#### Extension of Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, we are extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than August 31, 2001. See Decision Memorandum from Gary Taverman to Holly Kuga, dated April 4, 2001, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the notice of preliminary results.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: April 4, 2001.

Holly Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 01-9099 Filed 4-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-122-503]

#### Iron Construction Castings from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On December 7, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings (ICC) from Canada (65 FR 76609). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise (Canada Pipe, Ltd.). The period of review (POR) is March 1, 1999, through February 29, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 12, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nithya Nagarajan, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

##### Background

On December 7, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ICC from Canada. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Iron Construction Castings from Canada*, 65 FR 76609 (December 7, 2000).

In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the preliminary results of this review, Canada Pipe Ltd. (Canada Pipe or respondent) filed its case brief on February 23, 2001. No other interested parties filed case or rebuttal briefs.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by the order consists of certain iron construction castings from Canada, limited to manhole covers, rings, and frames, catch basin grates and frames, cleanout covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water and sanitary systems, classifiable as heavy castings under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 7325.10.0010, 7325.10.0020, and 7325.10.0025. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs

purposes only. The written description remains dispositive.

##### Period of Review

The POR is March 1, 1999 to February 29, 2000.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II, Import Administration, to Bernard T. Carreau, fulfilling the duties of Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated April 6, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculation. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Decision Memorandum.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margin exists for the period March 5, 1998 through August 31, 1999:

Manufacturer/exporter	Percent margin
Canada Pipe, Ltd. ....	3.89 percent.

##### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales to the total entered value of the same sales. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct Customs to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer.