

Item	Responses (a)	Filing fees (\$) * (b)	Total non-hour cost burden (\$) (a) x (b)
Intent to Use Trademark/Service Mark Application, including:			
—Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Membership Mark Application			
—Certification Mark Application	111,445	325.00	36,219,625.00
Electronic Intent to Use Trademark/Service Mark Application, including:			
—Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Membership Mark Application			
—Certification Mark Application	21,322	325.00	6,929,650.00
Application for registration of Trademark/Service Mark under § 44(d) and (e), including:			
—Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Membership Mark Application			
—Certification Mark Application	11,940	325.00	3,880,500.00
Electronic application for Registration of Trademark/Service Mark under § 44(d) & (e), including:			
—Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Trademark/Service Mark Application			
—Collective Membership Mark Application			
—Certification Mark Application	2,558	325.00	831,350.00
Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Amendment to Allege Use/Statement of Use)	48,440	100.00	4,844,000.00
Electronic Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Amendment to Allege Use/Statement of Use)	20,760	100.00	2,076,000.00
Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use	54,530	150.00	8,179,500.00
Electronic Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use	23,370	150.00	3,505,500.00
Request to Divide	910	100.00	91,000.00
Electronic Request to Divide	390	100.00	39,000.00
Affidavit of Use of a Mark in Commerce under § 8	31,590	100.00	3,159,000.00
Electronic Declaration of Use of a Mark in Commerce under § 8	13,540	100.00	1,354,000.00
Combined Affidavit of Use in Commerce & Application for Renewal of Registration of a Mark under §§ 8 & 9	13,600	500.00	6,800,000.00
Electronic Combined Declaration of Use in Commerce & Application for Renewal of Registration of a Mark under §§ 8 & 9	5,830	500.00	2,915,000.00
Affidavit of Incontestability of a Mark under § 15	800	200.00	160,000.00
Electronic Declaration of Incontestability of a Mark under § 15	340	200.00	68,000.00
Combined Affidavit of Use and Incontestability under §§ 8 & 15	7,200	300.00	2,160,000.00
Electronic Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability under §§ 8 & 15	3,090	300.00	927,000.00
Power of Attorney	127,930	None	0
Designation of Domestic Representative	34,115	None	0
Trademark Amendments/Corrections/Surrenders	7,200	100.00	720,000.00
Petition to Revive an Abandoned Application	3,200	100.00	320,000.00
Total	677,151	5,050.00	128,420,700.00

* Note: All fees listed are based on per class filing.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection;

they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 26, 2001.

Susan K. Brown,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of Data Management, Data Administration Division.
[FR Doc. 01-8013 Filed 3-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Request for Public Comment on Short Supply Request under the United States—Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA)

March 29, 2001.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA)

ACTION: Request for public comments concerning a request for a determination that 100 percent polyester yarn of 150 denier/140 filament textured polyester containing one end of 75/70 cationic dyeable polyester intermingled with one end of 75/70 disperse dyeable polyester cannot be supplied by the domestic

industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori E. Mennitt, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

SUMMARY: On March 26, 2001 the Chairman of CITA received a petition on behalf of Val D'or, Inc. and Malden Mills alleging that 100 percent polyester yarn of 150 denier/140 filament textured polyester containing one end of 75/70 cationic dyeable polyester intermingled with one end of 75/70 disperse dyeable polyester, for use in knit fabric, classified in subheading 5402.33.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. It requests that the President proclaim that apparel articles of U.S. formed fabrics of such yarns be eligible for preferential treatment under the CBTPA. CITA hereby solicits public comments on this request, in particular with regard to whether 100 percent polyester yarn of 150 denier/140 filament textured polyester containing one end of 75/70 cationic dyeable polyester intermingled with one end of 75/70 disperse dyeable polyester can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Comments must be submitted by April 17, 2001 to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, Room 3001, United States Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 213(b)(2)(A)(v)(II) of the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act, as added by Section 211(a) of the CBTPA; Section 6 of Executive Order No. 13191 of January 17, 2001.

BACKGROUND: The CBTPA provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for qualifying textile and apparel products. Such treatment is generally limited to products manufactured from yarns or fabrics formed in the United States or a beneficiary country. The CBTPA also provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for apparel articles that are both cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from fabric or yarn that is not formed in the United States or a CBTPA beneficiary country, if it has been determined that such fabric or yarn cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner and the President has proclaimed such treatment. In Executive Order No.

13191, the President delegated to CITA the authority to determine whether yarns or fabrics cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA and directed CITA to establish procedures to ensure appropriate public participation in any such determination. On March 6, 2001, CITA published procedures that it will follow in considering requests. (66 FR 13502).

On March 26, 2001 the Chairman of CITA received a petition on behalf of Val D-or, Inc. and Malden Mills alleging that 100 percent polyester yarn of 150 denier/140 filament textured polyester containing one end of 75/70 cationic dyeable polyester intermingled with one end of 75/70 disperse dyeable polyester, for use in knit fabric, classified in HTSUS subheading 5402.33.60, cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner and requesting that the President proclaim quota- and duty-free treatment under the CBTPA for apparel articles that are cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from U.S. formed fabric from such yarn.

CITA is soliciting public comments regarding this request, particularly with respect to whether 100 percent polyester yarn of 150 denier/140 filament textured polyester containing one end of 75/70 cationic dyeable polyester intermingled with one end of 75/70 disperse dyeable polyester, for use in knit fabric, classified in HTSUS subheading 5402.33.60, can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Also relevant is whether other yarns that are supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner are substitutable for the yarn for purposes of the intended use. Comments must be received no later than April 17, 2001. Interested persons are invited to submit six copies of such comments or information to the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, room 3100, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

If a comment alleges that 100 percent polyester yarn of 150 denier/140 filament textured polyester containing one end of 75/70 cationic dyeable polyester intermingled with one end of 75/70 disperse dyeable polyester can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner, CITA will closely review any supporting documentation, such as a signed statement by a manufacturer of the yarn stating that it produces the yarn that is the subject of the request,

including the quantities that can be supplied and the time necessary to fill an order, as well as any relevant information regarding past production.

CITA will protect any business confidential information that is marked business confidential from disclosure to the full extent permitted by law. CITA will make available to the public non-confidential versions of the request and non-confidential versions of any public comments received with respect to a request in room 3100 in the Herbert Hoover Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230. Persons submitting comments on a request are encouraged to include a non-confidential version and a non-confidential summary.

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc.01-8121 Filed 3-29-01; 1:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Meeting of the DOD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Advisory Group on Electron Devices.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Working Group A (Microwave Devices) of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) announces a closed session meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held at 0900, Thursday, February 15, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at Palisades Institute for Research Services, Inc. 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 500, Arlington, VA 22202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cox, AGED Secretariat, 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Square Four, Suite 500, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mission of the Advisory Group is to provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology, to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E to the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective and economical research and development program in the area of electron devices.

The Working Group A meeting will be limited to review of research and