

of *Azdell and Fishman v. Office of Personnel Management*, docket numbers DC-300A-97-0368-N-1, DC-300A-97-0368-N-2, DC-97-0369-N-1, DC-97-0369-N-2, DC-300A-97-0368-C-1, DC-300A-97-0368-C-2, DC-300A-97-0369-C-1, and DC-300A-97-0369-C-2.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined that Board business required its consideration of these matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10).

The meeting was held in the Board's conference room at 1615 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20419

Dated: March 27, 2001.

**Robert E. Taylor,**

*Clerk of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 01-7931 Filed 3-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1400-01-M**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388]

### **PPL Susquehanna, LLC; Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-14, and NPF-22, issued to PPL Susquehanna, LLC (the licensee), for operation of Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Luzerne County, Pennsylvania.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

##### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

The proposed action would make administrative changes in the Technical Specifications (TSs), correcting the wording of the legends in Figure 3.4.10.1, "Reactor Vessel Pressure vs. Minimum Vessel Temperature," for both units, and correcting administrative errors in Section 5.6.5.b, regarding the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR), for Unit 2.

The proposed action is in accordance with the application for amendment submitted by PP&L, Inc. (the licensee before July 1, 2000), dated January 13, 2000, as supplemented by letter submitted by PPL Susquehanna, LLC (the licensee on and after July 1, 2000), dated September 6, 2000.

##### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The proposed amendments would revise the wording of parts of the units' TSs, which are currently in error. The proposed amendments involve administrative changes to the TSs only. No actual plant equipment, regulatory requirements, operating practices, or analyses are affected by these proposed amendments.

##### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is no significant environmental impact if the amendments are granted. No changes will be made to the design, licensing bases, and applicable procedures at the units. Other than the administrative changes, no other changes will be made to the TSs. Consequently, the action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

##### *Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

##### *Alternative Use of Resources*

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to the Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2 dated June 1981.

##### *Agencies and Persons Contacted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on February 9, 2001, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Mr.

Richard Janati, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed amendment. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see PP&L, Inc.'s letter dated January 13, 2000, as supplemented by PPL Susquehanna, LLC's letter dated September 6, 2000. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available documents will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of March 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Robert G. Schaaf,**

*Project Manager, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-7790 Filed 3-28-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

### **Working Group on Termination of Uranium Mill Licenses in Agreement States**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of formation of working group and public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is announcing the formation of a working group on Termination of Uranium Mill Licenses in Agreement States. The working group will provide recommendations to the NRC on the NRC concurrence process for uranium license termination in Agreement States. The working group is composed of representatives from the NRC and Agreement States.

**DATES:** The first meeting will be held on April 24, 2001, from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. at the NRC Headquarters, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-3-B4, Rockville, Maryland 20852. Agreement State representatives will participate in the