

media is complete and true, and legible when retrieved.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: March 19, 2001.  
By the Commission.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
*Secretary.*

**Note:** The Appendix to the Preamble will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

### Appendix A; Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

I, Laura Unger, Acting Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby certify pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that changes to rule 1 [17 CFR 257.1] under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), as amended, would not, if adopted, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities in the United States:

The proposed rule amendment would have no economic impact on small entities because it would apply only to public utility holding companies registered under the Act and mutual or subsidiary service companies of those registered holding companies. According to rule 110 [17 CFR 250.110] under the Act, for purposes of compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, a "small business" or "small organization" is defined as "a holding company system whose gross consolidated revenues from sales of electric energy or of natural or manufactured gas distributed at retail for its previous fiscal year did not exceed \$1,000,000." None of the public utility holding companies currently registered under the Act fit the definition of "small business" or "small organization" and none are unlikely to do so in the future, as operating revenues for the previous year for all holding company systems significantly exceeded rule 110's \$1,000,000 maximum. Moreover, the amendment, designed to facilitate the use of electronic media, merely expands the type of electronic media registered holding companies and mutual or subsidiary service companies may use to fulfill the recordkeeping requirements under the Act. The proposal is in response to the guidance and directives contained in the Electronic Signatures in Global Commerce Act, recently signed into law. The amendment will not result in a significant impact to the regulated companies, as it merely provides standards as to what types of electronic media are able to produce sufficient recording integrity to constitute compliance with the recordkeeping requirements of rule 1.

Accordingly, the proposed amendment would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Dated: March 16, 2001.

**Laura S. Unger,**  
*Acting Chairman.*

[FR Doc. 01-7254 Filed 3-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-U

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Part 301

[REG-121109-00]

RIN 1545-AY52

### Disclosure of Return Information to the Bureau of the Census; Correction

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to REG-121109-00 which was published in the **Federal Register** on Tuesday, February 13, 2001 (66 FR 9991). These regulations relate to additions to the list of items of information disclosed to the Bureau of the Census for use in the Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) project and the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) project.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stuart Murray, (202) 622-4580 (not a toll-free number).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The notice of proposed rulemaking that is the subject of these corrections is under section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code.

##### Need for Correction

As published, REG-121109-00 contains errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

##### Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-121109-00), which was the subject of FR Doc. 01-1990, is corrected as follows:

##### **§ 301.6103(j)(1)-1 [Corrected]**

1. On page 9992, column 3, § 301.6103(j)(1)-1(b)(5)(iii), (iv) and (v), line 4, the language "§ 301.6103(j)(1)-T(b)(5)(iii), (iv), and (v)" is corrected to read "§ 301.6103(j)(1)-1T(b)(5)(iii), (iv), and (v)".

2. On page 9992, column 3, § 301.6103(j)(1)-1(e), line 3, the language "§ 301.6103(j)(1)-T(e)

published" is corrected to read "§ 301.6103(j)(1)-1T(e) published".

**Cynthia Grigsby,**

*Chief, Regulations Unit, Office of Special Counsel (Modernization & Strategic Planning).*

[FR Doc. 01-7166 Filed 3-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CT064-7222B; A-1-FRL-6942-5]

### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Connecticut—Approval of Several NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Trading Orders as Single Source SIP Revisions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Connecticut. This revision establishes a mechanism to create and use emission trading credits for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) at electric generating stations currently owned by Wisvest in Bridgeport and New Haven, Connecticut. This revision also approves retrospectively credits created at these facilities between April 16, 2000 and April 30, 2000. The revision also approves annual emission credits at Wisvest's power plant Bridgeport Harbor Station (unit no. 2). These permanent credits can be used by facilities to offset any NO<sub>x</sub> emission increases due to new construction or plant modifications subject to EPA's nonattainment major new source review program. Finally, this revision changes the expiration date from December 1999 to December 2000 of previously issued Orders to four municipal waste incinerators. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties