

Commission order and can petition for court review of any such order. However, an intervenor must submit copies of comments or any filing it makes with the Commission to every other intervenor in the proceeding, as well as 14 copies with the Commission.

A person does not have to intervene, however, in order to have comments considered. A person, instead, may submit two copies of comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of environmental documents and will be able to participate in meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission and will not have the right to seek rehearing or appeal the Commission's final order at a federal court.

The Commission will consider all comments and concerns equally, whether filed by commenters or those requesting intervenor status.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that the proposal is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Norse and Nornew to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-7121 Filed 3-21-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-506-003]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing

March 16, 2001.

Take notice that on March 13, 2001, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to be effective February 25, 2001:

Substitute Eighth Revised Sheet No. 24
Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 259
Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 278-C

Northwest states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's Order on Compliance Filing dated February 23, 2001 in Docket No., RP00-506-002 directing Northwest to file revised tariff sheets (1) to remove the proposed requirement for pro rata reductions of maximum daily quantities (MDQs) and maximum daily delivery obligations (MDDOs) at individual receipt and delivery points in the event of a partial capacity turnback, and (2) to remove the terms "volumetric" and "geographic" from provisions pertaining to capacity release and the right of first refusal.

Northwest states that a copy of this filing has been served upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web

site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-7116 Filed 3-21-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL00-95-018, EL00-98-017, ER01-1448-001, ER01-1449-001, ER01-1451-001, ER01-1453-001, ER01-1455-001, ER01-1456-001]

San Diego Gas & Electric Company Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services, Respondents, Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC, Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc., Portland General Electric Company, Reliant Energy Services, Inc., Mirant California, LLC, Mirant Delta, LLC and Mirant Potrero, LLC, Williams Energy Services Corporation; Notice of Proxy Price for February Wholesale Transactions in the California Wholesale Electric Market

March 16, 2001.

On March 9, 2001, the Commission issued an order establishing a proxy market clearing price approach to estimate total potential refunds or offsets in the ISO and PX markets during January through April 2001.¹ The Commission directed the Director of the Office of Markets, Tariffs and Rates to issue a notice of the proxy market clearing price for the month of February. For the month of February, 2001, the proxy price is estimated to result in approximately \$55 million of total potential refunds or offsets by public utility sellers. These refunds are based on a proxy market clearing price of \$430/MWh.

Following the methodology established by the March 9 order, the proxy price for February is based on:

(1) a combustion turbine with a heat rate of 18,073/Btu/kWh as reported in the three California investor-owned utilities' 1998 FERC Form No. 1;

(2) the average reported midpoint natural gas price for "Southern California Gas Company large package" transactions as reported in Financial Times Energy's "Gas Daily" publication. This price increased 53 percent from January to February 2001 from \$12.50/mmBtu to \$19.11/mmBtu;

¹ 94 FERC ¶ 61,245 (The California PX suspended operations at the end of January 2001.)