

	Period
Pakistan: Cotton Shop Towels, C-535-001	1/1/00-12/31/00
Turkey: Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, C-489-502	1/1/00-12/31/00

Suspension Agreements

None.

In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of March 2001. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of March 2001, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or

countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 27, 2001.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-5280 Filed 3-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Glycine From the Peoples Republic of China: Amended Final Results of New Shipper Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results in the antidumping duty new shipper administrative review of glycine from the People's Republic of China.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Bolling or Rick Johnson, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3434 or (202) 482-3818, respectively.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is produced at varying levels of purity and is used as a sweetener/taste enhancer, a buffering agent, reabsorbable amino acid, chemical intermediate, and a metal complexing agent. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). This proceeding includes glycine of all purity levels. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written

description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Amendment of Final Results

On January 31, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its new shipper administrative review on glycine from the People's Republic of China (66 FR 8383). This review covered Nantong Dongchang Chemical Industry Corp., a new shipper of the subject merchandise to the United States. The period of review (POR) is March 1, 1999 through August 31, 1999.

On February 2, 2001, we received a submission from Hampshire Chemical Corporation and Chattem Chemicals (collectively, "Petitioners") alleging a clerical error in the final results of this new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China. Respondent submitted rebuttal comments on February 8, 2001. The allegation and rebuttal comments were filed in a timely fashion.

Comment 1: Petitioners allege that the Department committed a ministerial error in the final results of the new shipper review. Petitioners state that the Department used an incorrect factory overhead surrogate value that was derived from the financial statement of Daurala Organics Ltd. Petitioners claim that the Department used a 21.07 percent factory overhead surrogate value; however, the correct percentage is 21.70 percent.

Respondent argues that no clerical error has occurred and therefore, no recalculation of the final margin is necessary. Respondent asserts that under section 351.224(c) of the Department's regulations, comments concerning ministerial errors made in the preliminary results are to be included in an interested parties case brief. Respondent contends that petitioners' alleged error occurred during the preliminary stage of this review, and thus petitioners' comments are untimely according to Department regulations and no correction needs to be made to the factory overhead ratio.

Department's Position: After a review of petitioners' allegation, we agree with petitioners and have corrected our calculation worksheet to correct the factory overhead surrogate value. For the factory overhead ratio we used to correct this ministerial error, please see the *Memorandum from Robert A.*

Bolling to Edward Yang dated February 21, 2001, a public version of which is available in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department of Commerce Building, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC.

Amended Final Results of New Shipper Review

As a result of our review and the correction of the ministerial error described above, we have determined that the following margin exists:

GLYCINE

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Nantong Dongchang Chemical Industry Corp	18.60

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment rates. We divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value of those reviewed sales for each importer. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting percentage margin against the entered Customs values for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries under the relevant order during the review period.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective, upon publication of this notice of amended final results of the new shipper review for all shipments of glycine from the People's Republic of China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate shown above; (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding but for whom a review was not requested for this POR will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC NME entity (i.e., all other exporters, which have not been reviewed) will continue to be 155.89 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the

final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and in the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: February 26, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-5279 Filed 3-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-835]

Oil Country Tubular Goods From Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On September 11, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from Japan (65 FR 54838). The review covers one manufacturer. The period of review is August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999.

We have not made changes to the preliminary margins. The final dumping margins for the reviewed firms, based on adverse facts available, are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley or Samantha Denenberg, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0666 and (202) 482-1386, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On September 11, 2000, the Department published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Japan (65 FR 54838). We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this order consists of oil country tubular goods, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The products subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.21.30.00, 7304.21.60.30, 7304.21.60.45, 7304.21.60.60, 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10,