

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2000).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 18, 2000, Ordered That

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink jet print cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2 or 3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,827,294; claims 4 or 5 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,635,073; claims 2 or 3 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,680,859; claim 4 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,872,027; claims 1, 2, 3, 4 or 12 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,992,802; or claims 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19 or 20 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,409,134; and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Hewlett-Packard Company, 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California 94304.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Microjet Technology Co., Ltd., No. 29 Tzu Chiang Street, Tu-Cheng Taipei, Hsien, Taiwan 236.
Printer Essentials.com, Inc., 895 East Patriot Blvd., Suite 109, Reno, Nevada 89511.

Price-Less Inkjet Cartridge Company, Omni Executive Center #33, 4055 Tamiami Trail, Port Charlotte, Florida 33952.

Cartridge Hut and Paperwork Plus, 29696 Via Naravilla, Sun City, California 92586.

ABCCo.net, Inc., 3890 Tamiami Trail, Port Charlotte, Florida 33952.

(c) James B. Coughlan, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401-L, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: January 19, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-2211 Filed 1-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-U

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committees on Rules of Appellate, Civil, and Criminal Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States Advisory Committees on Rules of Appellate, Civil, and Criminal Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation and change of date of open hearings.

SUMMARY: The following public hearings have been canceled:

- Appellate Rules in San Francisco, California, on January 29, 2001;
- Civil Rules in San Francisco, California, on January 29, 2001; and
- Criminal Rules in New Orleans, Louisiana, on January 24, 2001; and in

San Francisco, California, on January 29, 2001.

The following public hearing has a change of date:

- Criminal Rules in Washington, D.C., from February 12, 2001 to April 25, 2001.

(Original notice of hearings appeared in the **Federal Register** of September 13, 2000.)

Notice of Cancellation and Change of Date of Hearings

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC. 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.

Dated: January 19, 2001.

John K. Rabiej,

Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.

[FR Doc. 01-2216 Filed 1-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

National Drug Intelligence Center; Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested.

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; New Collection; National Drug Threat Survey.

The Department of Justice, National Drug Intelligence Center (NDIC) submits the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The purpose of this notice is to allow 60 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until March 26, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies' estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who