

*Administrative Review of Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Taiwan*, dated January 8, 2001, and on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) of the Main Commerce Building, Room B-099, we find that it is not practicable to complete this review by the scheduled deadline. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 90 days (July 2, 2001).

Dated: January 8, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 01-1108 Filed 1-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-475-812]

#### Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel From Italy; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of countervailing duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On July 7, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on grain-oriented electrical steel for the period January 1, 1998 through December 31, 1998.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and the decision of the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Delverde S.r.L. v. United States*, 202 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (*Delverde III*), the Department has reexamined its change in ownership analysis and methodology. As a result, we have made changes to the net subsidy rate. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final net subsidy rate for the reviewed company is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 12, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Moore or Darla Brown, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4012, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. Telephone

numbers (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-2849, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) effective January 1, 1995. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

##### Background

On July 7, 2000, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on grain-oriented electrical steel. See *Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Italy; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 41950 (July 7, 2000). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. (AST). The review covers the period January 1, 1998 through December 31, 1998, and 28 programs.

In the preliminary results, the Department invited interested parties to comment in their case briefs on the implications for this proceeding, if any, of the *Delverde III* decision. Both petitioners and AST provided comments in their case and rebuttal briefs. On September 28, 2000, we sent a questionnaire soliciting information from AST, the Government of Italy (GOI) and the European Commission (EC) regarding the change in ownership issue. On October 20, 2000, AST submitted its response. The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to the respondents on October 27, 2000, and received responses on November 14, 2000.

On November 21, 2000, the Department issued its interpretation of *Delverde III* and its revised change in ownership approach in the *Draft Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. v. United States (Draft Redetermination)*, which pertains to the *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Italy*, 64 FR 15508 (March 31, 1999). On November 22, 2000, we placed the public version of this *Draft Redetermination* on the record of this administrative review and provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on the change in ownership approach set forth in the *Draft*

*Redetermination*. On December 6, 2000, petitioners and AST submitted comments. A public hearing was held on December 15, 2000, in which both parties participated. On December 19, 2000, the Department issued the *Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A. v. United States (Final Redetermination)*, which was placed on this record as well.

##### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of grain-oriented electrical steel from Italy, which is a flat-rolled alloy steel product containing by weight at least 0.6 percent of silicon, not more than 0.08 percent of carbon, not more than 1.0 percent of aluminum, and no other element in an amount that would give the steel the characteristics of another alloy steel, of a thickness of no more than 0.56 millimeters, in coils of any width, or in straight lengths which are of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness. The products covered by this review are provided for under the following item numbers of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): 7225.10.0030, 7226.10.1030, 7226.10.5015, and 7226.10.5065. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comment Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (*Decision Memorandum*) from Holly A. Kuga, Acting DAS, Group II, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrent with this notice, which is hereby adopted into this notice. A list of issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the *Decision Memorandum*, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the internet at [http://ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn](http://ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn), under the heading "Italy." The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, and the Department's revised change in ownership approach based on the Court's ruling in *Delverde III*, we have made certain changes to the net subsidy rate. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the *Decision Memorandum*.

### Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we calculated an individual subsidy rate for the producer/exporter subject to this review. We will instruct the U.S. Customs (Customs) to assess countervailing duties as indicated below on all appropriate entries. For the period January 1, 1998, through December 31, 1998, we determine the net subsidy rate for the reviewed company to be as follows:

Margin	
Manufacturer/Exporter	Percent
AST .....	14.25

We will instruct Customs to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. The Department will also instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the percentages detailed above of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from reviewed companies, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See 19 CFR 351.213(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993); *Floral Trade Council v.*

*United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the Act, as amended by the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel from Italy*, 59 FR 18357 (April 18, 1994). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1998, through December 31, 1998, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: January 3, 2002.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix I—Issues Discussed in Decision Memorandum

[http://ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn](http://ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn), under the heading ("Italy").

Methodology and Background Information

I. Change in Ownership

A. Background and Calculation Methodology

II. Subsidies Valuation Information

A. Allocation Period  
B. Equityworthiness  
C. Creditworthiness  
D. Benchmark / Discount Rate  
III. Facts Available  
A. Adverse Facts Available

### Analysis of Programs

I. Programs Conferring Subsidies

A. Equity Infusions  
B. Debt Forgiveness: 1988–1990 Restructuring Plan  
C. Debt Forgiveness: 1993–1994 Restructuring Plan  
D. Interest Contributions on IRI Loans/Bond Issues under Law 675/77  
E. Pre-Privatization Retirement Benefits under Law 451/94  
F. Exchange Rate guarantees under Law 796/76

### European Commission Programs

A. ECSC Loans under Article 54  
B. European Social Fund (ESF)

II. Programs Not Used

A. Rotation Fund  
B. Grants Under Law 10/81—Energy Conservation  
C. Brite-EuRam Project Grants  
D. Loan from IRI to KAI for the Purchase of AST  
E. Lending from the Ministry of Industry under Law 675/77  
F. Mortgage Loans from the Ministry of Industry Under Law 675/77  
G. Personnel Retraining Grants under Law 675/77  
H. Capital Grants under Law 675/77  
I. Reductions of the VAT under Law 675/77  
J. Worker Training under Law 181/89 (Early Retirement Provision)  
K. Reindustrialization under Law 181/89  
L. Law 488/92 Investment Grants  
M. Subsidized Export Financing Under Law 227/77  
N. Finsider Loans  
O. Interest Subsidies under Law 617/81  
P. Financing under Law 464/7  
Q. Interest Contributions under the Sabatini Law (Law 1329/65)  
R. Social Security Exemptions  
S. ILOR and IRPEG Exemptions  
T. Law 345/92: Benefits for Early Retirement Program Name

III. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Change In Ownership (Privatization)—Interpretation of *Delverde III*  
Comment 2: The Department's New Change In Ownership Approach  
Comment 3: Successor-in-Interest Test  
Comment 4: WTO Implications on Change in Ownership  
Comment 5: Application of the Department's New Approach to Change In Ownership  
Comment 6: Facts Otherwise Available  
Comment 7: Spin-Off Transactions  
Comment 8: 1993 Debt Forgiveness Apportionment  
Comment 9: 1993 Gross Debt vs. Net Debt  
Comment 10: 1993 Creditworthiness  
Comment 11: Countervailability of European Social Fund

Comment 12: Countervailability of European Coal and Steel Community Article 54 Loans  
 Comment 13: Countervailability of Pre-Privatization Retirement Benefits under Law 451/94  
 Comment 14: 1988 Equity Infusion

[FR Doc. 01-975 Filed 1-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 010801E]

#### South Pacific Tuna Act

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).  
**ACTION:** Proposed collection; Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before March 13, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Raymond P. Clarke, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1601 Kapiolani Blvd., Suite 1110, Honolulu, Hawaii 96814-4704, (808-973-2935 ext. 205), on the Internet at ray.clarke@noaa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The Treaty on Fisheries Between the Governments of Certain Pacific Island States and the Government of the United States, signed in Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea, in 1987, and its annexes, schedules and implementing agreements, as amended (Treaty), authorize U.S. tuna vessels to fish within fishing zones of a large region of the Pacific Ocean. The South Pacific Tuna Act (16 U.S.C. 973g and 973f) and

U.S. implementing regulations (50 CFR 282.3 and 282.5) authorize the collection of information from participants in the Treaty fishery.

Vessel operators who wish to participate in the Treaty fishery must submit annual license and registration applications and periodic written reports of catch and unloading of fish from a licensed vessel. The information collected is submitted to the Forum Fisheries Agency (FFA) through the U.S. government (National Marine Fisheries Service). License and registration application information is used by FFA to determine the operational capability and financial responsibility of a vessel operator interested in participating in the Treaty fishery. Information obtained from vessel catch and unloading reports is used by FFA to assess fishing effort and fishery resources in the region and to track the amount of fish caught within each Pacific island state's exclusive economic zone for fair disbursement of Treaty monies. If the information is not collected, the U.S. government will not meet its obligations under the Treaty, and the lack of fishing information will result in poor management of the fishery resources.

##### II. Method of Collection

The information is collected using forms required under the Treaty.

##### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0648-0218.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Business and other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 32.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 15 minutes for a license application or a registration application, 1 hour for a catch report, and 30 minutes for an unloading log sheet.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 248.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$576.

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the

use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 4, 2001.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 01-1060 Filed 1-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 010801D]

#### NOAA Customer Surveys

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).  
**ACTION:** Proposed collection; Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before March 13, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Richard Roberts, OFA1x1, Station 8118, 1305 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (phone 301-713-3525, ext. 115).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is planning to seek renewed Paperwork Reduction Act approval for a generic clearance for customer surveys conducted by NOAA program offices.