

Pendleton, Oregon. The meeting is open to the public. Public comments will be received at 10 a.m. on February 13, 2001. The following topics will be discussed by the council: RAC membership update; Hells Canyon Subgroup update; Blue Mountain Subgroup update; ICBEMP Subgroup update; OHV Subgroup update; Noxious Weeds Subgroup update; Program of work review; Counties Payment Act (1608 Act); and a 15 minute round table for general issues.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sandy L. Guches, Bureau of Land Management, Vale District Office, 100 Oregon Street, Vale, Oregon 97918, Telephone (541) 473-3144

**Sandy L. Guches,**  
*Acting District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 01-1005 Filed 1-11-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, Yosemite Valley Plan, Yosemite National Park, Mariposa, Madera, and Tuolumne Counties, California; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision**

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended) and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared and approved a Record of Decision for the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the Yosemite Valley Plan for Yosemite National Park. The decisions reached are consistent with the Revised Record of Decision for the Merced Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan/Final Environmental Impact Statement.

**DECISION:** The National Park Service (NPS) will implement actions, strategies, and programs encompassed in Alternative 2 as described in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the Yosemite Valley Plan. The selected alternative provides for an overall combination of actions to restore natural processes in Yosemite Valley, preserve cultural resource values, reduce harmful environmental impacts (including those related to traffic congestion), and continue to provide opportunities for high-quality visitor experiences based upon resource values. This course of

action and four alternatives were identified and analyzed in the Draft and Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statements (issued April 2000 and November 2000 respectively). The NPS identified Alternative 2 as presented in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement as the "environmentally preferred" alternative. Elements of the selected alternative are to be implemented as soon as practical.

**PUBLIC REVIEW AND CONSULTATION:** The Draft and Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statements (SEIS) on the Yosemite Valley Plan were prepared by the NPS pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. A Notice of Intent to initiate this conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process was published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 1998. General issues and specific concerns already raised during previous relevant planning processes were provided to the public. Scoping comments were received through February 1, 1999. The Draft Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS was formally announced for public review per Notice of Availability published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2000. The Final Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS was announced on November 21, 2000. From initiation of the scoping process through December 26, 2000 when the "No Action" period for the Final Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS officially concluded, almost 11,000 written responses were received (all written comments will be archived and available for public review in the park's research library). In addition, over 150 public meetings, discussions, and briefings (attended by over 1500 individuals and representatives of organizations, Tribes, elected officials, and congressional delegations) were conducted in the park, throughout California, and in Seattle, Washington; Denver, Colorado; Chicago, Illinois; and Washington, DC.

The NPS also consulted with various regulatory and resource protection agencies including the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, State Historic Preservation Office, and the US Fish and Wildlife Service. As a result of these collaborations, as well as the public involvement indicated above, four action alternatives and appropriate mitigation strategies were identified, compared, and refined.

**COPIES:** Interested parties can review the Record of Decision on the NPS website at [www.nps.gov/yose/planning](http://www.nps.gov/yose/planning). Copies can also be obtained by contacting the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park, P.O. Box 577, Yosemite, California

95389; via telephone request at (209) 372-0261; or via email request at [yose\\_planning@nps.gov](mailto:yose_planning@nps.gov).

Dated: January 5, 2001.

**William C. Walters,**  
*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*  
[FR Doc. 01-1110 Filed 1-11-01; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310-70-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Notice of Inventory Completion for Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects in the Possession of the Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City, UT, and in the Control of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Region, Salt Lake City, UT**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 43 CFR 10.9, of the completion of an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects in possession of the Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City, UT, and in control of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Region, Salt Lake City, UT.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 43 CFR 102.2(c). The determinations within this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of these cultural items. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations within this notice.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by the Bureau of Reclamation and Utah Museum of Natural History professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah; Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians of the Kaibab Indian Reservation, Arizona; San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe of Arizona; White Mesa Ute Tribe; Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation, Utah; Southern Ute Indian Tribe of the Southern Ute Reservation, Colorado; and the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado, New Mexico & Utah.

In 1962, human remains representing one individual were collected from a site near Bicknell, Wayne County, UT, under a memorandum of agreement between the Department of

Anthropology, University of Utah, and the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Reclamation during the archeological inventory for the Glen Canyon Archeological Project. No known individual was identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

Archaeological evidence indicates that the human remains are Native American from the protohistoric or contact period. Geography, kinship, anthropology, and linguistics evidence, and expert opinion indicate that the remains are those of a member of the Escalante Band of the Southern Paiute, who inhabited this area during the protohistoric and contact period, and who are most closely associated with the contemporary Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah.

In 1962, human remains representing two individuals were collected from a site near Escalante, Garfield County, UT, under a memorandum of agreement between the Department of Anthropology, University of Utah, and the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, acting on behalf of the Bureau of Reclamation during the archeological inventory for the Glen Canyon Archeological Project. No known individuals were identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

Material culture near the interments indicate that the human remains are Native American from the contact period. Geography, kinship, anthropology, and linguistics evidence, and expert opinion indicate that the remains are the two individuals are those of members of the Escalante Band of the Southern Paiute, who inhabited this area during the protohistoric and contact period, and who are most closely associated with the contemporary Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the Bureau of Reclamation have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2(d)(1), the human remains above represent the physical remains of three individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Bureau of Reclamation also have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2(e), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah; Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians of the Kaibab Indian Reservation, Arizona; San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe of Arizona; White Mesa Ute Tribe; Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation,

Utah; Southern Ute Indian Tribe of the Southern Ute Reservation, Colorado; and the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado, New Mexico & Utah. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains should contact Dr. Nancy Coulam, Regional Archaeologist, Bureau of Reclamation, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84138-1102, telephone (801) 524-3684, before February 12, 2001. Repatriation of the human remains to the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: January 4, 2001.

**John Robbins,**

*Assistant Director, Cultural Resources Stewardship and Partnerships.*

[FR Doc. 01-1111 Filed 1-11-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-70-M**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**DATE AND TIME:** January 18, 2001 at 2 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agenda for future meeting: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 731-TA-865-867 (Final) (Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Italy, Malaysia, and the Philippines)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on January 29, 2001.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: (1.) Document No. INV-00-223: Approved of final report in Inv. No. TA-204-3 (Lamb Meat).

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 9, 2001.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-1204 Filed 1-10-01; 2:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### United States v. Worldcom, Inc & Intermedia Communications, Inc.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. section 16(b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District court for the District of Columbia, Washington, D.C. in *United States of America v. WorldCom, Inc. & Intermediate Communications, Inc.* Civil Action No. 00-2789. On November 17, 2000, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by WorldCom of the Internet backbone business assets of Intermedia Communications, Inc. would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires WorldCom to divest all of Intermedia's assets except for Intermedia's interest in the capital stock of Digex, Inc. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice in Washington, DC in Room 200, 325 Seventh Street, NW., and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, DC.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, and responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Donald Russell, Chief, Telecommunications Task Force, Suite 8000, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, (telephone: (202) 514-5621).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations & Merger Enforcement.*

#### Hold Separate Stipulation and Order

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties, subject to approval and entry by the Court, that:

#### I. Definitions

As used in this Hold Separate Stipulation and Order:

A. *Acquirer* means the entity to whom defendants divest the Intermedia Assets.

B. *WorldCom* means defendant WorldCom, Inc., a Georgia corporation with its headquarters in Clinton, Mississippi, its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, divisions, groups, affiliates, partnerships and joint