

Consent Agenda—Markets, Tariffs and Rates—Gas

- CAG-1.
DOCKET# RP97-406, 027, DOMINION TRANSMISSION, INC.
OTHER#S RP01-74, 002, DOMINION TRANSMISSION, INC.
- CAG-2.
OMITTED
- CAG-3.
DOCKET# RP00-241, 002, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V. EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY-GAS, L.P. AND EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY COMPANY
OTHER#S RP00-241, 000, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V. EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY-GAS, L.P. AND EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY COMPANY
RP00-241, 001, PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V. EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY-GAS, L.P. AND EL PASO MERCHANT ENERGY COMPANY
- CAG-4.
OMITTED
- CAG-5.
OMITTED
- CAG-6.
DOCKET# RP01-44, 002, IROQUOIS GAS TRANSMISSION SYSTEM, L.P.
OTHER#S RP01-44, 001, IROQUOIS GAS TRANSMISSION SYSTEM, L.P.
- CAG-7.
DOCKET# RP01-87, 000, FITCHBURG GAS & ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY V. TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE COMPANY
- CAG-8.
DOCKET# RP00-622, 001, EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY
- CAG-9.
DOCKET# RP99-301, 008, ANR PIPELINE COMPANY

Consent Agenda—Miscellaneous

- CAM-1.
DOCKET# RM01-2, 000,
SUBDELEGATIONS

Consent Agenda—Energy Projects—Hydro

- CAH-1.
DOCKET# P-184, 074, EL DORADO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
- CAH-2.
DOCKET# P-2984, 036, S.D. WARREN COMPANY
- CAH-3.
DOCKET# P-1267, 039, GREENWOOD COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA
- CAH-4.
DOCKET# P-11634, 001, CONTINENTAL LANDS, INC.

Consent Agenda—Energy Projects—Certificates

- CAC-1.
OMITTED
- CAC-2.
DOCKET# CP00-447, 000, DISTRIGAS OF MASSACHUSETTS LLC

- CAC-3.
OMITTED
- CAC-4.
OMITTED
- CAC-5.
DOCKET# CP00-456, 000, MONTANA POWER COMPANY, AND 3698157 CANADA LTD.
- CAC-6.
DOCKET# CP00-457, 000, CANADIAN-MONTANA PIPE LINE CORPORATION AND 3698157 CANADA LTD.
- CAC-7.
DOCKET# CP01-19, 000, CHINOOK PIPELINE COMPANY
- CAC-8.
DOCKET# CP01-23, 000, NORTH BAJA PIPELINE, LLC
- CAC-9.
DOCKET# CP01-41, 000, EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY
- CAC-10.
DOCKET# CP00-383, 000, NORTENO PIPELINE COMPANY
OTHER#S CP00-384, 000, NORTENO PIPELINE COMPANY AND SOUTHERN TRANSMISSION COMPANY
CP00-385, 000, NORTENO PIPELINE COMPANY AND SOUTHERN TRANSMISSION COMPANY
- CAC-11.
OMITTED
- CAC-12.
OMITTED
- CAC-13.
DOCKET# CP96-711, 001, DISCOVERY PRODUCERS SERVICES LLC
OTHER#S CP96-712, 001, DISCOVERY GAS TRANSMISSION LLC
CP96-719, 001, DISCOVERY GAS TRANSMISSION LLC

Energy Projects—Hydro Agenda

- H-1.
RESERVED

Energy Projects—Certificates Agenda

- C-1.
RESERVED

Markets, Tariffs and Rates—Electric Agenda

- E-1.
RESERVED

Markets, Tariffs and Rates—Gas Agenda

- G-1.
RESERVED

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-613 Filed 1-4-01; 4:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6931-5]

National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Oxides (Sulfur Dioxide); Availability of Information

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA is announcing today the following actions: The availability of new information on 5-minute average sulfur dioxide (SO₂) concentrations in the ambient air; The status of EPA's ongoing activities to characterize and address 5-minute peak SO₂ levels that may pose risk to sensitive individuals with asthma, including plans to consider taking final action on the proposed intervention level program (ILP) for the reduction of SO₂ emissions published on January 2, 1997 and to respond to the remand of the final decision on the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for SO₂ published on May 22, 1996; The solicitation of comments on the new air quality information.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before March 12, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to Susan Lyon Stone, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (MD-15), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; email stone.susan@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Lyon Stone at the same address; e-mail stone.susan@epa.gov; telephone (919) 541-1146.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 5, 1998, EPA announced its plans for responding to a remand of its final decision not to revise the SO₂ NAAQS (61 FR 25566, May 22, 1996) and for final action on the proposed ILP (62 FR 210, January 2, 1997); identified interim actions that we planned to take to address 5-minute peak SO₂ levels that may pose risk to sensitive individuals; and solicited new information and analyses on 5-minute peak SO₂ levels (63 FR 24782). The sensitive population for the effects of 5-minute peaks of SO₂ consists of children, adolescents and adults with mild or moderate asthma who are physically active outdoors. As discussed in this 1998 notice, the primary issue in our SO₂ NAAQS decision was whether a new 5-minute NAAQS was appropriate to protect sensitive individuals with asthma from the risk posed by exposure to 5-minute SO₂ levels of 0.6 ppm or above. Given the available health effects information; information as to the localized, infrequent, and site-specific nature of the risk involved; and the advice of the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC), the Administrator concluded that short-term (*i.e.*, 5-minute) peak concentrations of SO₂ do not constitute a public health problem for which the establishment of a NAAQS would be appropriate.

Consistent with our final SO₂ NAAQS decision, and to supplement the protection provided by the existing SO₂

NAAQS, we subsequently proposed an ILP to assist States in determining whether 5-minute peak concentrations of SO₂ posed a significant health risk in the local population, and if so, to identify appropriate remedial measures. A key element of the proposed ILP was the establishment of a concern level of 0.6 parts per million (ppm), 5-minute average SO₂ concentration, and an endangerment level of 2.0 ppm, 5-minute average. The proposed ILP would require that State and tribal plans contain the authority to take whatever action is necessary to prevent further exceedances of such concern and endangerment levels when the State/tribe determines that intervention is appropriate. The proposed ILP includes factors that the State/tribe should consider in making such determinations, including the magnitude and frequency of peak concentrations exceeding these levels, the history and nature of any citizen complaints, available information on potential exposure of sensitive individuals with asthma, and information about the source(s) causing the peak SO₂ concentrations. Based on these factors, the proposed ILP provides for flexibility for the State/tribe to determine the nature and degree of intervention that is warranted in any area and to relocate existing SO₂ monitors to areas where 5-minute peak concentrations may be of concern.

On January 30, 1998, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued a decision in a case brought by the American Lung Association (ALA) and the Environmental Defense Fund, *American Lung Association v. Browner*, No. 134 F.3d 388 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (ALA) that challenged our decision not to establish a new 5-minute SO₂ NAAQS. The court found that we had failed to provide an adequate explanation for our determination that no revision to the SO₂ NAAQS was appropriate, and remanded the decision to us to more fully explain our decision. *id.* In the absence of any court-established deadline for EPA action, EPA agreed with ALA to finalize our response to the remand by the end of the year 2000 (63 FR 24782). Subsequently, in light of a decision by the court in another case relating to EPA's 1997 revisions of the NAAQS for ozone and particulate matter, *American Trucking Associations v. EPA*, 175 F.3d 1027, 195 F.3d 4, *cert. granted* 120 S. Ct. 2003 (U.S. May 22, 2000) (No. 9901257) (ATA), the ALA agreed to extend the time for us to respond to the remand of the SO₂ NAAQS decision to accommodate our

need for additional time and pending additional court action in the ATA case.

In conjunction with extension of this schedule, we committed to take a number of actions, building upon the actions discussed in our 1998 notice (63 FR 24782). These ongoing actions focus on broadening our efforts to collect and analyze data on 5-minute average SO₂ concentrations, providing further guidance to States on monitoring 5-minute SO₂ concentrations around industrial sources, and addressing specific situations relating to short-term SO₂ exposures that are of concern in local communities. c

Availability of Information on 5-Minute SO₂ Concentrations

This section discusses new information that is now available on 5-minute SO₂ concentrations, and includes descriptions of the nature of such data in EPA's Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) and data in other formats, and analyses that we have conducted of these data. Our 1998 solicitation of new information and analyses on 5-minute peak SO₂ levels (63 FR 24782) resulted in the submission of relatively little additional 5-minute SO₂ monitoring data. On June 30, 2000, we directly requested the assistance of EPA's Regional Offices and the State and Territorial Air Pollution Program Administrators/Association of Local Air Pollution Control Officers (STAPPA/ALAPCO) in obtaining any additional 5-minute SO₂ monitoring data that may have been collected but not submitted to AIRS.¹ In response to this request, we have received from nine States additional 5-minute SO₂ monitoring data from more than 48 monitoring sites, recorded during the period 1994–2000. We note, however, that the newly submitted data generally have a number of limitations, such that neither EPA nor the States express any opinions about the validity of these data at this time. More specifically, much of the data has been provided to us in a variety of formats not directly compatible with AIRS; only one State and the District of Columbia submitted their additional data into AIRS. Most of the data files lacked information on monitor location and type, or nearby source types, and a number of States have warned us that the data have not been subjected to appropriate quality assurance/quality

¹ Robert Perciasepe, Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, to S. William Becker, Executive Director, STAPPA/ALAPCO, June 30, 2000; Robert Perciasepe, Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation, to EPA Regional Administrators, Regions I–X, June 30, 2000.

control (QA/QC) procedures.² To the extent possible and appropriate, we are working with States to address these limitations. However, at this time, we do not believe it is appropriate to disseminate or rely on these data. At such time as the data are validated, they will be available to the public in AIRS.

To supplement prior assessments³ and improve our understanding of the frequency, magnitude, and number of locations at which high 5-minute concentrations of SO₂ may be occurring, we have undertaken analyses of the data in AIRS that include the following activities:

(1) We have evaluated monitoring data from 83 monitoring sites reporting 5-minute concentrations in 14 States to determine the frequency of peak concentrations greater than or equal to 0.6 ppm, the variations of such frequencies across locations, whether there are industrial sources located nearby that may be contributing to measured peak concentrations, and the size of the surrounding population within a 5-km radius of the monitor.

(2) Since we have AIRS data from far more monitoring sites (695) recording 1-hour average concentrations than from monitors recording 5-minute concentrations, we have constructed and applied mathematical models to aid in estimating the potential for the occurrence of 5-minute peak concentrations at and above 0.6 ppm SO₂ at locations where only 1-hour average concentrations are available. These models are based on determining the relationships of 5-minute peak concentration distributions⁴ to 1-hour mean concentration distributions and evaluating the statistical strength of these relationships.

Although we intend to extend these analyses to include additional data to the extent they become certified for inclusion in AIRS, we have substantially completed the analyses described above. A draft report summarizing our preliminary findings has been placed on EPA's website at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic>.

Status of Ongoing Activities

This section discusses the status of our ongoing activities to characterize and address 5-minute peak SO₂ levels

² For example, in our preliminary review, we have noted that a number of recorded values appear to have been automatically flagged by the data loggers as reflecting monitor malfunctions and calibration measurements.

³ Prior assessments were done as part of our 1996 review of the SO₂ NAAQS and are available in the docket for that rulemaking (Docket No. A–84–25).

⁴ Five-minute peak concentrations are taken to be the maximum 5-minute block average within each hour.

that may pose risk to sensitive individuals with asthma. These activities include: (1) Efforts to obtain State certification of newly submitted 5-minute SO₂ monitoring data and related information, to be followed by analysis of this additional certified data, as appropriate; (2) development of guidance on monitoring 5-minute SO₂ concentrations; (3) additional 5-minute SO₂ air quality monitoring, in coordination with States' and industry's monitoring activities; (4) consideration of taking final action on the proposed ILP; and (5) consideration of our response to the remand of our 1996 SO₂ NAAQS decision.

We are now in the process of working with States who submitted new 5-minute SO₂ monitoring data to facilitate their certification of the data. We are also working to obtain related information, as appropriate, such as monitor location, nearby source types, and surrounding population. To the extent that such information warrants further analysis, we intend to extend the analyses discussed above to include these data, and to complete these analyses by mid-2001.

In a separate but related effort, we are evaluating our ambient air monitoring regulations and approaches. As part of a broad, integrated monitoring strategy for all the criteria pollutants, which we expect to propose late Spring 2001, we also expect to propose regulatory changes necessary to reflect current data needs, which in part will involve SO₂ monitoring. We initially proposed revisions to regulations at 40 CFR parts 53 and 58 to modify reference and equivalent methods for SO₂ and to revise the minimum requirements for ambient monitoring in compliance with the SO₂ NAAQS in order to facilitate additional monitoring of 5-minute concentrations (60 FR 58959, March 7, 1995). We will consider the input received from the earlier proposal in developing these changes.

In addition, we also intend to issue a guideline specifically on SO₂ monitoring. We have already developed a draft guideline, which is intended to assist State and local air pollution control agencies in evaluating their networks and the appropriateness of revising those networks to better address the potential for 5-minute concentrations of concern. The draft guideline provides relevant background information, summarizes recommended procedures for network review, suggested procedures for review of available ambient data to determine the potential for high 5-minute concentrations, and recommendations for short-term monitoring network

design, including cost estimation procedures to help assess the costs of network revisions. This draft document may be obtained at EPA's website at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic>. In addition to these efforts, we will work with the States to facilitate implementation of the SO₂ monitoring guideline and the broader integrated monitoring strategy.

We are also starting to develop plans for collecting additional 5-minute SO₂ air quality monitoring data. We intend to work with States and industry to elicit their support and participation in this project, which we expect will provide important new information as to the likelihood and nature of 5-minute peak SO₂ concentrations that may now be occurring around various types of industrial facilities. We anticipate that this project will take approximately two years, including planning, coordination, data collection and analysis. We expect that this information will help inform our response to the remand of the SO₂ NAAQS decision as well as the next periodic review of the SO₂ NAAQS.

In our consideration of taking final action on the proposed ILP (62 FR 210, January 2, 1997), we will take into account comments received in response to this notice as well as comments received on our 1997 proposed action. We received 62 comments on the proposed ILP, of which 11 comments were from State and local agencies and a related organization, 38 comments were from individual industry commenters and trade groups, four were from public advocacy groups, and four comments were from private citizens. Many commenters supported the proposed ILP and its flexible implementation strategy, while others commented that States already have sufficient regulatory authority to deal with sources emitting high 5-minute peaks of SO₂ that may pose a risk to the health of asthmatic individuals living nearby, and therefore an additional regulatory program is not necessary. The commenters disagreed about the significance of the health effects associated with exposure to short-term peaks of SO₂, particularly at the concern level (0.6 ppm SO₂, 5-minute average). Some expressed the view that the health effects associated with exposures at this level are not significant enough to warrant remedial action, while others expressed the view that this level was not sufficiently health protective and urged us to set the concern level at a lower concentration (e.g., 0.3 ppm SO₂, 5-minute average). Many commenters expressed concern about the costs associated with implementing the proposed ILP, especially when

compared to the relatively small size of the sensitive population (i.e., individuals with asthma who are active outdoors) that might be affected. In addition, some State and local agency commenters expressed concern about the costs associated with the additional source-based monitoring (e.g., for monitor purchase, monitor relocation, or additional staff members) that might be needed to implement the proposed ILP.

With regard to moving forward with a final ILP, we note that the results of the data analyses completed to date continue to suggest that there may be a number of locations in the country where repeated exposures to 5-minute peak SO₂ levels of 0.60 ppm and above could pose a risk of significant health effects. Taking into account this information, the results of planned additional analyses, and public comments, we will consider taking final action on an ILP. We anticipate reaching a final decision on an ILP by the summer of 2001, as a separate matter from our consideration of our response to the remand of the 1996 SO₂ NAAQS decision.⁵

Since the court decision in the *ALA* case, remanding our decision not to revise the SO₂ NAAQS, we have continued to take a number of actions relating to 5-minute SO₂ peaks of 0.6 ppm or greater, including the solicitation and review of additional information and analyses described above. Although we continue to evaluate this and other information in light of the *ALA* remand, the ruling of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in the *ATA* case, and its subsequent appeal to the United States Supreme Court, has created potential uncertainty regarding the appropriate framework for decisions under section 109. As a result, we believe that the better course of action is to await a decision from the Supreme Court, which is expected during the spring of 2001, before responding to the *ALA* remand of our SO₂ NAAQS decision. This will better enable us to

⁵ Although we are in the process of considering whether to move forward with an ILP during the same time that we continue to consider our response to the remand in the *ALA* case, it is important to note that we view our ILP activities and our response to the *ALA* remand as independent actions. Our consideration of taking final action on the proposed ILP is not intended as a substitute for a decision on the *ALA* remand, nor is it intended to indicate that we have reached any particular outcome regarding the need for a revised SO₂ NAAQS. Regardless of our decision in response to the *ALA* remand, we believe that it is appropriate at this time to consider taking final action on an ILP to provide any supplementary protection from exposures of concern to short-term SO₂ peaks that may be appropriate.

review and assess all relevant information, including the court's opinion and any additional analyses and technical information, that could shed additional light on the appropriate response to the remand. We intend to publish our schedule for considering the relevant information and responding to the remand by mid-2001.

Request for Comments

We are soliciting comments on the data analyses and preliminary findings in our draft report that is now available, to better inform future actions to reduce the health risk that may be posed by potential exposures of exercising asthmatics to short-term peaks of SO₂. More specifically, we solicit comments on the following: the appropriateness of using 1-hour average SO₂ monitoring data as one element in our efforts to estimate the potential for 5-minute peak concentrations greater than or equal to 0.6 ppm SO₂; the usefulness of these types of analyses in identifying the need for additional monitoring or other actions and the sources likely to contribute to high 5-minute SO₂ concentrations; and, for the purpose of assessing the need for additional monitoring around SO₂ sources, the appropriateness of using just the hourly maximum 5-minute average SO₂ concentrations, rather than all the 5-minute averages in an hour, including any relevant data storage and management considerations. We will consider this information in the context of taking final action on the proposed ILP, conducting future analyses of 5-minute SO₂ air quality data, responding to the ALA remand and conducting the next periodic review of the SO₂ NAAQS.

Dated: January 3, 2001.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 01-565 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6931-2]

Underground Injection Control Program; Hazardous Waste Injection Restrictions; Petition for Exemption—Class I Hazardous Waste Injection; MERISOL USA LLC

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Final Decision on a No Migration Petition Reissuance.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that an exemption to the land disposal restrictions under the 1984 Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act has been granted to MERISOL USA LLC (Merisol) for a Class I injection well located at Houston, Texas. As required by 40 CFR part 148, the company has adequately demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Environmental Protection Agency by the petition and supporting documentation that, to a reasonable degree of certainty, there will be no migration of hazardous constituents from the injection zone for as long as the waste remains hazardous. This final decision allows the underground injection by Merisol, of the specific restricted hazardous wastes identified in the exemption, into a Class I hazardous waste injection well No. WDW-319 at the Houston, Texas facility, until December 31, 2010, unless EPA moves to terminate the exemption under provisions of 40 CFR 148.24. As required by 40 CFR 148.22(b) and 124.10, a public notice was issued October 30, 2000. The public comment period closed on December 7, 2000. No comments were received. This decision constitutes final Agency action and there is no Administrative appeal.

DATES: This action is effective as of December 27, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the petition and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Water Quality Protection Division, Source Water Protection Branch (6WQ-S), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Philip Dellinger, Chief Ground Water/UIC Section, EPA—Region 6, telephone (214) 665-7165.

Joan Brown,

Acting Division Director, Water Quality Protection Division (6WQ).

[FR Doc. 01-572 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6565-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-66282; FRL-6761-3]

Notice of Receipt of Requests to Voluntarily Cancel Certain Pesticide Registrations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 6(f)(1) of the Federal Insecticide,

Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended, EPA is issuing a notice of receipt of requests by registrants to voluntarily cancel certain pesticide registrations.

DATES: Unless a request is withdrawn by July 9, 2001, unless indicated otherwise, orders will be issued canceling all of these registrations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: James A. Hollins, Office of Pesticide Programs (7502C), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location for commercial courier delivery, telephone number and e-mail address: Rm. 224, Crystal Mall No. 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 305-5761; e-mail address: hollins.james@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. Although this action may be of particular interest to persons who produce or use pesticides, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the information in this notice, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information or Copies of Support Documents?

1. *Electronically.* You may obtain electronic copies of this document and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov>. To access this document, on the Home page select "Laws and Regulations" "Regulations and Proposed Rules," and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register—Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listing at (<http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>).

2. *In person.* Contact James A. Hollins at 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Crystal Mall 2, Rm. 224, Arlington, VA, telephone number (703) 305-5761. Available from 7:30 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., Monday thru Friday, excluding legal holidays.

II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

This notice announces receipt by the Agency of applications from registrants to cancel some 31 pesticide products registered under section 3 or 24(c) of FIFRA. These registrations are listed in sequence by registration number (or