

would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

*Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act.*

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C.804(2), the Small Business Regulatory enforcement Fairness Act. This rule: (a) Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million; (b) will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, geographic regions, or Federal State or local governmental agencies; and (c) does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of U.S. based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises. this determination is based on the fact that the State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based on counterpart Federal regulations for which an analysis was prepared and a determination made that the Federal regulation was not considered a major rule.

*Unfunded Mandates*

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

**List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 944**

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: December 14, 2000.

**James F. Fulton,**

*Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.*

[FR Doc. 01-558 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-M**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 63**

[FRL-6928-5]

**Approval of the Clean Air Act, Section 112(l) Program and Delegation of Authority to the State of Oklahoma**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to take direct final action on section 112(l) program approval and delegation of authority to Oklahoma. The Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) has requested delegation of

certain Federal National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) found in 40 CFR parts 61 and 63.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving ODEQ's program of authorities and resources to implement and enforce NESHAPs in 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 and General Provisions as they apply to these sources and the mechanism for receiving future delegation of unchanged NESHAPs as they apply to non-part 70 sources. The EPA is granting ODEQ the authority to implement and enforce specified NESHAPs adopted by reference by ODEQ. The EPA is taking direct final action without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, the EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and it will not take effect. All public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by February 8, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments must be submitted to Mr. Robert M. Todd at the Region 6 office listed below. Copies of the requests for delegation and other supporting documentation are available for public inspection at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division (6PD), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment at least two working days in advance.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Robert M. Todd, U.S. EPA, Region 6, Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division (6PD), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733, (214) 665-2156.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns delegation of unchanged NESHAPs and Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards to ODEQ. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7412.

Dated: December 21, 2000.

**Lynda F. Carroll,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 01-111 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY**

**44 CFR Part 67**

[Docket No. FEMA-B-7408]

**Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations**

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1% annual chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

**DATES:** The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew B. Miller, P.E., Chief, Hazards Study Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3461, or (e-mail) matt.miller@fema.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that

the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

**National Environmental Policy Act**

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Associate Director for Mitigation certifies that this proposed rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because proposed or modified base flood elevations are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the NFIP. No regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared.

**Regulatory Classification**

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

**Executive Order 12612**

*Federalism.* This proposed rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

**Executive Order 12778**

*Civil Justice Reform.* This proposed rule meets the applicable standards of Section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

**List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 67**

Administrative practice and procedure, Flood insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 67 is proposed to be amended as follows:

**PART 67—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 67 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376

**§ 67.4 [Amended]**

2. The tables published under the authority of § 67.4 are proposed to be amended as follows:

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
California .....	Clayton (City), (Contra Costa, County).	Donner Creek .....	At confluence with Mt. Diablo Creek .....	*421	*424
			Approximately 4,400 feet upstream of Marsh Creek Creek Road.	*516	*516
		Mitchell Creek .....	At confluence with Mt. Diablo Creek .....	*377	*379
			Approximately 1,600 feet upstream of Oak Street.	*439	*444
		Mt. Diablo Creek .....	Just upstream of Kirker Pass Road .....	*306	*304
	Mt. Diablo Creek Split Flow.	Just upstream of Oak Circle .....	None	*576	
		Approximately 630 feet downstream of North Mitchell Canyon Road.	None	*342	
		Approximately 1,700 feet upstream of North Mitchell Canyon Road.	None	*370	

Maps are available for inspection at 6000 Heritage Trail, Clayton, California.

Send comments to The Honorable Phyllis Peterson, Mayor, City of Clayton, 6000 Heritage Trail, Clayton, California 94517-0280.

California .....	Concord (City) (Contra Costa County).	Galindo Creek .....	Approximately 100 feet upstream of San Miguel Road.	None	*63
			Just upstream of St. Francis Drive .....	None	*127
			Approximately 200 feet downstream of Dam #1.	None	*220
		Mt. Diablo Creek .....	Approximately 2,675 feet downstream of Bailey Road.	*195	*196
		Approximately 2,475 feet upstream of Kirker Pass Road.	None	*323	

Maps are available for inspection at the Permit Center, 3024 Willow Pass Road, Concord, California.

Send comments to The Honorable Helen Allen, Mayor, City of Concord, 1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, California 94519.

California .....	Contra Costa County (Unincorporated Areas).	Mitchell Creek .....	Approximately 1,670 feet downstream of Diablo Downs Road.	*440	*444
			Approximately 2,150 feet upstream of Diablo Downs Road.	*518	*535
		Mt. Diablo Creek .....	Immediately upstream of Bailey Road ....	None	*217
			Downstream of Russelman Park Road ....	None	*609
	Green Valley Creek .....	At Stone Valley Road .....	None	*467	
		Approximately 4,410 feet upstream of Green Valley Road.	None	*573	

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified
		Rodeo Creek .....	At confluence with San Pablo Creek ..... Approximately 425 feet upstream of Hawthorne Drive.	None	*6
		Garrity Creek .....	Approximately 350 feet downstream of Southern Pacific Railroad. Approximately 165 feet upstream of Brian Road.	None	*6
		Grayson Creek .....	Approximately 1,890 feet (.36 mile) downstream of Interstate 680. Approximately 195 feet upstream of 2nd Avenue South.	None	*25
		Arroyo Del Hambre Creek	Approximately 1,280 feet (.24 mile) upstream of Alhambra Avenue.	None	*15
		Appian Creek .....	Approximately 2,858 feet (.54 mile) upstream of Alhambra Avenue. At upstream side of Garden Road .....	None	*20
		West Alamo Creek .....	Approximately 1,320 feet upstream of Appian Way. Approximately 2,870 feet (.54 mile) downstream of Green Meadow Drive.	None	*176
		Wildcat Creek .....	At upstream side of BlackHawk Meadow Drive. Approximately 475 feet downstream of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad.	None	*190
					None
			None	*134	
			None	*718	
			None	*804	
			None	*29	

Maps are available for inspection at the Public Works Department, 255 Glacier Drive, Martinez, California 94553.  
Send comments to The Honorable Donna Gerber, Chairperson, Board of Supervisors, 309 Diablo Road, Danville, California 94526.

California .....	Danville (Town) (Contra Costa County).	Green Valley Creek .....	Just upstream of Interstate 680 Culvert ...	*354	*355
		East Branch Valley Creek	Just downstream of Stone Valley Road ... At confluence with Green Valley Creek ... Approximately 1,600 feet upstream of Green Valley Road.	None *424 *458	*467 *423 *458

Maps are available for inspection at 510 La Gonda Way, Danville, California.  
Send comments to The Honorable Millie Greenberg, Mayor, Town of Danville, 510 La Gonda Way, Danville, California 94526.

California .....	Martinez (City) (Contra Costa County).	Arroyo Del Hambre Creek	Just upstream of John Muir Parkway .....	*116	*116
			Approximately 2,000 feet upstream of Alhambra Avenue. Approximately 950 feet downstream of Howe Road.	None	*180
		Line A, DA-40 .....	Approximately 75 feet downstream of Howe Road.	None	*22
				None	*23

Maps are available for inspection at 525 Henrietta Street, Martinez, California.  
Send comments to The Honorable Michael M. Menesini, Mayor, City of Martinez, 525 Henrietta Street, Martinez, California 94553.

California .....	Pittsburg (City) (Contra Costa County).	Kirker Creek .....	Approximately 170 feet downstream of East 14th Street.	*38	*37
			Approximately 140 feet upstream of Brush Creek Drive.	*193	*208

Maps are available for inspection at the Department of Public Works, 65 Civic Avenue, Pittsburg, California.  
Send comments to The Honorable Lori Anzini, Mayor, City of Pittsburg, 65 Civic Avenue, Pittsburg, California 94565.

California .....	Pleasant Hill (City) (Contra Costa County).	Grayson Creek .....	Approximately 2,725 feet downstream of Concord Avenue.	None	*20
			Approximately 250 feet upstream of Harriett Drive.	None	*37
		East Fork Grayson Creek	At confluence with Grayson Creek and West Fork Grayson Creek. Approximately 80 feet upstream of Gregory Lane.	None	*37
				None	*49

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified

Maps are available for inspection at the Public Works Department, 100 Gregory Lane, Pleasant Hill, California.  
Send comments to The Honorable Chuck Escover, Mayor, City of Pleasant Hill, 100 Gregory Lane, Pleasant Hill, California 94523.

California .....	Richmond (City) (Contra Costa County).	San Pablo Creek .....	Approximately 690 feet downstream of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway.	*3	*18
			Approximately 60 feet upstream of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway.	*31	*24
		Wild Cat Creek .....	Approximately 400 feet downstream of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway.	*3	*27
			At Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway.	*3	*30
			Approximately 115 feet upstream of Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway.	*31	*31

Maps are available for inspection at the Community Map Repository, City of Richmond, 2600 Barrett Avenue, Richmond, California.  
Send comments to The Honorable Rosemary Corbin, Mayor, City of Richmond, 2600 Barrett Avenue, Room 312, Richmond, California 94802.

California .....	San Pablo (City) Contra Costa County.	Wildcat Creek .....	Approximately 700 feet downstream of Rumrill Boulevard.	<sup>1</sup> 31	*30
		San Pablo Creek .....	Just downstream of Creek Vale Road .....	<sup>1</sup> 81	*81
			Approximately 50 feet downstream of Giant Road.	<sup>1</sup> 29	*24
			Approximately 100 feet upstream of Church Lane.	<sup>1</sup> 57	*57

<sup>1</sup> Mean Sea Level

Maps are available for inspection at One Alvarado Square, San Pablo, California.  
Send comments to The Honorable Ron Kiedrowski, Acting City Manager, City of San Pablo, One Alvarado Square, San Pablo, California 94806.

Idaho .....	Idaho County (Unincorporated Areas).	Rapid River .....	Approximately 250 feet upstream of Interstate Highway 95.	None	*2,002
			Approximately 4,830 feet upstream of Interstate Highway 95.	None	*2,078

Maps are available for inspection at 320 West Main Street, Grangeville, Idaho.  
Send comments to The Honorable George Enneking, Chairman, Idaho County Board of Commissioners, 320 West Main Street, Room 5, Grangeville, Idaho 83530.

Oregon .....	Tillamook (City) (Tillamook County).	Dougherty Slough .....	At Main Avenue .....	*11	*11
			Approximately 775 feet upstream of Main Avenue	*11	*12
		Hoquarten Slough .....	Approximately 1,450 feet downstream of U.S. Highway 101—Main Avenue.	*11	*11
			Approximately 675 feet upstream of U.S. Highway 101—Main Avenue.	*11	*13
		Wilson River .....	Approximately 230 feet upstream of U.S. Highway 101.	None	*17
	Approximately 975 feet upstream of U.S. Highway 101.	None	*18		

Maps are available for inspection at City Hall, 210 Laurel Avenue, Tillamook, Oregon.  
Send comments to The Honorable Robert McPheeters, Mayor, City of Tillamook, 210 Laurel Avenue, Tillamook, Oregon 97141.

Oregon .....	Tillamook County (Unincorporated Areas).	Dougherty Slough (Wilson River).	At confluence with Hoquarten Slough .....	*10	*10
			Approximately 2,800 feet upstream of Wilson River Loop Road.	*28	*27
		Hoquarten Slough (Wilson River).	Approximately 3,250 feet upstream of confluence with Trask River.	*10	*10
			Approximately 700 feet upstream of Southern Pacific Railroad.	*12	*15
		Wilson River .....	Approximately 150 feet upstream of confluence with Tillamook Bay.	*9	*10
	Approximately 4,300 feet upstream of Wilson River Loop Road.	*29	*28		

State	City/town/county	Source of flooding	Location	#Depth in feet above ground. *Elevation in feet. (NGVD)	
				Existing	Modified

Maps are available for inspection at the County Courthouse, 201 Laurel Avenue, Tillamook, Oregon.

Send comments to The Honorable Tim Josi, Chairperson, Tillamook County Board of Commissioners, 201 Laurel Avenue, Tillamook, Oregon 97141.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: December 7, 2000.

**Michael J. Armstrong,**

*Associate Director for Mitigation.*

[FR Doc. 01-469 Filed 1-8-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6718-04-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 1 and 64

[WT Docket No. 99-217; FCC 00-366]

#### Promotion of Competitive Networks in Local Telecommunications Markets

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission seeks comment on the current state of the evolving market for the provision of telecommunications services in multiple tenant environments (MTEs). The Commission also notes that a strong case can be made that it has authority to impose obligations on carriers to ensure nondiscriminatory access to MTEs. The Commission seeks comment on this legal argument, whether it would be prudent to exercise such authority, the potential scope of such requirements, and how such requirements could be implemented, if adopted. In addition, the Commission seeks further comment on several other potential actions that may be necessary in the event that competition in the MTE market does not develop sufficiently.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before January 22, 2001; Reply comments are due on or before February 21, 2001. Comments from the public, OMB, and other agencies on the information collections contained in this document are due March 12, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Parties who choose to file comments by paper should send comments to the Commission's Secretary, Magalie Roman Salas, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW.; TW-A325; Washington, DC 20554. Comments filed through the

Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>.

In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to [jboley@fcc.gov](mailto:jboley@fcc.gov), and to Edward C. Springer, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to [edward.springer@omb.eop.gov](mailto:edward.springer@omb.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lauren Van Wazer at (202) 418-0030 or Joel Taubenblatt at (202) 418-1513 (Wireless Telecommunications Bureau). For additional information concerning the information collection(s) contained in this document, contact Judy Boley at 202-418-0214, or via the Internet at [jboley@fcc.gov](mailto:jboley@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WT Docket No. 99-217, FCC 00-366, adopted October 12, 2000 and released October 25, 2000 ("Further NPRM"). This summary also reflects an order extending the pleading cycle for this proceeding issued on December 4, 2000 (DA 00-2720), and an erratum issued in this proceeding on December 20, 2000. The complete text of the document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC and also may be purchased from the commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, (202) 857-3800, 445 12th Street, SW., CY-B400, Washington, DC 20554. The document is also available via the Internet at <http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Orders/2000/fcc00366.pdf>.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This Further NPRM contains a proposed information collection. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and

other federal agencies to comment on the information collection(s) contained in this Further NPRM, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. It will be submitted to OMB for review under Section 3507(d) of the PRA. Public, OMB, and other agency comments are due March 12, 2001. Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

A copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to [jboley@fcc.gov](mailto:jboley@fcc.gov), and to Edward C. Springer, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236 NEOB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to [edward.springer@omb.eop.gov](mailto:edward.springer@omb.eop.gov).

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-XXXX.

*Title:* Promotion of Competitive Networks in Local Telecommunications Markets.

*Form No.:* NA.

*Type of Review:* New collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 438.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 120 hrs.

*Total Annual Burden:* 52,560 hrs.

*Total Annual Costs:* \$7,358,400.

*Needs and Uses:* The information will be used to guide the Commission as it continues to evaluate and monitor the need for a nondiscriminatory access requirement for MTEs.

#### Synopsis of Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

1. This Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("Further NPRM") is part of a larger item in which the Commission