

the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40134).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations occurred at subject firms' Walstonburg, North Carolina facility when it closed in October, 2000. The workers were engaged in the production of sweat shirts, sweat pants and sweat shorts.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include the workers at the Walstonburg, North Carolina location of Rugged Sportswear. The intent of the Department's certification is to include 11 workers of Rugged Sportswear who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-37,571 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Rugged Sportswear, Siler City, North Carolina (TA-W-37,571) and Walstonburg, North Carolina (TA-W-37,571A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 31, 1999 through May 30, 2002 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington DC this 15th day of December, 2000.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-33067 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,237]

#### STAEG Hamatech, Inc., Saco, ME; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 23, 2000 in response to a worker petition which was filed by a company official on October 17, 2000 on behalf of workers at STAEG Hamatech, Inc., Saco, Maine.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 14th day of December, 2000.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-33062 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,328]

#### Staples Business Advantage, Staples, Inc. Canton, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on November 20, 2000 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Staples Business Advantage, Staples Inc., Canton, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Dated: Signed in Washington, DC this 18th day of December, 2000.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 00-33063 Filed 12-27-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection financial data for the National Farmworker Jobs Program on a modified Standard Form 269 Financial Status Report (ETA 9092). A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the

office listed below in the addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressees section below on or before February 26, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Thomas Martin, Division of Financial Grants Management Policy and Review, Office of Grants and Contract Management, United States Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Ave. NW, Rm. N-4720, Washington, DC 20210, (202-693-2989—not a toll free number) and Internet address: TCMartin@DOLETA.GOV and/or FAX: (202-693-3362).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Pursuant to Public Law 105-220, dated August 7, 1998 and 20 CFR Parts 652, et al., Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Final Rules, date August 11, 2000, the Department of Labor's Employment and Training Administration has revised the financial reporting instructions for the National Farmworker Jobs Program. The WIA regulations at Part 669, Subpart A, establish that the general administrative requirements found in 20 CFR Part 667 apply to the NFJP program. The proposed reporting format and corresponding instructions have been developed in accordance with the Reporting Requirements contained in 20 CFR 667.300, including the provision for cumulative accrual reporting by fiscal year of appropriation. The data elements contained on the prototype format will be incorporated into software which will be provided electronically to the NFJP grantees to enable direct Internet reporting.

This proposed collection supercedes the Financial Status Report (FSR) for the National Farmworker Jobs Program previously announced in the **Federal Register** on October 3, 2000.

##### II. Review Focus

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;