

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**[I.D. 122000A]****Submission For OMB Review;
Comment Request**

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Shoreside Processor Electronic Logbook Reports for the Alaska Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Pollock and Pacific Cod Fisheries

Form Number(s): None

OMB Approval Number: None

Type of Request: Emergency

Burden Hours: 887

Number of Respondents: 19

Average Hours Per Response: 35 minutes

Needs and Uses: The American Fisheries Act (AFA) imposed major structural changes on the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI) pollock fishery, which is managed by National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Alaska Region. These changes include addition of new recordkeeping and reporting requirements for participation in the BSAI pollock fishery for processors that receive groundfish from AFA catcher vessels and for BSAI pollock fishery cooperatives formed under the AFA. On November 30, 2000, NMFS released the Biological Opinion assessing the groundfish fisheries of the BSAI and GOA and effects on Steller sea lions as required by the Endangered Species Act (ESA). As a result, changes are required to recordkeeping and reporting procedures in order to facilitate management of fisheries by National Marine Fishery Service (NMFS). Existing requirements for electronic reporting by shoreside processors will be extended to processors that receive Pacific cod harvested in the Pacific cod directed fishery and to processors receiving pollock from the pollock directed fishery.

Affected Public: Business and other for-profit

Frequency: On occasion

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory
OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker,
(202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3129, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution

Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 10 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: December 19, 2000.

Madeleine Clayton,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-33001 Filed 12-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Bureau of Export Administration****[97-BXA-01]**

In the Matter of: Modern Engineering Services, LTD., P.O. Box 1727, Islamabad, Pakistan, also known as Engineering and Technical Services, P.O. Box 2639, Islamabad, Pakistan, Respondent; Decision and Order

On April 1, 1997, the Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (hereinafter "BXA"), issued a charging letter initiating this administrative proceeding against Modern Engineering Services, Ltd., also known as, Engineering and Technical Services (MES). The charging letter alleged that MES committed two violations of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 CFR parts 730-774 (2000)) (the Regulations)¹, issued under the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. app. 2401-2420 (1991 & Supp. 2000) and Pub. L. No. 106-508) (the Act).² Specifically, the charging letter alleges that on or about April 1, 1992, and November 27, 1992, U.S. exporters, based upon information provided to them by MES, represented

¹ The alleged violations occurred in 1992. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1992 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 CFR Parts 768-799 (1992)). Those Regulations define the violations that BXA alleges occurred and are referred to hereinafter as the former Regulations. Since that time the Regulations have been reorganized and restructured; the restructured Regulations establish the procedures that apply to the matters set forth herein.

² The Act expired on August 20, 1994. Executive Order 12924 (3 CFR, 1994 Comp. 917 (1995)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 3, 2000 (65 Fed. Reg. 48347, August 8, 2000), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C.A. 1701-1706 (1991 & Supp. 2000)). The Act was reauthorized on November 13, 2000. See Pub. L. No. 106-508, November 13, 2000.

on export license applications, export control documents as defined in section 770.2 of the former Regulations, that MES was located at House No. 22621 I-10/2, Islamabad, Pakistan, and No. 1 Street #17, f-8-3 Rawalpindi, Islamabad, Pakistan, respectively, when in fact MES was not located at either of those addresses. BXA alleges that by making false and misleading misrepresentations, statements, or certifications of material fact, directly or indirectly, to BXA, in connection with the preparation, submission, issuance, use or maintenance of an export control document, MES committed two violations of section 787.5(a)(1) of the former Regulations.

Section 766.3(b)(1) of the Regulations provides that notice of issuance of a charging letter shall be served on a respondent "[b]y mailing a copy by registered or certified mail addressed to the respondent at respondent's last known address." BXA has established that notice of issuance of the charging letter was served on MES in accordance with section 766.3(b)(1) of the Regulations. BXA presented evidence that on April 1, 1997, BXA sent the charging letter by registered mail to MES at MES's last known address.

As to the date of service, BXA alleges that June 30, 1997 should be the date of delivery as that is the date MES constructively refused service of process. BXA's position is based upon section 766.3(c) of the Regulations, which provides that "[t]he date of service of notice of the issuance of a charging letter instituting an administrative enforcement proceeding . . . is the date of its delivery, or of its attempted delivery if delivery is refused." I find that June 30, 1997 shall be the date of attempted delivery. As stated above, BXA sent the charging letter to MES's last known addresses by registered mail. BXA also presented evidence that it made diligent and good faith efforts to locate MES, including visiting MES's last known address in Pakistan and trying to send the charging letter by facsimile to MES's last known fax number, as BXA did not receive a return receipt for the charging letter. Further, BXA has stated that the United States Postal Service informed BXA that it takes a maximum of 90 days for a letter sent by registered mail from the United States to reach Pakistan. Hence, as the charging letter was sent on April 1, 1997, it is appropriate to find that the charging letter reached Pakistan no later than June 30, 1997.

Section 766.6(a) of the Regulation provides, in pertinent part, that "[t]he respondent must answer the charging letter within 30 days after being served

with notice of issuance of the charging letter * * * Hence, as service was effected on June 30, 1997, MES's answer to the charging letter was due no later than August 1, 1997. MES did not file an answer to the charging letter. MES is therefore in default. Thus, pursuant to section 766.7 of the Regulations, BXA moved the Administrative Law Judge (hereinafter the "ALJ") to find the facts to be as alleged in the charging letter and render a Recommended Decision and Order.

Following BXA's motion, the ALJ issued a Recommended Decision and Order in which he found the facts to be as alleged in the charging letter, and concluded that those facts constitute two violations of section 787.5(a)(1) of the former Regulations by MES, as BXA alleged. The ALJ also agreed with BXA's recommendation that the appropriate penalty to be imposed for the violations is a denial of MES's export privileges for ten years.

As provided by section 766.22 of the Regulations, the Recommended Decision and Order has been referred to me for final action. Based on my review of the entire record, I affirm the findings of fact and conclusions of law in the Recommended Decision and Order of the ALJ.

Accordingly, It Is Therefore Ordered, First, that, for a period of ten years from the date of this Order, Modern Engineering Services, House No. 2262 I-10/2, Islamabad, Pakistan, also known as Engineering and Technical Services, No. 1 Street #17, f-8-3 Rawalpindi, Islamabad, Pakistan, and all of its successors or assigns, officers, representatives, agents, and employees, may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported

or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to the denied person by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

Fifth, that this Order shall be served on MES and on BXA, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Dated: December 14, 2000.

William A. Reinsch,
Under Secretary for Export Administration.
[FR Doc. 00-32908 Filed 12-26-00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-565-801]

Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From the Philippines

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final determination of sales at less than fair value.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 27, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James at (202) 482-2924 and (202) 482-0649, respectively, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Final Determination

We determine that stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from the Philippines are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 735 of the Act. The estimated margin of sales are shown in the "Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

Case History

The Department published the preliminary determination of sales at less-than-fair-value on August 2, 2000. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*: