gathering, and review since the inception of the Project.

—Using one administrative review procedure lets the public request review from both agencies at one time, rather than having to make two separate, potentially redundant requests.

—Using two separate administrative review procedures, including potential changes in the proposed Project decision, could result in the two agencies' recording two different decisions. This result would fail to meet the original purpose of this action, which was to develop and analyze a strategy for management of lands administered by both the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management.

To request administrative review of the proposed decision for the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project use the following procedure:

—Put the protest in writing and mail it to the Director of the Bureau of Land Management and the Chief of the Forest Service at the following address: Director, Bureau of Land Management and Chief, US Forest Service, ICBEMP Project, PO Box 65480, Washington, DC 20035.

—The protest shall be filed with the Director and Chief by January 16, 2001.

—The protest shall contain:

The name, mailing address, telephone number and interest of the person filing the protest;

A statement of the issue or issues being protested;

A statement of the part or parts of the amendment being protested;

A copy of all documents addressing the issue or issues that were submitted during the planning process by the protesting party or an indication of the date the issue or issues were discussed for the record; and

A concise statement explaining why the responsible officials' decision is believed to be wrong.

The BLM Director and Forest Service Chief will promptly render a joint decision on the protest. The decision will be in writing and will set forth the reasons for the decision. The decision will be sent to the protesting party by certified mail, return receipt requested.

The joint decision of the Director and Chief shall be the final decision of the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture.

The protest process described here is the only administrative review opportunity available in the ICBEMP decision-making process. The Forest Service appeal process, familiar to some participants, will not be used in this planning effort.

Reviewers who do not request administrative review of the proposed decision may not preserve their standing to litigate the final decision.

Dated: December 7, 2000.

Martha Hahn,

State Director, Bureau of Land Management.

Dated: December 7, 2000.

Jack Blackwell,

Forest Service.

[FR Doc. 00–32101 Filed 12–15–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–GG–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[00–02–S]

Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration; Designation for the Minnesota, Virginia, Frankfort (IN), and Indianapolis (IN) Areas

AGENCY: Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration published a document in the **Federal Register** of December 1, 2000, concerning Designation for the Minnesota, Virginia, Frankfort (IN), and Indianapolis (IN) Areas. The document contained an incorrect date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet M. Hart at 202–720–8525, e-mail janhart@gipsadc.usda.gov.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of December 1, 2000, in FR Doc. 00–304898, on page 75239, correct the first date in the ''Designation end'' column of the chart to read 09/30/2003.

Dated: December 4, 2000.

Neil E. Porter,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 00–32157 Filed 12–15–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–EN–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Field Office Technical Guide of NRCS in South Dakota; Availability of Proposed Changes

AGENCY: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of proposed changes to conservation practice standards in Section IV of the Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) of NRCS in South Dakota for review and comment.

SUMMARY: It is the intention of NRCS in South Dakota to issue revised conservation practice standards in Section IV of the FOTG for the following practices: Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320); Irrigation Storage Reservoir; Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442); Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery (447); Land Clearing (460); Land Smoothing (466); Open Channel (582); and Pond (378).

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received on or before January 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: All comments concerning the proposed conservation practice standards changes should be addressed to: Dean Fisher, State Conservationist, NRCS, 200 Fouth Street SW, Huron, South Dakota 57350. Copies of these standards will be made available upon written request.

Dated: December 4, 2000.

Dean Fisher,

State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Huron, South Dakota 57350.

[FR Doc. 00–32174 Filed 12–15–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–16–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Field Office Technical Guide of NRCS in South Dakota; Availability of Proposed Changes

AGENCY: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of proposed changes to conservation practice standards in Section IV of the Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) of NRCS in South Dakota for review and comment.

SUMMARY: It is the intention of NRCS in South Dakota to issue revised conservation practice standards in Section IV of the FOTG for the following practice Irrigation Pit or Regulating Reservoir, Irrigation Pit (552A).

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received on or before January 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: All comments concerning the proposed conservation practice standard changes should be addressed to: Dean Fisher, State Conservationist, NRCS, 200 Fourth Street SW, Huron, South Dakota 57350. Copies of these standards will be made available upon written request.