

DEPARTMENT OF STATE**22 CFR Part 42****[Public Notice 3505]****Immigrant Visas; Change in the Schedule of Fees for Consular Service****AGENCY:** Department of State.**ACTION:** Final Rule, with a request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of State is amending the immigrant visa regulations to reference a change in the Schedule of Fees for Consular Services which added a fee under Item 61 for assistance in the preparation of a required Affidavit of Support.

DATES: Effective January 1, 2001. Comments must be submitted by February 12, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments in duplicate to the Chief, Legislation and Regulations Division, Visa Services, Department of State, 20520-0106 or e-mail odomhe@state.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. Edward Odom, Chief, Legislation and Regulations Division, Visa Services, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520-0106, (202) 663-1204, e-mail odomhe@state.gov, or fax at (202) 663-3898.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 13, 2000, the Department of State published a Proposed Rule (65 FR 13253), establishing a fee of \$50.00 for the review of, and assistance rendered in connection with, the proper preparation of a required Affidavit of Support. Those services will be rendered in the United States at the National Visa Center and through a call center available to all affiants. That rule was made final on September 7, 2000, (65 FR 54148-54150).

This rule amends the immigrant visa regulation pertaining to the Affidavit of Support (22 CFR 40.41(b)), with respect to applicants from certain designated posts, to require the payment of that fee prior to the consular officer's assessment of the sufficiency of the affidavit. The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Visa Services shall designate such posts by public notice from time to time, until it becomes applicable worldwide.

Regulatory Analysis and Notices Administrative Procedure Act

The Department is publishing this rule as a final rule, with a 60-day provision for post-promulgation public comments, based on the "good cause" exceptions set forth at 5 U.S.C.

553(b)(3)(B) and 553(d)(3). The fee under reference has been the subject of both a proposed and a final rule, which will be effective on the same date as this rule. The imposition of such a fee is authorized by law.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to § 605 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the Department has assessed the potential impact of this rule, and the Assistant Secretary for Consular Affairs hereby certifies that is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This rule will not result in the expenditure by State, local and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million in any year and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

This rule is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and export markets.

Executive Order 12866

The Department of State does not consider this rule to be a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, section 3(f), Regulatory Planning and Review, and the Office of Management and Budget has waived its review process under section (6)(a)(3)(A).

Executive Order 131332

This regulation will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with section 6 of Executive Order 13132, it is determined that this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to require consultations or

warrant the preparation of a federalism summary impact statement.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose any new reporting or record-keeping requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 40

Aliens, Immigrants, Nonimmigrants, Visas, Ineligibilities

PART 40—REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO BOTH NONIMMIGRANTS AND IMMIGRANTS UNDER THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, AS AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 40 is as follows:

Authority: 8 U.S.C. 1104

2. Revise § 40.41(b) to read as follows:

§ 40.41 Public Charge

* * * * *

(b) *Affidavit of support.* Any alien seeking an immigrant visa under INA 201(b)(2), 203(a), or 203(b), based upon a petition filed by a relative of the alien (or in the case of a petition filed under INA 203(b) by an entity in which a relative has a significant ownership interest), shall be required to present to the consular officer an affidavit of support (AOS) on a form that complies with terms and conditions established by the Attorney General. Petitioners for applicants at a post designated by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Visa Services for initial review of and assistance with such an AOS will be charged a fee for such review and assistance pursuant to Item 61 of the Schedule of Fees for Consular Services (22 CFR 22.1).

* * * * *

Dated: November 29, 2000.

Maura Hart,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Consular Affairs.

[FR Doc. 00-31742 Filed 12-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE**22 CFR Part 42****[Public Notice 3504]****Change in Procedures for Payment of Certain Immigrant Visa Fees****AGENCY:** Department of State.**ACTION:** Interim rule; stay of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Department of State is staying the recent regulation pertaining to a change in procedures for the

payment of certain immigrant visa fees, published in the **Federal Register** of September 8, 2000 (65 FR 54412).

DATES: Effective December 14, 2000, 22 CFR 42.71(b) is stayed until January 1, 2001, and § 42.71(c) is added until January 1, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. Edward Odom, Chief, Legislation and Regulations Division, Visa Services, Department of State, Washington, DC 20520-0106, (202) 663-1204, e-mail *odomhe@state.gov*, or fax at (202) 663-3898.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 8, 2000, (65 FR 54412-12) the Department published a rule which, among other things, changed the procedure for and the timing of the payment of the application processing fee by immigrant visa applicants at certain consular posts. At the time the rule was sent to the **Federal Register** it was intended to be effective upon publication. For technical reasons, it could not be implemented as intended on the date published in the **Federal Register**.

List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 42

Aliens, Immigrants, Passports and visas.

22 CFR Part 42 is amended as follows:

PART 42—VISAS; DOCUMENTATION OF IMMIGRANTS UNDER THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, AS AMENDED

1. The authority citation for Part 42 continues to read:

Authority: 8 U.S.C. 1104.

2. In § 42.71 stay paragraph (b) until January 1, 2001, and add paragraph (c) until that date to read as follows:

§ 42.71 Authority to issue visas; visa fees.

* * * * *

(c) Immigrant visa fees. Fees are prescribed by the Secretary of State for the execution of an application for, and the issuance of, an immigrant visa. The application fee shall be collected prior to the visa interview and execution of the application. The issuance fee shall be collected after completion of the visa interview and prior to issuance of the visa. A fee receipt shall be issued for each fee. A fee collected for the application for or issuance of an immigrant visa is refundable only if the principal officer at a post or the officer in charge of a consular section determines that the visa was issued in error or could not be used as a result of action by the U.S. Government over which the alien had no control and for which the alien was not responsible.

Dated: November 28, 2000.

Maura Harty,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Consular Affairs.

[FR Doc. 00-31741 Filed 12-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 4

[T.D. ATF-433; Ref. Notice No. 883]

RIN 1512-AC03

Addition of a New Grape Variety Name for American Wines (99R-142P)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Treasury.

ACTION: Treasury decision, final rule.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) is adding a new name, "Dornfelder", to the list of prime grape variety names for use in designating American wines. Dornfelder is a red variety, developed in Germany in 1955, currently grown commercially in the United States.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective February 12, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Berry, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, 111 W. Huron Street, Room 219, Buffalo, NY 14202-2301, (716) 551-4048.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background on Grape Variety Names

Under 27 CFR 4.23(b), a wine bottler may use a grape variety name as the designation of a wine if not less than 75 percent of the wine (51 percent in some circumstances) is derived from that grape variety. The wine must also be labeled with an appellation of origin. Under § 4.23(d), a bottler may use two or more grape variety names as the designation of a wine if all varieties are listed on the brand label and the percentage of the wine derived from each grape variety is shown on the label.

Treasury Decision ATF-370 (61 FR 522), January 8, 1996, adopted a list of grape variety names that ATF has determined to be appropriate for use in designating American wines. The list of prime grape names and their synonyms appears at § 4.91, while additional alternative grape names temporarily authorized for use are listed at § 4.92.

How May New Varieties Be Added to the List of Prime Grape Names?

Under § 4.93 any interested person may petition ATF to include additional grape varieties in the list of prime grape names. Information with a petition should provide evidence of the following:

- Acceptance of the new grape variety;
- The validity of the name for identifying the grape variety;
- Information that the variety is used or will be used in winemaking; and
- Information that the variety is grown and used in the United States.

For the approval of names of new grape varieties, the petition may include:

- A reference to the publication of the name of the variety in a scientific or professional journal of horticulture or a published report by a professional, scientific or winegrowers' organization;
- A reference to a plant patent, if patented; and

- Information about the commercial potential of the variety such as the acreage planted or market studies.

Section 4.93 also places certain restrictions on grape names that will be approved. A name will not be approved:

- If it has previously been used for a different grape variety;
- If it contains a term or name found to be misleading under § 4.39; or
- If a name of a new grape variety contains the term "Riesling."

The Director reserves the authority to disapprove the name of a grape variety developed in the United States if the name contains words of geographical significance, place names, or foreign words that are misleading under § 4.39.

2. Dornfelder Rulemaking

Petition

ATF received a petition proposing to add the name "Dornfelder" to the list of prime grape variety names approved for the designation of American wines. Mr. John Weygandt and Ms. Alice Weygandt of Stargazers Vineyard in Coatesville, Pennsylvania, submitted the petition.

According to information submitted by the petitioners, Dornfelder is a red variety, developed in Germany in 1955. It is a crossing of Helfenstein (a crossing of Fruhburgunder and Trollinger) and Heroldrebe (a crossing of Portugieser and Limberger). According to Jancis Robinson's *Vines, Grapes and Wines* (First American Edition 1986), Dornfelder is * * * perhaps Germany's most promising 'new' red crossing." The name "Dornfelder" is derived from Imanuel Dornfeld, founding father of the Wurttemberg viticultural school during