

agreement, which was filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") on November 21, 2000, to address a lawsuit filed by the Sierra Club and the New York Public Interest Research Group (collectively referred to as "Sierra Club"). Sierra Club filed a petition for review pursuant to section 307(b) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b) challenging EPA's extension of the interim approval of title V permitting programs for approximately 80 permitting authorities. *Sierra Club v. EPA*, No. 00-1262 (D.C. Cir.).

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by January 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to Jan M. Tierney, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Copies of the proposed settlement agreement are available from Phyllis J. Cochran, (202) 564-5566. A copy of the proposed settlement agreement was filed with the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on November 21, 1999.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Sierra Club alleges that EPA acted contrary to law by extending the interim approval of title V permitting programs for more than 80 permitting authorities. Under title V of the CAA, EPA promulgated regulations specifying the requirements for State operating permit programs. States, or local permitting authorities to which the States delegated authority, submitted programs to EPA for approval in the early to mid 1990's. Pursuant to section 502(g) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7661a(g), EPA granted interim approval of a number title V permitting programs. Subsequently, EPA extended the interim approval of programs through a series of notices in the **Federal Register**. Most recently, on May 22, 2000, EPA took final action extending the interim approval for approximately 80 title V permitting programs and Sierra Club challenged that final action.

The settlement agreement provides that Sierra Club's challenge to EPA's final action will be stayed pending several actions by the Agency. Pursuant to the key provisions of the settlement agreement, Sierra Club may request the court to lift the stay of the litigation if EPA fails to: (A) Propose by December 15, 2000, amendments to 40 CFR 70.4(d)(2) to eliminate language that could be construed to grant EPA authority to extend further interim

approval of a title V permitting program; (B) take final action by June 1, 2000, promulgating such amendments; (C) notify by December 1, 2000, each permitting authority by letter that a federal program will apply if EPA has not fully approved a revised title V permit program for the area by December 1, 2001; and (D) issue by December 1, 2000, a notice informing the public that they may submit comment identifying deficiencies with approved or interim approved title V permit programs and that EPA will respond to such comments by specified dates.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or interveners to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determine, following the comment period, that consent is inappropriate, the settlement agreement will be final.

Dated: December 1, 2000.

Anna Wolgast,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-31334 Filed 12-7-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6913-6]

Notice of Settlement Extension: National Ambient Air Quality Standard; Sulfur Oxides Remand

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of settlement extension.

SUMMARY: In 1998, the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit remanded EPA's decision to not revise the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulfur oxides for further explanation by EPA. *American Lung Association v. Browner*, 134 F. 3d 388 (D.C. Cir. 1998). Subsequently, the American Lung Association (ALA) and EPA agreed that EPA would propose a response to the court's remand by summer, 1999 and that EPA would finalize its response to the remand by the end of the year 2000. In exchange,

ALA agreed to not file a petition for rehearing en banc with the court and to not pursue any mandatory duty or unreasonable delay claims regarding the remand prior to January, 2001.

In September 1999, EPA and ALA met to discuss the status of the remand and agreed to extend the summer, 1999 deadline until January 15, 2000.

Since that time EPA and ALA have continued discussions and EPA has continued to work on the remand. As a result, EPA and ALA have agreed that by the end of 2000, EPA will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** describing the status of the remand and related activities and soliciting appropriate comment. For its part, ALA has agreed not to pursue any mandatory duty or unreasonable delay claims regarding the remand prior to January, 2001.

Dated: December 1, 2000.

Anna Wolgast,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-31333 Filed 12-7-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6613-4]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed November 27, 2000 Through December 1, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000416, DRAFT EIS, SFW, CA, Metro Air Park Habitat Conservation Plan, Issuance of an Incidental Take Permit, To Protect, Conserve and Enhance Fish, Wildlife and Plants and their Habitat, Natomas Basin, Sacramento County, CA, Due: February 6, 2001, Contact: Vickie Campbell (916) 414-6600.

EIS No. 000417, DRAFT EIS, NPS, GA, Cumberland Island National Seashore General Management Plan, Wilderness Management Plan, Commercial Services Plan, Interpretation Plan, Resource Cultural and Natural Management Plan, Implementation, St. Marys County, GA, Due: April 9, 2001, Contact: Arthur Frederick (912) 882-4336.

EIS No. 000418, FINAL EIS, AFS, MN, Little East Creek Fuel Reduction Project, Plan to Grant Access Across Federal Land to Non-Federal Landowners, Implementation, LaCroix Ranger District, Superior

National Forest, Saint Louis County, MN, Due: January 8, 2001, Contact: Jim Thompson (218) 666-0020.
EIS No. 000419, DRAFT EIS, MMS, LA, AL, MS, FL, Eastern Planning Area Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sale 181 (December 2001), Gulf of Mexico, Offshore Marine Environment and Coastal Counties/Parishes of LA, MI, AL and northwestern FL, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: Archie Melancon (703) 787-1547.

EIS No. 000420, THIRD DRAFT SUPPLEM, NOA, Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP), Updated Information, Framework Adjustment 14 to adjust the annual Amendment 7 day-at-sea allocation for 2001 and 2002 and to re-open portions of the Hudson Canyon and Virginia/North Carolina Areas for Scallop Fishing, Due: January 24, 2001, Contact: Patricia Churchill (202) 482-5916.

EIS No. 000421, DRAFT EIS, COE, CA, Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project, Restore Riparian Vegetation and Native Anadromous Fish Habitat, From Almaden Expressway to Masson Dam, Implementation, Guadalupe River, Santa Clara County, CA, Due: January 22, 2001, Contact: Brad Hubbards (916) 557-7054.

EIS No. 000422, FINAL EIS, USN, NY, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant Bethpage to Nassau County, Transfer and Reuse, Preferred Reuse Plan for the Property, Town of Oyster Bay, Nassau County, NY, Due: January 2, 2001, Contact: Robert K. Ostermueller (610) 595-0759.

This Notice of Availability should have appeared in the 12/1/2000 **Federal Register**. The Official Wait Period began on 12/1/2000 and ends on 1/2/2001.

Dated: December 5, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-31349 Filed 12-7-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6613-5]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for

copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in **Federal Register** dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-C35014-NJ Rating EU2, Meadowlands Mills Project, Construction of a Mixed-Use Commercial Development, Permit Application Number 95-07-440-RS for Issuance of a USCOE Section 404 Permit, Boroughs of Carlstadt and Monnachie, Township of South Hackensack, Bergen County, NJ.

Summary: EPA raised significant objections to the applicant's preferred alternative and other alternatives due to adverse impacts to wetlands and availability of less damaging alternatives. EPA requested additional information regarding alternatives, air quality impacts and compensatory mitigation plans.

ERP No. DR-IBR-K39049-CA Rating EC2, Coachella Canal Lining Water Project, Revised and Updated Information, Approval of the Transfers and Exchanges of Conserved Coachella Canal Water, Construction, Operation and Funding, Riverside and Imperial Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA requested formal responses to comments sent on the original Draft EIS in 1994, and raised additional concerns involving monitoring of water quality, modeling of area-wide impacts, and consultation with tribal governments.

ERP No. DS-IBR-K28019-CA Rating EO2, East Bay Municipal Utility District Supplemental Water Supply Project and Water Service Contract Amendment, New and Additional Information on Alternatives, American River Division of the Central Valley Project (CVP), Sacramento County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed objections regarding the level of detail and analysis of Alternatives 4 and 8, insufficient information on the impacts of wetlands, the potential growth inducing effects of the project, the absence of an analysis of how this project ties into the broader water allocation and ecosystem protection goals of CALFED and CVPIA, and how the water quality of the selected drinking water source will be protected. EPA requested that a greater level of detail and analysis be provided on these issues.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-E30041-NC, Dare County Beaches (Bodie Island Portion) Hurricane Wave Protection and Beach

Erosion Control, The towns of Nags Head, Kill Devil Hills, Kitty Hawk, Dare County, NC.

Summary: EPA continues to express concern regarding the adverse effect on the nearshore ecosystem caused by maintaining a given beach profile.

ERP No. F-COE-K36129-CA, Santa Ana River Mainstem Project Including Santiago Creek, Proposal to Complete Channel Improvements along San Timoteo Creek Reach 3B to provide Flood Protection, San Bernardino County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed continuing concerns regarding analysis of an alternative that would have less adverse impacts to San Timoteo Creek, the full extent of cumulative impacts to San Timoteo Creek from Corps of Engineers' flood control projects, and mitigation to compensate for unavoidable losses to aquatic resources.

Dated: December 05, 2000.

Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-31350 Filed 12-7-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-00300; FRL-6747-6]

Proposed National Action Plan for Hexachlorobenzene; Notice of Availability and Solicitation of Public Comment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: EPA has developed a draft National Action Plan to promote further voluntary reductions of releases and exposure to Hexachlorobenzene (HCB). This Notice announces the availability of the draft HCB National Action Plan for public review and comment. Hexachlorobenzene is currently formed as an inadvertent by-product at trace levels in the production of chlorinated solvents, pesticides, and in other chlorinated processes. This chemical is a persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic halogenated compound that persists in the environment and bioaccumulates in animal tissue. It is considered a probable human carcinogen and is toxic by all routes of exposure. The general population appears to be exposed to very low concentrations of HCB, primarily through ingestion of meat, dairy products, poultry and fish. The strategic approach of the Agency,