local governments, or EPA consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation. EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts State law, unless the Agency consults with State and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This proposed rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

XII. Executive Order 13084

What Is Executive Order 13084 and Is It Applicable to This Proposed Rule?

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments ''to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.''

Under section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian Tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the Tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. In this case, the addition of the site to the NPL will not impose any substantial direct compliance costs on the Tribes. While the Tribes may incur costs from participating in the investigations and cleanup decisions, those costs are not compliance costs. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this proposed rule.

TABLE 1.—NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST PROPOSED RULE NO. 34, GENERAL SUPERFUND SECTION

State	Site name	City/ county
CA	Del Amo	Los Angeles.
CT	Broad Brook Mill ..	East Windsor.
ID	St. Maries Creosote.	St. Maries.
MT	Barker Hughesville Mining District.	Barker.
MT	Carpenter Snow Creek Mining District.	Neihart.
NY	Consolidated Iron and Metal.	Newburgh.
OR	Taylor Lumber and Treating.	Sheridan.
UT	Bountiful/Woods Cross 5th South PCE Plume.	Bountiful/ Woods Cross.
UT	Davenport and Flagstaff Smelters.	Sandy City.
VT	Elizabeth Mine	Strafford.
WA	Lower Duwamish Waterway.	Seattle.
WI	Ashland/Northland States Power Lakefront.	Ashland.

Number of Sites Proposed to General Superfund Section: 12.

TABLE 2.—NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST PROPOSED RULE NO. 34, FEDERAL FACILITIES SECTION

State	Site name	City/ county
IL	Chanute Air Force Base	Rantoul.

Number of Sites Proposed to Federal Facilities Section: 1.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, hazardous waste, Intergovernmental relations, Natural resources, Oil pollution, penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2); 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

Dated: November 22, 2000.

Timothy Fields, Jr.,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

[FR Doc. 00–30631 Filed 11–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2595, MM Docket No. 00–233, RM–9996]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Fort Walton Beach, FL

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Television Fit-For-Life, Inc., licensee of station WFGX(TV), NTSC channel 35, Fort Walton Beach, Florida, requesting the substitution of DTV channel 50 for station WFGX(TV)'s assigned DTV channel 25. DTV channel 50 can be allotted to Fort Walton Beach, Florida, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (30–24–12 N. and 86–59–34 W.). As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel 50 to Fort Walton Beach with a power of 1000 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 221 meters.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before January 16, 2001, and reply comments on or before January 31, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: James A. Koerner, Koerner & Olender, P.C., 5809 Nicholson Lane, Suite 124, Bethesda, Maryland 20852–5706 (Counsel for Television Fit-For-Life, Inc.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–233, adopted November 22, 2000, and released November 24, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the

FCC Reference Center 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§ 73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Florida is amended by removing DTV Channel 25 and adding DTV Channel 50 at Fort Walton Beach.

Federal Communications Commission

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–30688 Filed 11–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–2570; MM Docket No. 00–228, RM–9991]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Linden, White Oak, Lufkin, Corrigan, Mount Enterprise, and Pineland, TX and Zwolle, LA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by OARA, Inc. proposing the reallotment of Channel 257C2 from Linden, Texas, to White Oak, Texas, as that community's first local service. The coordinates for Channel 257C2 at White Oak are 32–30–32 and 94–50–41. To accommodate the allotment at White Oak, we shall also propose to substitute Channel 261C2 for Channel 257C2 at Lufkin, Texas, and modifiy the license for Station KUEZ at coordinates 31–24–28 and 94–45–53; substitute Channel 257A for vacant Channel 261A at Corrigan, Texas, at coordinates 30–59–47 and 94–49–36; reallot Channel 260A from Mount Enterprise, Texas to Zwolle, Louisiana, at coordinates 31–37–53 and 93–38–39; and allot Channel 256A at Pineland, Texas at coordinates 31–08–48 and 93–56–53.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before January 2, 2000, and reply comments on or before January 17, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Ann Bavender, Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C., 1300 N. 17th Street, 11th Floor, Arlington, Virginia 22209.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–228, adopted November 1, 2000, and released November 9, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857–3800, facsimile (202) 857–3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of l980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by removing Linden, Channel 257C2 and adding White Oak, Channel 257C2, by removing Channel 257C2 at Lufkin and adding Channel 261C2 at Lufkin, by removing Channel 261A at Corrigan and adding Channel 257A at Corrigan, by removing Channel 260A and Mount Enterprise, and by adding Pineland, Channel 256A.

3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Louisiana, is amended by adding Zwolle, Channel 260A.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–30689 Filed 11–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 567, 571, 574 and 575

[Docket No. NHTSA–00–8296]

RIN 2127–AI32

Certification; Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Tire Identification and Recordkeeping; Consumer Information Regulations

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM).

SUMMARY: Section 11 of the recently enacted Transportation Recall Enhancement, Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act requires