

Dates of Meeting: 14–15 December 2000.

Place of Meeting: USARSA, Building 35, Fort Benning, Georgia.

Time of Meeting: 0830–1630, 14 December and 0830–1000, 15 December 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: All communications regarding this subcommittee should be addressed to LTC Bruce T. Gridley, U.S. Army School of the Americas, ATTN: ATZB–SAZ–CS, Ft. Benning, Georgia 31905–6245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Agenda: Presentation by the Commanding General, TRADOC, DUSA–IA, USSOUTHCOM and USARSA's transformation.

1. Purpose of Meeting: This is the eighth USARSA Subcommittee meeting. The Subcommittee will receive a report from the Commander, TRADOC, and discuss the transformation of USARSA.

2. Meeting of the Advisory Committee is open to the public. Due to space limitations, attendance may be limited to those persons who have notified the Committee Management Office in writing at least 5 days prior to the meeting date of their intent to attend.

3. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the committee before, during, or after the meeting. To the extent that time permits, the subcommittee chairman may allow public presentations of oral statements at the meeting.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–29759 Filed 11–20–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Performance Review Boards Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of the Performance Review Boards for the Department of the Army.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Quick, U.S. Army Senior Executive Service Office, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service

performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives.

The members of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2000 Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board are:

1. Maj. Gen. Milton Hunter, (Chair), Deputy Commander, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE);

2. Mr. William Dawson, (Alternate Chair), Director of Programs Management, Southwest Division, USACE;

3. Brig. Gen. Edwin Arnold, Jr., Commander, Mississippi Valley Division, USACE;

4. Mr. Louis Carr, Director of Engineering and Technical Services, Mississippi Valley Division, USACE;

5. Mr. Fred Caver, Chief, Programs Management Division, Office of the Deputy Commanding General for Civil Works, HQUSACE;

6. Mr. Stephen Coakley, Deputy Chief of Staff for Resource Management, HQUSACE;

7. Brig. Gen. Robert Griffin, Commander, Great Lakes and Ohio River Division, USACE;

8. Ms. Patricia Rivers, Chief, Environmental Division, Office of the Deputy Commanding General for Military Programs, HQUSACE; and

9. Dr. Barbara Sotirin, Director, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Engineer Research and Development Center, USACE.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–29758 Filed 11–20–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–U

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Notice of Availability (NOA) of Draft Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project, San Jose, CA

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (as amended), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and the Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) have prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Report

/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project in San Jose, California. This Draft EIR/EIS is being made available for a 45-day public comment period.

DATES: Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS should be submitted on or before January 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS should be submitted to the Santa Clara Valley Water District, 5750 Almaden Expressway, San Jose, CA 95118–3686 (Attention: Al Gurevich). Printed copies of the Draft EIR/EIS are available for public inspection and review at the locations listed below in Supplementary Information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: 1. Al Gurevich, Project Manager, Santa Clara Valley Water District, (408) 265–2607, extension 2018, or electronic mail: AlGurevi@scvwd.dst.ca.us.

2. Mr. Brad Hubbard, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, (916) 557–7054, or electronic mail: bhubbard@spk.usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Report Availability

The Draft EIR/EIS will be available for public inspection and review at the following locations:

Santa Clara Valley Water District, 5750 Almaden Expressway, San Jose, CA 95118

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, 1325 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95814–2922

Almaden Library, 6455 Camden Avenue, San Jose, CA 95120–2823
Alviso Library, 5050 North First Street, Alviso, CA 95002

Biblioteca Latino America, 921 South First Street, San Jose, CA 95110
Cambrian Library, 1780 Hillsdale Avenue, San Jose, CA 95124

Pearl Avenue Library, 4270 Pearl Avenue, San Jose, CA 95136
Rosegarden Library, 1580 Naglee Avenue, San Jose, CA 95126

Willow Glen Library, 1157 Minnesota Avenue, San Jose, CA 95125

2. Report Background and Scope

This EIR/EIS addresses the impacts of the proposed Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project, which involves riparian vegetation and fish habitat restoration along a 1.7-mile segment of Guadalupe Creek (City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California) designated as critical habitat for steelhead by the National Marine Fisheries Service. The Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project comprises two phases. Phase 1 restoration plantings were completed in 1998. Phase 2, if

implemented, will result in the installation of approximately 6 acres of riparian vegetation, approximately 13,000 linear feet (lf) of shaded riverine aquatic (SRA) cover vegetation, and various aquatic habitat features. This EIR/EIS specifically addresses the environmental impacts of Phase 2 of the Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project and will support decision making by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Santa Clara Valley Water District, and other responsible agencies to implement Phase 2 and to ensure compliance with NEPA, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and other pertinent laws and regulations. This document analyzes potential direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental, social, and economic effects of a range of action alternatives for implementing Phase 2.

The primary objective of the Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project is to restore shaded riverine aquatic (SRA) cover vegetation and improve aquatic habitat for anadromous fish (steelhead and Chinook salmon) in lower Guadalupe Creek between Almaden Expressway and Masson Dam. Additional secondary objectives are detailed in the Draft EIR/EIS. Action alternatives were developed to meet the Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project's primary objective while avoiding or minimizing adverse environmental effects to the maximum extent practicable. Alternatives were further screened based on their expected success in achieving the Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project's secondary objectives. Alternatives considered in detail in the Draft EIR/EIS include: channel and floodplain modification (proposed action/preferred alternative); reduced channel and floodplain modification; minimal channel and floodplain modification; and the No-Action (No-Project) Alternative. The proposed action/preferred alternative, described in greater detail below, would fulfill all primary and secondary objectives of the Guadalupe Creek Restoration Project.

3. Project Site

The project site encompasses approximately 1.7 miles of lower Guadalupe Creek (including the active channel and adjacent floodplain areas) between Almaden Expressway and Masson Dam in the southwestern portion of the City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California. The study area addressed in this Draft EIR/EIS includes the project site and surrounding portions of the City of San Jose. For some resource areas (e.g., biological resources, hydrology and water quality), the Draft EIR/EIS also discusses

conditions in the larger Guadalupe Creek watershed and/or Guadalupe River system.

4. Proposed Action

The proposed action (channel and floodplain modification alternative) includes channel relocation, floodplain development, and bank stabilization to enhance instream habitat and support the establishment of SRA vegetation. Implementation of the proposed action/preferred alternative would involve shifting approximately 2,500 lf of existing stream channel, excavating and removing approximately 42,000 cubic yards of material, and importing 13,000 cubic yards of material to create planting sites. In addition, under the proposed action/preferred alternative, approximately 725 lf of bank protection features would be installed and approximately 6 acres of riparian vegetation and 13,000 lf of SRA cover vegetation would be established.

5. Commenting

Comments received in response to this Draft EIR/EIS, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on the proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will also be accepted and considered. Pursuant to 7 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 1.27(d), any person may request that the lead agency withhold a submission from the public record if he or she can demonstrate that the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Corps will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and if the request is denied, the Corps will return the submission with notification that the comments may be resubmitted either with or without the commenter's name and address.

Dated: November 14, 2000.

Robert A. O'Brien III,

*Lieutenant Colonel, Corps of Engineers,
Acting Commander.*

[FR Doc. 00-29760 Filed 11-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-EZ-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 21, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Lauren Wittenberg, Acting Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Lauren_Wittenberg@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: November 15, 2000.

John Tressler,

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management,
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

Office of Vocational and Adult Education

Type of Review: New Collection.

Title: Perkins Annual Levels of Performance (SC).

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs (primary).