

3420.1-4) in the area, for purposes of the environmental analysis for the Powder River Basin EIS, and for any necessary update or amendment of the Buffalo and Platte River RMPs. Information concerning areas of coal leasing interest, coal resource data, and other resource information related to the coal unsuitability criteria must be submitted to the Buffalo Field Office at the address above.

Dated: November 14, 2000.

Alan L. Kesterke,

Associate State Director.

[FR Doc. 00-29722 Filed 11-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

RIN 1010-AB57

Major Portion Prices and Due Dates for Additional Royalty Payments on Indian Gas Production in Designated Areas Not Associated With an Index Zone

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Major Portion Prices.

SUMMARY: Final regulations for valuing gas produced from Indian leases, published on August 10, 1999, require MMS to determine major portion values and notify industry by publishing the values in the **Federal Register** regulations also require MMS to publish a due date for industry to pay additional royalty based on the major portion value. This notice provides the major portion values and due dates for May and June 2000 production months.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

ADDRESSES: See **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Barder, Indian Oil and Gas Compliance Asset Management, MMS; telephone, (303) 275-7234; FAX, (303) 275-7470; E-mail, John.Barder@mms.gov; mailing address, Minerals Management Service, Minerals Revenue Management, Indian Oil and Gas Compliance Asset Management, P.O. Box 25165, MS 396G3, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 10, 1999, MMS published a final rule

titled "Amendments to Gas Valuation Regulations for Indian Leases," (64 FR 43506) with an effective date of January 1, 2000. The gas regulations apply to all gas production from Indian (tribal or allotted) oil and gas leases (except leases on the Osage Indian Reservation).

The rule requires that MMS publish major portion prices for each designated area not associated with an index zone for each production month beginning January 2000 along with a due date for additional royalty payments. See 30 CFR 206.174(a)(4)(ii)(64 FR 43520, August 10, 1999). If additional royalties are due based on a published major portion price, the lessee must submit an amended Form MMS-2014, Report of Sales and Royalty Remittance, to MMS by the due date. If additional royalties are not paid by the due date, late payment interest under 30 CFR 218.54 (1999) will accrue from the due date until payment is made and an amended Form MMS-2014 is received. The table below lists the major portion prices for all designated areas not associated with an Index Zone and the due date for payment of additional royalties.

GAS MAJOR PORTION PRICES AND DUE DATES FOR DESIGNATED AREAS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH AN INDEX ZONE

MMS-Designated areas	May 2000	June 2000	Due date
Alabama-Coushatta	\$3.13/MMBtu	\$4.52/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Blackfeet Reservation	2.29/MMBtu	2.79/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Fort Belknap	3.92/MMBtu	4.14/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Fort Berthold	1.25/MMBtu	2.03/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Fort Peck Reservation	1.95/MMBtu	2.72/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Navajo Allotted Leases in the Navajo Reservation	2.78/MMBtu	3.87/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Rocky Boys Reservation	2.04/MMBtu	3.09/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Turtle Mountain Reservation	1.18/MMBtu	1.18/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Ute Allotted Leases in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation	2.80/MMBtu	3.76/MMBtu	01/02/2001
Ute Tribal Leases in the Uintah and Ouray Reservation	2.80/MMBtu	3.76/MMBtu	01/02/2001

For information on how to report additional royalties due to major portion prices, please refer to our Dear Payor letter dated December 1, 1999.

Dated: November 15, 2000.

Lucy Querques Denett,

Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.

[FR Doc. 00-29829 Filed 11-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Yosemite Valley Plan, Yosemite National Park Madera, Mono, Tuolumne, and Mariposa Counties, California; Notice of Availability

SUMMARY: Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub L.91-190, as amended), and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Part 1500-1508), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement identifying and evaluating five alternatives for a Yosemite Valley Plan within Yosemite National Park. The foreseeable potential for

environmental impacts, and appropriate mitigation, are identified and assessed for each alternative. When approved, the plan is intended to guide management actions during the next 15-20 years.

Proposal

The proposed Yosemite Valley Plan (*Alternative 2—Preferred*) would restore approximately 176 disturbed or developed acres in Yosemite Valley to natural conditions. In addition, 173 acres of developed land would be redeveloped and 73 acres of undeveloped land would be developed to accommodate visitor and employee services, such as campgrounds, day-visitor parking, and employee housing. The net effect of this proposal would be to reduce development in Yosemite Valley by approximately 71 acres. This

proposal would locate a new Valley Visitor Center and consolidate parking for day-visitors at Yosemite Village, and also consolidate parking in three areas outside Yosemite Valley. There would be more campsites and fewer lodging units than there are now. Vehicle travel in the eastern portion of Yosemite Valley during summer months would be greatly reduced. The area of the former Upper and Lower River Campgrounds would be restored to a mosaic of meadow, riparian, and oak woodland communities, roads would be removed from Ahwahnee and Stoneman Meadows, and much of Curry Orchard would be restored to natural conditions. Southside Drive would be converted to two-way traffic from El Capitan crossover to Curry Village, and Northside Drive would be converted to a paved bicycle and pedestrian trail from El Capitan crossover to Yosemite Lodge. There would be minimal new development west of Yosemite Lodge.

Alternatives

Alternative 1 maintains the status quo in Yosemite Valley, as described in Chapter 3, Affected Environment. It provides a baseline from which to compare other alternatives, to evaluate the magnitude of proposed changes, and to measure the environmental effects of those changes. This “no new actions” concept follows the guidance of the Council on Environmental Quality, which defines such base-line alternatives as no change from the current management direction or level of management intensity.

Alternative 3 would restore approximately 209 disturbed or developed acres in Yosemite Valley to natural conditions; and 148 acres of developed land would be redeveloped and 99 acres of undeveloped land would be developed to accommodate visitor and employee services. The net effect would be to reduce development in Yosemite Valley by approximately 72 acres. This alternative consolidates parking for day-visitors in the Taft Toe area; a Valley Visitor Center would also be constructed there. There would be fewer campsites and lodging units than there are now. The area of the former Upper and Lower River Campgrounds and the Camp 6 parking area near Yosemite Village would be restored to riparian habitat, roads would be removed from Ahwahnee and Stoneman Meadows, and parking and the historic fruit trees would be removed from Curry Orchard. Northside Drive would be converted to a trail for pedestrians and bicyclists from Yosemite Lodge to El Capitan Crossover, and Southside Drive

would be converted to two-way traffic from Taft Toe to Curry Village.

Alternative 4 would restore approximately 194 disturbed or developed acres in Yosemite Valley to natural conditions. In addition, 154 acres of developed land would be redeveloped and 99 acres of undeveloped land would be developed to accommodate visitor and employee services. The net effect would be to reduce development in Yosemite Valley by approximately 66 acres. This alternative would consolidate parking for day-visitors in the Taft Toe area in mid Yosemite Valley and in three parking areas outside the Valley. A Valley Visitor Center would be constructed at Taft Toe. There would be fewer campsites and lodging units than there are now. The area of former Upper and Lower River Campgrounds and the Camp 6 parking area near Yosemite Village would be restored to riparian communities; roads would be removed from Ahwahnee and Stoneman Meadows; and parking would be removed from Curry Orchard. Northside Drive would be converted to a multi-use-paved trail for hikers and bicyclists, from Yosemite Lodge to El Capitan crossover. Southside Drive would be converted to two-way traffic from Taft Toe to Curry Village.

Alternative 5 would restore approximately 157 disturbed or developed acres in Yosemite Valley to natural conditions. In addition, 181 acres of developed land would be redeveloped and 54 acres of undeveloped land would be developed to accommodate employee and visitor services. The net effect would be to reduce development in Yosemite Valley by approximately 63 acres. This alternative consolidates parking for day-visitors at Yosemite Village and selected areas outside of Yosemite Valley. A new transit center would be located at Yosemite Village. Traffic circulation would remain similar to the present; however, one lane of Northside and Southside Drives would be converted to multi-use paved trails between El Capitan Crossover and Yosemite Lodge. There would be more campsites and fewer lodging units than now, and area of the former Lower and Upper River Campgrounds would be restored to a mosaic of riparian and oak woodland communities. There would be minimal new development in mid and west Yosemite Valley.

Planning Background

The draft Yosemite Valley Plan and Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) were prepared by the National Park Service (NPS) pursuant to

the National Environmental Policy Act. A Scoping Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 16, 1998. General issues and specific concerns already raised during previous relevant planning processes were provided to the public. Scoping comments were received through February 1, 1999. During this scoping period, the NPS facilitated over 100 discussions and briefings to interested members of the public, congressional delegations, Indian Tribes, elected officials, other agencies, public service organizations, educational institutions, and other entities. Nearly 600 letters were received concerning the announced conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process.

The draft Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS—formally announced for public review per Notice of Availability published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2000—was sent directly to all individuals, organizations, and agencies which had previously contacted the park; copies could also be obtained in the park, by mail, at public meetings, and were available for review at local and regional libraries (i.e., San Francisco and Los Angeles). Finally, the complete document was posted on the Yosemite National Park WebPage (<http://www.nps.gov/yose/planning>). Written comments were accepted through July 14, 2000. Approximately 10,200 responses were received; all were duly considered and adjustments were made to the draft plan. All written comments have been archived and are available for public review in the park's research library.

In order to further foster public review and comment, 14 public meetings were held throughout California—half of these were conducted in major metropolitan areas of the State, and half in cities and towns neighboring Yosemite National Park. All meetings consisted of a combined open house (where participants could view displays and talk with park management and planning staff) and formal hearings where oral testimony before park officials was documented by a court reporter. Approximately 1,500 persons attended these meetings, and 365 individuals and organization representatives testified during the hearings. In addition, public meetings were conducted in Seattle, Washington, Denver, Colorado, Chicago, Illinois, and Washington D.C. Over 100 individuals attended these out-of-state meetings.

Decision Process

Subsequent to release of the final Yosemite Valley Plan/SEIS, notice of an approved Record of Decision shall be

published in the **Federal Register** not sooner than thirty (30) days after the final document has been distributed. This is expected to occur by the end of December 2000. The official responsible for the decision is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region, National Park Service; the official responsible for implementation is the Superintendent, Yosemite National Park.

Dated: November 13, 2000.

Patricia L. Neubacher,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 00-29670 Filed 11-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Announcement of Subsistence Resource Commission Meeting.

AGENCY: National Park Service.

ACTION: Announcement of Subsistence Resource Commission meeting.

SUMMARY: The Superintendent of Aniakchak National Monument and the Chairperson of the Subsistence Resource Commission for Aniakchak National Monument announce a forthcoming meeting of the Aniakchak National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission. The following agenda items will be discussed:

- (1) Call to order.
- (2) SRC Roll Call and Confirmation of Quorum.
- (3) Welcome and Introductions.
- (4) Review and Adopt Agenda.
- (5) Review and adopt minutes from the April 4, 2000 meeting.
- (6) Commission Purpose.
- (7) Status of Membership.
- (8) Public and Agency Comments.
- (9) Old Business:
 - a. Status of SRC Support Letters.
 - (1) Roster Regulation Proposed Rule Publication.
 - (2) Customary Trade within Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve.
 - (3) (3) Trapping Furbearers with Firearm within Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve.
 - (4) SRC Chairs Workshop 1999 Recommendations.
 - (5) Status of Geographic Place Names Request.
 - b. Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve Commercial Visitor Services Report.
 - c. Status of SRC Hunting Program Recommendations.

(1) 97-1, Establish One-Year Minimum Residency Requirement for Resident Zone Communities.

(2) 97-2, Establish a Registration Permit Requirement within Aniakchak National Preserve for Non-subsistence Fish and Wildlife Harvest Activities.

(3) Draft Hunting Plan Recommendation 2000-1: Between September 10-20, Establish a Corridor in Aniakchak National Preserve Where NPS Would Limit Commercial Guide Party Size, Access and drop-off Locations.

(10) New Business:

- a. October 2000 SRC Chairs Workshop Report.

b. Federal Subsistence Board Update.

- (1) Review Unit 9E Board Actions Taken during May 2000.

(2) Bristol Bay Regional Council Report.

(3) Review Wildlife Proposals for 2001.

(4) Review Fish Proposals for 2001

- (11) Status of Draft Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve Subsistence Management Plan.

(12) Election of SRC Chair and Vice Chair.

(13) Public and Agency Comments.

(14) SRC work session (draft proposals, letters, and recommendations).

(15) Set time and place of next SRC meeting.

(16) Adjournment.

DATES: The meeting will begin at 10 a.m. on Tuesday, November 28, 2000 and conclude at approximately 6 p.m. The meeting will reconvene at 9 a.m. on Wednesday, November 29 and adjourn at approximately 1 p.m.

LOCATION: Community Subsistence Building, Chignik Lake, Alaska

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary McBurney at Phone (907) 257-2633, or Tom O'Hara, Subsistence Manager, Aniakchak National Monument, P.O. Box 7, King Salmon, Alaska 99613. Phone (907) 246-2101.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Subsistence Resource Commissions are authorized under Title VIII, Section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Pub. L. 96-487, and operate in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committees Act.

Thomas J. Ferranti,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 00-29672 Filed 11-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

National Park Service

Agenda for the January 17th 2001 Public Meeting of the Advisory Commission for the San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park

Public Meeting, Firehouse Building F, Lower Fort Mason Center, 10:00 a.m.-12:15 p.m.

10:00 a.m.: Welcome Neil Chaitin, Chairman

Opening Remarks—Neil Chaitin, Chairman

Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting

10:15 a.m.: William Thomas, Superintendent

10:30 a.m.: WAPAMA Relocation to Richmond—James White, Moorings & Warehouse Foreman

10:40 a.m.: Ship Preservation Update—Wayne Boykin, Ships Manager

10:50 a.m.: BALCLUTHA 'Tween Decks, Haslett Visitor Center—Marc Hayman, C, Interpretation & Resource Management

11:30 a.m.: San Francisco Maritime National Park Association—Kathy Lohan, Executive Director

11:45 a.m.: Public Comments and Questions

12:00 p.m.: Agenda items/Date for next meeting

William G. Thomas,

Superintendent.

[FR Doc. 00-29671 Filed 11-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before November 10, 2000. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., NC400, Washington, DC 20240. Written