

22. In § 203.83, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 203.83 What is in an administrative information report?**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Lessee's well designation, the API number, and the location of each well that has been drilled on the field or lease or project (not required for non-oil and gas leases);

\* \* \* \* \*

23. In § 203.86, the following changes are made:

A. The word "and" is removed at the end of paragraph (b)(6).

B. The "." is removed and "; and" is added at the end of paragraph (b)(7).

C. Paragraph (b)(8) is added.

D. Paragraph (c)(4) is revised.

E. The word "and" is removed at the end of paragraph (d)(6).

F. The "." is removed and "; and" is added at the end of paragraph (d)(7).

G. Paragraph (d)(8) is added.

The additions and revisions in changes C, D, and G read as follows:

**§ 203.86 What is in G&G report?**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(8) A table listing the wells/completions and indicating which sands and fault blocks will be targeted for completion/recompletion.

(c) \* \* \*

(4) an explanation for excluding the reservoirs you are not planning to develop.

(d) \* \* \*

(8) Reserve/resource distribution by reservoir.

\* \* \* \* \*

24. In § 203.87, paragraphs (a)(1) and (d) are revised to read as follows, and paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) are removed.

**§ 203.87 What is in an engineering report?**

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) \* \* \*

(1) Its size along with basic design specifications and drawings and

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) A discussion of any plans for multi-phase development which includes the conceptual basis for developing in phases and goals or milestones required for starting later phases.

\* \* \* \* \*

25. In § 203.89, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

**§ 203.89 What is in an engineering report?**

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) On an authorized field, sunk costs which are all your eligible post-discovery exploration, development,

and production expenses (no third party costs), and include the eligible costs of the discovery well on the field. On an expansion project or a development project, sunk costs are just the eligible costs of the discovery well for the project. Report them in nominal dollars and only if you have documentation. We count sunk costs in an evaluation (specified in § 203.68) as after-tax expenses, using nominal dollar amounts.

\* \* \* \* \*

26. In § 203.91, a new last sentence is added to read as follows:

**§ 203.91 What is in an engineering report?**

\* \* \* Also, you must have this report certified by an independent CPA according to § 203.81(c).

[FR Doc. 00-29372 Filed 11-15-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[MA-081-7211b; A-1-FRL-6897-5]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Massachusetts; Enhanced Motor Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. This revision establishes and requires the implementation of an enhanced inspection and maintenance program. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse

comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before December 18, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to David Conroy, Unit Manager, Air Quality Planning, Office of Ecosystem Protection (mail code CAQ), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-New England, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114-2023. Copies of the State submittal and EPA's technical support document are available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-New England, One Congress Street, 11th floor, Boston, MA and Division of Air Quality Control, Department of Environmental Protection, One Winter Street, 8th Floor, Boston, MA 02108.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Hagerty, (617) 918-1049.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 27, 2000.

**Mindy S. Lubber,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA-New England.*

[FR Doc. 00-29219 Filed 11-15-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 81**

[Docket WA-00-01; FRL-6902-6]

**Clean Air Act Reclassification; Wallula, Washington Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) Nonattainment Area**

**AGENCY:** EPA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to determine that the Wallula nonattainment area has not attained the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than or equal to 10 microns (PM<sub>10</sub>) by the attainment date of December 31, 1997, as required by the Clean Air Act. EPA's proposed finding is based on EPA's review of monitored air quality data reported for the years 1995 through 1999. If EPA takes final action on this proposal, the Wallula PM<sub>10</sub>