

of § 194.22(c)(4) (condition 3 of appendix A to 40 CFR part 194). EPA's approval process for waste generator sites is described in § 194.8. As part of our decision-making process, the DOE is required to submit to EPA appropriate documentation of quality assurance and waste characterization programs at each DOE waste generator site seeking approval for shipment of TRU radioactive waste to WIPP. In accordance with § 194.8, we will place such documentation in the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, and informational dockets in the State of New Mexico for public review and comment.

DOE has notified EPA that the Savannah River Site is preparing to ship waste to the WIPP. EPA will perform an inspection of the site's technical and quality assurance programs for waste characterization in accordance with Conditions 2 and 3 of the WIPP certification. The inspection is scheduled to take place the weeks of November 6 and November 13, 2000.

EPA has placed two documents pertinent to the inspection in the public docket described in **ADDRESSES**. The documents are entitled: (1) "Savannah River Site WIPP Disposal Program Quality Assurance Project Plan, WSRC-RP-99-01097," (2) "Savannah River Site WIPP Disposal Program Quality Assurance Program Document, WSRC-RP-99-01119," and (3) "Savannah River Site WIPP Disposal Program Waste Certification Plan, WSRC-RP-99-01095" (Item II-A2-28). In accordance with 40 CFR 194.8, as amended by the final certification decision, we are providing the public 30 days to comment on these documents.

If we determine as a result of the inspection that the proposed processes and programs at the Savannah River Site adequately control the characterization of transuranic waste, we will notify DOE by letter and place the letter in the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, as well as in the informational docket locations in New Mexico. A letter of approval will allow DOE to ship transuranic waste from the Savannah River Site to the WIPP. We will not make a determination of compliance prior to the inspection or before the 30-day comment period has closed.

Information on the certification decision is filed in the official EPA Air Docket, Docket No. A-93-02 and is available for review in Washington, DC, and at three EPA WIPP informational docket locations in New Mexico. The dockets in New Mexico contain only major items from the official Air Docket in Washington, DC, plus those documents added to the official Air

Docket since the October 1992 enactment of the WIPP LWA.

Dated: October 30, 2000.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 00-28957 Filed 11-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6900-3]

Proposed Settlement Agreements on Regulations Under Section 126 of the Clean Air Act Reducing Regional Transport of Ozone

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA hereby gives notice of three proposed Settlement Agreements regarding certain issues in the case entitled *Appalachian Power v. EPA*, Nos. 99-1200, *et al.* (D.C. Cir.). Specifically, the Settlement Agreements address issues in the following cases, consolidated with *Appalachian Power v. EPA; Weyerhaeuser Co. v. EPA*, No. 00-1126; *West Virginia Manufacturers Ass'n, et al. v. EPA*, Nos. 99-1246 & 00-1125; *West Virginia Chamber of Commerce et al. v. EPA*, Nos. 99-1205 & 00-1024; *Birchwood Power Partners, et al. v. EPA*, No. 00-1116; *Southern Energy Gen. L.L.C. v. EPA*, No. 00-1117. EPA issues this notice in accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (the "ACT"), which requires EPA to give notice and provide an opportunity for public comment on proposed settlement agreements.

The litigation concerns EPA's promulgation of two final rules under section 126 of the Act (collectively the "section 126 rule") pertaining to control of interstate transport of ozone. See 64 FR 28250 (May 25, 1999); 65 FR 2674 (January 18, 2000). Under the section 126 rule, certain upwind stationary sources of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions in twelve states and the District of Columbia must hold NO_x emission allowances equivalent to the quantity of their NO_x emissions. The section 126 rule allocates quantities of NO_x allowances to each covered source. Various parties have filed petitions for review of the section 126 rule under section 307(b)(1) of the Act. Several of these parties have raised claims that EPA incorrectly allocated NO_x allowances to certain units under the section 126 rule and that the parties did not have the opportunity to comment on

the incorrect allocations during the rulemaking process. The proposed Settlement Agreements provide that EPA will propose a rule to modify the allowance allocations for certain units and that the parties will dismiss these claims if EPA issues a final rule consistent with the proposed rule.

Persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to this litigation may submit written comments on the proposed settlement agreement to EPA. EPA will accept such comments for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. EPA or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed Settlement Agreement if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicated that the agreement is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice makes such a determination following the comment period, EPA will take the actions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

A copy of the proposed Settlement Agreement is available from Phyllis Davis, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 564-5566. Written comments should be sent to Alexandra Teitz, Esq., at the above address and must be submitted on or before December 13, 2000.

Dated: October 31, 2000.

Anna Wolgast,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-28958 Filed 11-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6900-1]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability for comment of the administrative record file for nine TMDLs prepared by EPA Region 6 for waters listed in Louisiana's Mermentau and Vermilion/Teche river basins, under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). EPA prepared these TMDLs in response to a Court Order dated October 1, 1999, in the lawsuit *Sierra*

Club, et al. v. Clifford et al., No. 96–0527, (E.D. La. Oct. 1, 1999). Under this court order, EPA is required to prepare TMDLs when needed for waters on the Louisiana 1998 section 303(d) list by December 31, 2007.

DATES: Comments on the nine TMDLs must be submitted in writing to EPA on or before December 13, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the nine TMDLs should be sent to Ellen Caldwell, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202–2733. For further information, contact Ellen Caldwell at

(214) 665–7513. The administrative record file for these TMDLs is available for public inspection at this address as well. Copies of the TMDLs and their respective calculations may be viewed at www.epa.gov/region6/water/tmdl.htm, or obtained by calling or writing Ms. Caldwell at the above address. Please contact Ms. Caldwell to schedule an inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Caldwell at (214) 665–7513.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1996, two Louisiana environmental groups, the Sierra Club and Louisiana Environmental Action Network (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal

Court against the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), styled *Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford et al.*, No. 96–0527, (E.D. La. Oct. 1, 1999). Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to establish Louisiana TMDLs in a timely manner. Discussion of the court’s order may be found at 65 FR 54032 (September 6, 2000).

EPA Seeks Comments on Nine TMDLs

By this notice EPA is seeking comment on the following nine TMDLs for waters located within the Mermentau and Vermilion/Teche basins:

Subsegment	Waterbody name	Pollutant
050703	White Lake	Total Dissolved Solids.
050703	White Lake	Chloride.
060801	Vermilion River—Headwaters To Bayou Fusilier Bourbeaux Junction to New Flanders	Sulfate.
060802	Vermilion River—From New Flanders to Intracoastal Waterway	Sulfate.
060205	Bayou Teche—Headwaters at Bayou Courtableau to I–10	Sulfate.
060205	Bayou Teche—Headwaters at Bayou Courtableau to I–10	Chloride.
060101	Bayou Cocodrie	Total Dissolved Solids.
060206	Indian Creek Reservoir	Temperature.
060102	Bayou Cocodrie	Total Dissolved Solids.

EPA requests that the public provide to EPA any water quality related data and information that may be relevant to the calculations for these TMDLs, or any other comments relevant to these TMDLs. EPA will review all data and information submitted during the public comment period and revise the nine TMDLs where appropriate. EPA will then forward the TMDLs to the Court and the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ). LDEQ will incorporate the TMDLs into its current water quality management plan.

Dated: October 20, 2000.

Jayne Fontenot,

Acting Director, Water Quality Protection Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 00–28956 Filed 11–9–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

November 1, 2000.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the

following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before January 12, 2001. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1 A–804, 445

Twelfth Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB Approval No.: 3060–0492.

Title: Section 74.992 Access to channels licensed to wireless cable entities.

Form No.: FCC 330.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 10.

Estimated Hours Per Response: 3.5 hours (These hours include the contracting hour cost (2 hours) to the respondents and the respondents hour burden (1.5 hours)).

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Cost to Respondents: \$4,000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 15 hours.

Needs and Uses: Section 74.992 requires that requests by ITFS entities for access to wireless cable facilities licensed on ITFS frequencies be made by filing FCC Form 330 (3060–0062), Sections I (Identity of applicant, requested facilities), II (legal qualifications of applicant), III (Financial qualifications of applicant)