August 25, 1997 (62 FR 45116 and 62 FR 45124) which apply to MWC with capacities greater than 250 tons per day, nor are they covered under the NSPS and EG for MWC proposed on August 30, 1999 (64 FR 47275 and 64 FR 47233) which apply to MWC with capacities greater than 35 tons per day, but less than or equal to 250 tons per day.

Residential Incinerators are those burning municipal solid waste located at single and multi-family dwellings, hotels and motels.

Agricultural Waste Incinerators are those burning agricultural waste.

Wood Waste Incinerators are those burning wood waste which are not covered by the proposed NSPS and EG for CISWI or the promulgated or proposed NSPS and EG for MWC. There are likely to be very few of those incinerators since the NSPS and EG for CISWI, as well as those for MWC, cover most incinerators burning wood waste.

Construction and Demolition Waste Incinerators are those burning construction and demolition waste.

Crematories and Pathological Incinerators are those burning human or animal tissue or cremating human or animal remains.

Petroleum-Contaminated Soil Treatment Facilities are those burning petroleum-contaminated soil. Sections 104 and 127 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act exclude petroleum from the definition of hazardous wastes; therefore, those incineration units are not regulated as hazardous waste treatment facilities.

Due to the limited information available to date, we cannot state with certainty that the OSWI category covers only those incinerators. As additional information is collected and assessed, we may add or delete incinerators within the OSWI category.

III. Schedule

As mentioned previously, we initially adopted a schedule of November 15, 2000 for promulgating NSPS and EG for OSWI. However, after collecting and assessing a limited amount of information on the various types of OSWI, we believe there may be substantial differences among those incinerators which may merit further subcategorization of OSWI for purposes of regulation. As a result, we have concluded that we need to collect additional information in order to determine the most logical and reasonable approach for developing NSPS and EG for OSWI. Consequently, we are adopting a revised schedule of November 15, 2005 for promulgation of NSPS and EG for the OSWI to allow sufficient time for the collection and analysis of additional information.

Dated: November 3, 2000.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 00–28807 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6612–6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D–COE–H36110–NE Rating EO2, Western Sarpy/Clear Creek Flood Reduction Study Including Environmental Restoration Component, Lower Platte River and Tributaries, Saunders and Sarpy Counties, NE.

Summary: EPA raised objections, noting the potential for significant adverse impacts to natural resources, endangered species, and flood plain values. EPA encouraged the Corps to examine non-structural alternatives to lessen impacts.

ERP No. D–FHW–E40783–SC Rating EC2, Dave Lyle Boulevard Extension, New Location from the S.C. Route 161/Dave Lyle Boulevard Intersection in York County to S.C. Route 75, in the vicinity of the U.S. Route 521/S.C., York County Metropolitan Road Corridor Project, Funding, York and Lancaster Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding potential project impacts related to surface water, wetlands, and threatened and endangered species.

ERP No. D–FHW–H40170–MO Rating LO, U.S. Route 50 East-Central Corridor Study, Highway Improvements from Route 50 to Route 63 east of Jefferson City, Major Transportation Investment Analysis, Osage, Gasconade, and Franklin Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the project as proposed.

ERP No. D–TVA–E39053–TN Rating EO2, Future Water Supply Needs in the Upper Duck River Basin, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Bedford, Marshall, Maury and Williamson Counties, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed objections since EPA does not believe additional source water is needed immediately, especially if conservation measures are implemented during droughts. If selection of a water supply alternative is locally preferred, we recommend implementation of Alternative C over Alternative E if pipeline impacts are minimal or a modification of Alternative E, if feasible, by approximately 2025.

Final EISs

ERP No. F–FHW–F40383–WI WI–113 Wisconsin River Crossing at Merrimac, Improvements, US Coast Guard and COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Columbia and Sauk Counties, WI.

Summary: EPA has no objections with the preferred alternative.

ERP No. F–FHW–F40387–OH Lancaster Bypass (FAI–US 22/US 33–9.59/9.95) Construction, Funding, Greenfield, Hocking, Berne and Pleasant Townships, Fairfield County, OH.

Summary: EPA's previous concerns have been addressed; EPA does not object to project implementation.

Dated: November 6, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–28839 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6612–5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed October 30, 2000 through November 3, 2000 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000374, FINAL EIS, FHW, CA, US–7 Expressway Project, Construction between CA–98 to Interstate 8, Improve Access to the new Calexico East Port of Entry, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Imperial County, CA, Due: December 4, 2000, Contact: Jeffery S. Lewis (916) 498–5035. This Notice of Availability should have appeared in the 11/3/2000 FR. The Official Wait Period

began on 11/3/2000 and ends on 12/4/2000.

EIS No. 000375, DRAFT EIS, NPS, WA, Mount Rainier National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Pierce and Lewis Counties, WA, Due: February 9, 2001, Contact: Eric Walkinshaw (360) 589–2211.

EIS No. 000376, FINAL EIS, AFS, ID, Goose Creek Watershed Project, Harvesting Timber and Improve Watershed, Payette National Forest, New Meadows Ranger District, Adams County, ID, Due: December 26, 2000, Contact: Kimberly Brandel (208) 347–0300.

EIS No. 000377, FINAL EIS, COE, MO, Chesterfield Valley Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Chesterfield, St. Louis County, MO, Due: December 11, 2000, Contact: Deborah Foley (314) 331–8485.

EIS No. 000378, DRAFT EIS, FHW, VA, I–73 Location Study, Between Roanoke and the North Carolina State Line Commonwealth of Virginia, Construction and Operation, Bedford, Botetourt, Franklin, Henry and Roanoke Counties, Cities of Roanoke and Martinsville, VA, Due: January 5, 2001, Contact: J. Bruce Turner (804) 775–3320.

EIS No. 000379, FINAL EIS, COE, WA, Programmatic Green/Duwamish River Basin Restoration Program, Capitol Improvement Type Program and Ecological Health, King County, WA, Due: December 11, 2000, Contact: Patrick Cagney (206) 764–6577.

EIS No. 000380, DRAFT EIS, NRC, GA, Generic EIS—Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 and 2, License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Supplement 4 to NUREG–1437, Altamaha River, Appling County, GA, Due: January 24, 2001, Contact: Andrew J. Kugler (301) 415–2828.

Dated: November 6, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00–28840 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6899–3]

Notice of Public Comment Period for the Draft Report to Congress II From the National Environmental Education Advisory Council

Notice is hereby given that the National Environmental Education Advisory Council, established under Section 9 if the National Environmental Education Act of 1990 (the Act), is providing the public with an opportunity to provide written comments on the draft Report to Congress II. The purpose of this Report is to provide Congress with an assessment of the status of environmental education and to report effects of the Act. The draft Report to Congress II can be found on the Office of Environmental Education web site (*www.epa.gov/enviroed*) until December 31, 2000. Paper copy is available by request only.

Members of the public are invited to submit written comments to Ginger Keho, Office of Environmental Education (1704A), Office of Communications, Education and Media Relations, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460 or by e-mail at *keho.ginger@epa.gov.* Written comments will be accepted until December 31, 2000.

Dated: November 1, 2000.

Ginger Keho,

Designated Federal Official, Office of Environmental Education.

[FR Doc. 00–28809 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–6898–5]

Notice of Proposed De Minimis Administrative Order on Consent Pursuant to Section 122(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), PCB Treatment Inc., Superfund Site, Kansas City, KS, and Kansas City, MO, Docket No. CERCLA 7–2000–0030

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed De Minimis Administrative Order on Consent, PCB Treatment, Inc., 2100 Wyandotte Street, Kansas City, Missouri; and 45 Ewing Street, Kansas City, Kansas.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a proposed administrative order on consent regarding the PCB Treatment Inc. Superfund Site was signed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on September 29, 2000 and approved by the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) on October 2, 2000.

DATES: EPA will receive comments on or before December 15, 2000, related to the proposed agreement and covenant not to sue.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Audrey Asher, Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, and should refer to the PCB Treatment Inc. Superfund Site Administrative Order on Consent, EPA Docket No. CERCLA 7–2000–0030.

The proposed agreement may be examined or obtained in person or by mail at the office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551–7255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed agreement concerns the PCB Treatment Inc. Superfund Site (''Site''), located at 2100 Wyandotte Street, Kansas City, Missouri and 45 Ewing Street, Kansas City, Kansas. The Site was the location of treatment and storage facilities for materials and equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls (''PCBs''). PCBs have been found in the concrete of the Ewing building at levels exceeding 15,000 micrograms per kilogram (mg/kg), and in the concrete of the Wyandotte building at levels exceeding 19,700 mg/kg.

As of July 31, 2000, EPA had incurred costs in excess of $1.3 million exclusive of interest. Each of the proposed settlors arranged with PCB Treatment Inc. for disposal of transformers, capacitors, oil, materials or equipment contaminated with PCBs.

EPA has determined that any party who arranged for disposal of transformers, capacitors, oil, materials, or equipment contaminated with PCBs weighting between 630 and 2,760 pounds (in allocated weight) contributed a de minimis volume of waste to the Site and that such wastes are not more toxic than any other hazardous substance at the Site.

Each settlor will pay a share of costs based on its volumetric share of capacitor weight compared to all capacitor weight with an additional premium of 100%.

Through this settlement EPA will recover over $66,000, and will seek remaining costs from other potentially responsible parties at the Site.

Dated: October 19, 2000.

Dennis Grams,

Regional Administrator, Region VII.

[FR Doc. 00–28712 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M