

“Section 1.679–6 Outbound Migrations of Domestic Trusts”, last paragraph in the column, last line, the language “November 6, 2000” is corrected to read “August 7, 2000”.

Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Office of Special Counsel (Modernization and Strategic Planning).

[FR Doc. 00–28424 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD107–3059, VA122 & 123–5054; FRL–6899–2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland and Virginia; Metropolitan Washington, D.C. Ozone Nonattainment Area; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of the comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the comment period for a series of proposed rulemaking actions published on October 19, 2000. On this date, EPA proposed action on the following Maryland and Virginia provisions as revisions to their respective State Implementation Plans (SIP’s): Maryland—New Source Review (65 FR 62675); and Virginia—Source-Specific Permits to Reduce NO_x Emissions in the Metropolitan Washington, D.C. Ozone Nonattainment Area (65 FR 62666).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Makeba Morris, Chief, Permits and Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP11, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

1. Maryland—New Source Review: Perry R. Pandya, U.S. EPA Region III, (215) 814–2167.

2. Virginia—Source-Specific Permits to Reduce NO_x emissions in the Metropolitan Washington, D.C. Ozone Nonattainment Area: Michael Ioff, U.S. EPA Region III, (215) 814–2166.

Dated: October 31, 2000.

Bradley M. Campbell,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 00–28705 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[DC048–2022, MD096–3053, MD104–3057, MD106–3058, MD058–3036 & VA083–5038; FRL–6899–1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia; Metropolitan Washington D.C. Ozone Nonattainment Area; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of the comment period.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the comment period for a series of proposed rulemaking actions published on October 19, 2000. On this date, EPA proposed action on the following District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia provisions as revisions to their respective State Implementation Plans (SIP’s): District of Columbia—Nitrogen Oxides Budget Program (65 FR 62679); Maryland—Nitrogen Oxides Budget Program (65 FR 62677), Nitrogen Oxides Reduction and Trading Program (65 FR 62671), and Reasonably Available Control Technology for Oxides of Nitrogen (65 FR 62668); and Maryland and Virginia—Post-1996 Rate of Progress Plan for the Metropolitan Washington, D.C. Ozone Nonattainment Area (65 FR 62658).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone and Mobile Sources Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

1. District of Columbia—Nitrogen Oxides Budget Program; Maryland—Nitrogen Oxides Budget Program, and Maryland—Nitrogen Oxides Reduction and Trading Program: Cristina Fernandez, U.S. EPA Region III, (215) 814–2178.

2. Maryland—Reasonably Available Control Technology for Oxides of Nitrogen: Kelly Bunker, U.S. EPA Region III, (215) 814–2177.

3. Maryland and Virginia—Post-1996 Rate of Progress Plan for the Metropolitan Washington, D.C. Ozone Nonattainment Area: Janice Lewis, U.S. EPA Region III, (215) 814–2185, or Christopher Cripps at (215) 814–2179.

Dated: October 31, 2000.

Bradley M. Campbell,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 00–28706 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[FRL–6899–4]

Supplemental Information To Support Proposed Approvals of One-Hour Ozone Attainment Demonstrations for Serious Ozone Nonattainment Areas; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability and extension of comment period; correction.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 2000 (65 FR 65818), EPA published a notice of availability and reopening of comment period to provide the public with the opportunity to comment on a reasonably available control measures (RACM) analysis that EPA performed. In that document EPA stated that the comment period would be extended 15 additional days, but in the Dates Section there was a typographical error that stated that the comment period would close on November 15, 2001. The EPA’s original intent was to extend the comment period 15 additional days, therefore the comment period is only being extended to November 15, 2000.

Dated: November 3, 2000.

Henry C. Thomas,

Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

DATES: The comment period closes on November 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Silvasi at (919) 541–5666.

[FR Doc. 00–28810 Filed 11–8–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300

[FRL–6898–1]

National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, National Priorities List

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed direct final deletion of the Ilada Energy Company Superfund

Site (Site) from the National Priorities List (NPL).

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to delete the Ilada Energy Company Superfund site (Site) from the NPL and requests public comment on this action. The NPL constitutes appendix B to 40 CFR part 300 of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) as amended. EPA has determined that the Site currently poses no significant threat to public health or the environment, as defined by CERCLA, and therefore, further remedial measures under CERCLA are not appropriate. We are publishing this proposed rule without prior notification because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no dissenting comments. A detailed rationale for this proposal is set forth in the direct final rule, published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**. If no dissenting comments are received, the deletion will become effective. If EPA receives dissenting comments, the direct final action will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments concerning this Action must be received by December 11, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Gladys Beard, Associate Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (SR-6J), 77 W. Jackson, Chicago, IL 60604.

Comprehensive information on this Site is available through the public docket which is available for viewing at the Site Information Repositories at the following locations: U.S. EPA Region 5, Administrative Records, 77 W. Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604 (312) 886-0900, the Cape Girardeau Public Library, 711 N. Clark, Cape Girardeau, MO 63701 and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, 1021 North Grand Ave. East, Springfield, Illinois 62794.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jon Peterson, Remedial Project Manager at (312) 353-1264 or Gladys Beard Associate Remedial Project Manager, at (312) 886-7253. Written correspondence can be directed to Ms. Beard at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (SR-6J) 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, IL 60604.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final Action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 9601-9657; 33 U.S.C. 1321 (c) (2); E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp.; p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp.; p. 193.

Dated: October 27, 2000.

Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region V.

[FR Doc. 00-28515 Filed 11-8-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 36

[CC Docket No. 80-286; DA 00-2433]

Comment Sought on Recommended Decision Issued by Federal-State Joint Board on Jurisdictional Separations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rules; solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: This document seeks comment on the Federal-State Joint Board on Jurisdictional Separations' Recommended Decision for instituting an interim freeze of the relationships and jurisdictional allocation factors.

DATES: Submit comments on or before November 24, 2000, and reply comments on or before December 4, 2000.

ADDRESSES: See Supplementary Information section for where and how to file comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Cox, (202) 418-7400; TTY: (202) 418-0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC, 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc. (ITS), 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, telephone 202-857-3800, facsimile 202-857-3805.

On July 21, 2000, the Federal-State Joint Board on Jurisdictional Separations (Joint Board) released a *Recommended Decision* for instituting an interim freeze of the part 36 category relationships and jurisdictional allocation factors. On August 15, 2000, we released a public notice, similar to

this *Public Notice*, that sought comment on the *Recommended Decision*. The *Aug. 15 Public Notice* inadvertently was not published in the **Federal Register**. In order to provide adequate notice to interested parties, we now release this *Public Notice* seeking comment on the *Recommended Decision*. Parties who have already filed comments in response to the *Aug. 15 Public Notice* need not refile their comments.

The Joint Board recommended that the Commission institute a five-year freeze of all part 36 category relationships and allocation factors for price cap carriers, and a freeze of the allocation factors for rate-of-return carriers. The Joint Board recommended that the Commission adopt a freeze based on carriers' data from the twelve months prior to the Commission's issuance of an order on the *Recommended Decision*. The Joint Board further recommended that the freeze should be mandatory and apply to all carriers subject to the Commission's part 36 rules. The Joint Board recommended that the freeze remain in effect for five years, or until the Commission takes further action pursuant to a recommendation from the Joint Board, whichever occurs first. During the interim freeze period, the Joint Board recommended that the Joint Board and the Commission continue to review issues regarding separations reform, as specified in the *Recommended Decision*. We seek comment on the Joint Board's recommendations.

The Joint Board also recommended that the Commission further develop the record in this proceeding on the impact of increased intrastate usage on jurisdictional allocations and consumers since 1995. Accordingly, we seek comment on the impact of increased intrastate usage since 1995 on jurisdictional allocations and consumers. The Joint Board noted that one possible explanation for the increase in intrastate usage is the growth in the use of the local network to connect to the Internet since 1995. As a result, we seek comment on the impact of Internet traffic growth on jurisdictional allocations since 1995. We request that companies provide specific information on Internet usage minutes, including the percentage of local minutes and total minutes that represent Internet traffic. This usage information should include data from Internet service providers both affiliated and non-affiliated with incumbent local exchange carriers. If estimates are used in determining these statistics, we request that commenters provide detailed explanation and justification