This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Parts 905 and 944

[Docket No. FV00–905–2 FR]

Oranges, Grapefruit, Tangerines, and Tangels Grown in Florida and Imported Grapefruit; Relaxation of the Minimum Size Requirements for Red Seedless Grapefruit

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule relaxes the minimum size requirements for red seedless grapefruit grown in Florida and for red seedless grapefruit imported into the United States from size 48 (3¾ inches diameter) to size 56 (3½ inches diameter). The Citrus Administrative Committee (Committee), the agency that locally administers the marketing order for oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangolos grown in Florida, recommended this change for Florida red seedless grapefruit. The change in the import regulation is required under section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937. This change allows handlers and importers to ship size 56 red seedless grapefruit, and is expected to maximize grapefruit shipments to fresh market channels.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Jamieson, Marketing Specialist, Southeast Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 2276, Winter Haven, Florida 33883; telephone: (863) 299–4770, Fax: (863) 299–5169; or George Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456; telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–5698.

Small businesses may request information on complying with this regulation by contacting Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, P.O. Box 96456, room 2525–S, Washington, DC 20090–6456; telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–5698, or E-mail: Jay.Guerber@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule is issued under Marketing Agreement No. 84 and Marketing Order No. 905, both as amended (7 CFR part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangolos grown in Florida, hereinafter referred to as the “order.” The marketing agreement and order are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), hereinafter referred to as the “Act.”

This rule also is issued under section 8e of the Act, which provides that whenever certain specified commodities, including grapefruit, are regulated under a Federal marketing order, imports of these commodities into the United States are prohibited unless they meet the same or comparable grade, size, quality, or maturity requirements as those in effect for the domestically produced commodities.

The Department of Agriculture (Department) is issuing this rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have retroactive effect. This rule will not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary’s ruling on the petition, provided an action is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

There are no administrative procedures which must be exhausted prior to any judicial challenge to the provisions of import regulations issued under section 8e of the Act.

The order for Florida citrus provides for the establishment of minimum grade and size requirements with the concurrence of the Secretary. The minimum grade and size requirements are designed to provide fresh markets with fruit of acceptable quality and size, thereby maintaining consumer confidence for fresh Florida citrus. This contributes to stable marketing conditions in the interest of growers, handlers, and consumers, and helps increase returns to Florida citrus growers. The current minimum grade standard for red seedless grapefruit is U.S. No. 1. The current minimum size requirement for domestic shipments is size 56 (at least 3½ inches in diameter) through November 12, 2000, and size 48 (3¾ inches in diameter), thereafter.

The current minimum size for export shipments is size 56 throughout the year.

This final rule relaxes the minimum size requirement for domestic shipments from size 48 (3¾ inches in diameter) to size 56 (3½ inches in diameter). Absent this change, the minimum size reverts to size 48 (3¾ inches in diameter) on November 13, 2000. This change allows handlers and importers to continue to ship size 56 red seedless grapefruit, and it is expected to maximize grapefruit shipments to fresh market channels. The Committee met on May 26, 2000, and unanimously recommended this action.

Section 905.52 of the order, in part, authorizes the Committee to recommend minimum grade and size regulations to the Secretary. Section 905.306 (7 CFR part 905.306) specifies minimum grade and size requirements for different varieties of fresh Florida grapefruit. Such requirements for domestic shipments are specified in § 905.306 in Table I of paragraph (a), and for export
shipments in Table II of paragraph (b).
This rule adjusts Table I to establish a minimum size of 56 (3\%\% inches diameter). Minimum grade and size requirements for grapefruit imported into the United States are currently in effect under §944.106 (7 CFR part 944.106). This rule also adjusts §944.106 to establish a minimum size of 56. Export requirements for Florida red seedless grapefruit are not changed by this rule.

In the past, the Committee recommended relaxing the minimum size for red seedless grapefruit to size 56 in one year intervals. Rather than continuing to make this recommendation each year, the Committee recommended relaxing the minimum size for red seedless grapefruit from size 48 (3\%\% inches in diameter) to size 56 (3\%\% inches in diameter) on a continuous basis. In making this recommendation, the Committee recognized that the reasoning behind past recommendations to relax the minimum size to size 56 would most probably continue to exist at least into the foreseeable future.

As in the past, the Committee considered supply and demand in making its recommendation. Since the 1994–95 season, the production of red seedless grapefruit has been somewhere between 28.1 and 31.4 million 1\% bushel boxes each year. Future production is expected to be near or below this range.

The Committee expects fresh market demand to continue to be sufficient to permit the shipment of size 56 red seedless grapefruit. The Committee believes that domestic markets have been developed for size 56 fruit and that the industry should continue to supply those markets. This size relaxation enables Florida grapefruit shippers to continue shipping size 56 red seedless grapefruit to the domestic market. This rule is expected to have a beneficial impact on producers and handlers because it permits Florida grapefruit handlers to make available the sizes of fruit needed to meet consumer needs. Matching the sizes with consumer needs is consistent with current and anticipated demand, and maximizes shipments to fresh market channels.

For the grapefruit industry, it is important to maximize shipments to the fresh market. This is especially true for red seedless grapefruit because the returns for processing are negligible. On-tree returns for processed red seedless grapefruit averaged $1.7 per 1\% bushel box from 1994 through 1999. In many cases, this is below the cost of production. Comparatively, the average on-tree return is $3.32 for fresh shipments during the same period.

For the years 1994 through 1999, fresh domestic shipments of red seedless grapefruit averaged 16.7 million 4\% bushel cartons per season. Of these shipments, approximately 2.9 percent were size 56. The average f.o.b. price for size 56 red seedless grapefruit was $5.22 during the 1998–99 season. Combining this price with the average volume of size 56 calculates an approximate market value of $2.5 million for size 56 red seedless grapefruit.

During the first 11 weeks of the season, beginning with the third week in September, the Committee has been using a volume regulation to limit the volume of small red seedless grapefruit that can enter the fresh market. The Committee has used this regulation for the past three seasons, and has recommended using it again for the current season. The Committee believes the percentage size regulation has been helpful in reducing the negative effects of having size 56 red seedless grapefruit available on the domestic market, and that no other restrictions on size 56 are needed.

Therefore, based on available information, the Committee unanimously recommended that the minimum size for shipping red seedless grapefruit to the domestic market should be size 56. This minimum size change pertains to the domestic market, and does not change the minimum size for export shipments, which will remain at size 56. The largest market for size 56 red seedless grapefruit is for export. Additionally, importers will be favorably affected by this change since the relaxation of the minimum size regulation also applies to imported grapefruit.

Section 8e of the Act provides that when certain domestically produced commodities, including grapefruit, are regulated under a Federal marketing order, imports of that commodity must meet the same or comparable grade, size, quality, and maturity requirements. Since this rule will relax the minimum size requirement under the domestic handling regulations, a corresponding change to the import regulations must also be considered.

Minimum grade and size requirements for grapefruit imported into the United States are currently in effect under §944.106 (7 CFR 944.106). This rule relaxes the minimum size requirement for imported red seedless grapefruit to 3\%\% inches in diameter at size 56. This reflects the relaxation being made under the order for red seedless grapefruit grown in Florida.

Handlers in Florida shipped approximately 33,650,000 4\% bushel cartons of grapefruit to the fresh market during the 1999–2000 season. Of these cartons, about 18,463,000 were exported. In the past three seasons, domestic shipments of Florida grapefruit averaged about 16,172,000 cartons. Imports totaled about 456,470 cartons in 1999. Imports account for less than five percent of domestic grapefruit shipments.

During the period January 1, 1999, through December 31, 1999, imports of grapefruit totaled 19,400,000 pounds (approximately 456,470 cartons). Recent yearly data indicate that imports from May through November are typically negligible. Future imports should not vary significantly from the 19,400,000 pounds figure. The Bahamas were the principal source of imported grapefruit, accounting for 93 percent of the total. Israel, Mexico and Turkey supplied remaining imports. Most imported grapefruit enters the United States from November through May.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this action on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this final regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

There are approximately 75 grapefruit handlers who are subject to regulation under the order, and approximately 11,000 growers of citrus in the regulated area, and about 25 grapefruit importers. Small agricultural service firms, which include grapefruit handlers and importers, are defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.201) as those having annual receipts of less than $5,000,000, and small agricultural producers are defined as those having annual receipts of less than $500,000.

Based on the industry and Committee data for the 1999–2000 season, the average annual f.o.b. price for fresh Florida red seedless grapefruit was around $7.52 per 4\% bushel carton, and total fresh shipments for the 1999–2000 season are estimated at 25.8 million cartons of red seedless grapefruit. Approximately 25 percent of all
This final rule relaxes the minimum size for domestic red seedless grapefruit shipments, a similar change is also applicable to imported grapefruit. Therefore, this rule also relaxes the minimum size for imported red seedless grapefruit to size 56. This regulation benefits importers to the same extent that it benefits Florida grapefruit producers and handlers because it continues to allow shipments of size 56 red seedless grapefruit into U.S. markets.

The Committee considered one alternative to this action. The Committee discussed relaxing the minimum size to size 56 for one year, as in the past, rather than on a continuous basis. Members said that, rather than discussing the issue each year and recommending a change, they preferred to make the change effective on a continuous basis. They also stated that they ever want to increase the minimum size, they could meet and recommend the change to the Secretary. Therefore, the option of relaxing the minimum size for one year was rejected.

This final rule relaxes size requirements under the marketing order for Florida citrus. Accordingly, this action will not impose any additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large red seedless grapefruit handlers and importers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap or conflict with this final rule. However, red seedless grapefruit must meet the requirements as specified in the U.S. Standards for Grades of Florida Grapefruit (7 CFR 51.750 through 51.784) issued under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621 through 1627). Further, no public comments were received concerning the proposal which addressed the initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

In addition, the Committee’s meeting was widely publicized throughout the Florida citrus industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the May 26, 2000, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue.

A proposed rule concerning this action was published in the Federal Register on October 2, 2000 (65 FR 58672). Copies of the rule were mailed or sent via facsimile to all Committee members and red seedless grapefruit handlers. Finally, the rule was made available through the Internet by the Office of the Federal Register. A 15-day comment period ending October 17, 2000, was provided to allow interested persons to respond to the proposal. No comments were received during the comment period in response to the proposal. Accordingly, no changes will be made to the rule as proposed.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Jay Guerber at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

In accordance with section 8e of the Act, the United States Trade Representative has concurred with the issuance of this final rule. After consideration of all relevant matter presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) so handlers and importers can continue to ship size 56 red seedless grapefruit after November 12, 2000. Further, handlers are aware of this relaxation, which was recommended at a public meeting. Also, a 15-day comment period was provided for in the proposed rule and no comments were received.

List of Subjects

7 CFR Part 905

Grapefruit, Marketing agreements, Oranges, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tangelos, Tangerines.

7 CFR Part 944

Avocados, Food grades and standards, Grapefruit, Grapes, Imports, Kiwifruit, Limes, Olives, Oranges.

For the reasons set forth above, 7 CFR part 905 and 944 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 905 continues to read as follows:

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

2. In § 905.306, the table in paragraph (a) is amended by removing both lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variety</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulation period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum grade</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum diameter (inches)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(1)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(2)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(3)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(4)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapefruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seedless, red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 944—FRUITS; IMPORT REGULATIONS

3. In § 944.106, the table in paragraph (a) is amended by removing both lines for the entry for “Seedless, red” and adding in their place the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grapefruit classification</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regulation period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum grade</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum diameter (inches)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(1)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(2)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(3)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(4)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seedless, red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Robert C. Keeney, Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 00–28333 Filed 11–6–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[000 AD–51; Amendment 39–11960; AD 2000–20–51]

AIR WORTHINESS DIRECTIVES; ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY MODEL R22 HELICOPTERS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This document publishes in the Federal Register an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2000–20–51, which was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of Robinson Helicopter Company (RHC) Model R22 helicopters by individual letters. This AD requires checking the yoke half assembly (yoke) for any crack and replacing a cracked yoke assembly before further flight. This AD also requires replacing certain yokes with airworthy yokes before further flight after January 1, 2001. This AD is prompted by the discovery of cracks in the yoke. The actions specified by this AD are intended to detect crack formation and growth, which could result in separation of the yokes from the main rotor drive shaft and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective November 22, 2000, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Emergency AD 2000–20–51, issued on October 4, 2000, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before January 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000–SW–51–AD, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. You may also send comments electronically to the Rules Docket at the following address: 9-asw-adcomments@faa.gov.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 4, 2000, the FAA issued Emergency AD 2000–20–51, for RHC Model R22 helicopters, which requires checking the yoke for any crack and replacing a cracked yoke assembly before further flight. The Emergency AD also requires replacing certain yokes with airworthy yokes before further flight after January 1, 2001. That action was prompted by the discovery of cracks in the yokes. The cracked yokes were still in service and functioned for an unknown duration. Several lots of the yokes were machined from 2024-T3 aluminum billet, which has poor stress corrosion properties in the transverse grain directions. Clamping the yokes in place causes a preload tension in areas that have exposed transverse grain. When these areas are exposed to a corrosive environment, such as salty air, stress corrosion causes crack formation and growth. This condition, if not