

the principal office of the Phlx. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Phlx-00-32 and should be submitted by November 17, 2000. For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Margaret H. McFarland,**  
Deputy Secretary.  
[FR Doc. 00-27648 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Declaration of Disaster #3302]**

**State of Florida**

As a result of the President's major disaster declaration on October 4, 2000, I find that Broward, Collier, Miami-Dade, and Monroe Counties in the State of Florida constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms and flooding beginning on October 3, 2000, and continuing. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on December 3, 2000 and for economic injury until the close of business on July 5, 2001 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations: U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 2 Office, One Baltimore Place, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30308.

In addition, applications for economic injury loans from small businesses located in the contiguous counties of Hendry, Lee, and Palm Beach in the State of Florida may be filed until the specified date at the above location.

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners With Credit Available Elsewhere .....	7.375
Homeowners Without Credit Available Elsewhere .....	3.687
Businesses With Credit Available Elsewhere .....	8.000
Businesses and Non-Profit Organizations Without Credit Available Elsewhere .....	4.000
Others (Including Non-Profit Organizations) With Credit Available Elsewhere .....	6.750
For Economic Injury:	
Businesses and Small Agricultural Cooperatives Without Credit Available Elsewhere .....	4.000

The numbers assigned to this disaster are 330206 for physical damage and 9J3200 for economic injury.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: October 6, 2000.

**Allan I. Hoberman,**  
Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.  
[FR Doc. 00-27635 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Declaration of Disaster #3302]**

**State of Florida; Amendment #1**

In accordance with a notice from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated October 11, 2000, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on October 3, 2000 and continuing through October 11, 2000.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.*, the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is December 3, 2000 and for economic injury the deadline is July 5, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: October 17, 2000.

**Herbert L. Mitchell,**  
Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.  
[FR Doc. 00-27637 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Declaration of Disaster #3290]**

**State of Montana; Amendment #2**

In accordance with a notice received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, dated September 26, 2000, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to establish the incident period for this disaster as beginning on July 13, 2000 and continuing through September 25, 2000.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.*, the deadline for filing applications for physical damage is October 29, 2000 and for economic injury the deadline is May 30, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: October 6, 2000.

**Allan I. Hoberman,**  
Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.  
[FR Doc. 00-27633 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Declaration of Disaster #3299]**

**State of Ohio; Amendment #1**

In accordance with information received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency on October 5, 2000, the above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended to change the deadline for filing applications for physical damages as a result of this disaster from November 25, 2000 to November 27, 2000.

All other information remains the same, *i.e.*, the deadline for filing applications for economic injury is June 26, 2001.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.)

Dated: October 6, 2000.

**Allan I. Hoberman,**  
Acting Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.  
[FR Doc. 00-27634 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**[Declaration of Disaster #3303]**

**State of Wisconsin**

Eau Claire County and the contiguous counties of Buffalo, Chippewa, Clark, Dunn, Jackson, Pepin, and Trempealeau in the State of Wisconsin constitute a disaster area due to damages caused by severe storms and flooding that occurred on September 10-11, 2000. Applications for loans for physical damage as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on December 4, 2000 and for economic injury until the close of business on July 5, 2001 at the address listed below or other locally announced locations: U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 2 Office, One Baltimore Place, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30308.

The interest rates are:

	Percent
For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners With Credit Available Elsewhere .....	7.375
Homeowners Without Credit Available Elsewhere .....	3.687
Businesses With Credit Available Elsewhere .....	8.000
Businesses and Non-Profit Organizations Without Credit Available Elsewhere .....	4.000
Others (Including Non-Profit Organizations) With Credit Available Elsewhere .....	6.750
For Economic Injury:	

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

	Percent
Businesses and Small Agricultural Cooperatives Without Credit Available Elsewhere .....	4.000

The numbers assigned to this disaster are 330306 for physical damage and 9J3300 for economic injury.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Dated: October 5, 2000.

**Charles Payne,**

*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 00-27636 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

### United States—Israel Free Trade Area Implementation Act; Designation of Qualifying Industrial Zones

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Under the United States—Israel Free Trade Area Implementation Act (“the IFTA Act”), products of qualifying industrial zones encompassing portions of Israel and Jordan or Israel and Egypt are eligible to receive duty-free treatment. Effective upon publication of this notice, the United States Trade Representative, pursuant to authority delegated by the President, is designating the Industry and Information Technology Park Development Co. (Jordan Cyber City Co.), and the Aqaba Industrial Estate as qualifying industrial zones under the IFTA Act.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Adam Shub, Director for the Middle East and Mediterranean, (202) 395-9569, Office of USTR, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20508.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to authority granted under section 9 of the United States-Israel Free Trade Area Implementation Act of 1985, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2112 note), the President proclaimed certain tariff treatment for the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, and qualifying industrial zones (Proclamation 6955 of November 13, 1996 (61 FR 58761)). In particular, the President proclaimed modifications to general notes 3 and 8 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States: (a) To provide duty-free treatment to qualifying articles that are the product of the West Bank or Gaza Strip or a qualifying industrial zone and are

entered in accordance with the provisions of section 9 of the IFTA Act; (b) to provide that articles of Israel may be treated as though they were articles directly shipped from Israel for the purposes of the United States—Israel Free Trade Area Agreement (“the Agreement”) even if shipped to the United States from the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, or a qualifying industrial zone, if the articles otherwise meet the requirements of the Agreement; and (c) to provide that the cost or value of materials produced in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, or a qualifying industrial zone may be included in the cost or value of materials produced in Israel under section 1(c)(i) of Annex 3 of the Agreement, and that the direct costs of processing operations performed in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, or a qualifying industrial zone may be included in the direct costs of processing operations performed in Israel under section 1(c)(ii) of Annex 3 of the Agreement.

Section 9(e) of the IFTA Act defines a “qualifying industrial zone” as an area that “(1) encompasses portions of the territory of Israel and Jordan or Israel and Egypt; (2) has been designated by local authorities as an enclave where merchandise may enter without payment of duty or exercise taxes; and (3) has been specified by the President as a qualifying industrial zone.” In Proclamation 6955, the President delegated to the United States Trade Representative the authority to designate qualifying industrial zones.

On March 13, 1998 (63 FR 12572), I designated the Irbid Qualifying Industrial Zone as a qualifying industrial zone under section 9 of the IFTA Act. On March 19, 1999 (64 FR 113623), I designated the Gateway Projects Industrial Zone and the expanded Irbid Qualifying Industrial Zone as qualifying industrial zone under section 9 of the IFTA Act. On October 15, 1999 (64 FR 56015) I designated Al-Kerak Industrial Estate, the Ad-Dulayl Industrial Park, and the Al-Tajamouat Industrial City as qualifying industrial zones under section 9 of the IFTA Act.

In an agreement dated August 6, 2000, the Government of Israel and the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan agreed to the creation of two additional Qualifying Industrial Zones: Industry and Information Technology Park Development Co. (Jordan Cyber City Co.), and the Aqaba Industrial Estate. These zones encompass areas under the customs control of the respective Governments. The Government of Israel and the Government of Jordan further agreed

that merchandise may enter these areas without payment of duty or excise taxes. Accordingly, the Industry and Information Technology Park Development Co. (Jordan Cyber City Co.), and the Aqaba Industrial estate meet the criteria under paragraphs 9(e)(1) and (2) of the IFTA Act.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the President in Proclamation 6955, I hereby designate the Industry and Information Technology Park Development Co. (Jordan Cyber City Co.), and the Aqaba Industrial Estate as qualifying industrial zones under section 9 of the IFTA Act, effective upon the date of publication of this notice, applicable to goods shipped from these Qualifying Industrial Zones after such date.

Dated: October 24, 2000.

**Charlene Barshefsky,**

*United States Trade Representative.*

[FR Doc. 00-27702 Filed 10-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3901-01-M

## OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/D-210]

### WTO Consultations Regarding Belgium—Measures Affecting Imported Rice

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that on October 12, 2000, the United States requested consultations with Belgium under the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO), regarding Belgium’s administration of laws and regulations establishing the customs duties applicable to rice imported from the United States. Since July 1997, Belgian customs authorities have established customs values and duties for rice by using reference prices, resulting in an assessment of duties in amounts that appear to exceed the duty required by Headnote 7 of the Schedule of Specific Commitments of the European Communities and Their Member States LXXX. Belgium’s administration of its tariff regime for rice, moreover, has contributed to substantial uncertainty regarding the rate of duty that will be applicable to shipments of imported rice. The United States considers that Belgium’s measures relating to imported rice appear to contravene Articles I, II, VII, VIII, X and XI of the General Agreement