

under the "Transport FIPs" and "NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call" headings. In addition, the report and key references are in Docket No. A-98-12 (section 110 FIP rulemaking).

Dated: October 19, 2000.

**Robert D. Brenner,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.*

### Appendix A—Key References for Cement Kiln Report

1. Andover Technology Partners. NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction from Cement Kilns Using the CemStar® Process, Evaluation of CemStar® Technology—Final Report to Texas Industries. Dallas, Texas. April 18, 2000.
2. Letter and attachments from M.H. Vaccaro, Pillard Combustion Equipment and Control Systems, to G.J. Hawkins, Portland Cement Association, re: Low NO<sub>x</sub> Rotaflam® burner, dated January 20, 1999.
3. PSM International, "Response to USEPA Comments, 13 September 1995, on the proposed alternative NO<sub>x</sub> RACT for a portland cement manufacturing plant located in Thomaston, Maine and owned by Dragon Products Company," Jan 31, 1996.
4. Battye, R., and S. Edgerton, EC/R Incorporated. "December 2, 1999 Trip Report to Mitsubishi Cement Corporation, Cushenbury Plant." Lucerne Valley, CA. Submitted to Dave Sanders, US EPA, under contract No. 68-D-98-026, work assignment No. 2-28. August 31, 2000.
5. Shumway, D.C. "Tire Derived Fuel at Mitsubishi Cement Corporation." Received during December 2, 1999 visit to Mitsubishi.
6. Shumway, D.C. Mitsubishi Cement Corporation's Cushenbury Plant presented at the IEEE West Coast Cement Industry Conference. Victorville, CA. Oct 1995.
7. Cadence Environmental Energy and Ash Grove Cement. "Mid-Kiln Fuel Entry Benefits," section 3 of the report, Emission, Reduction, Technology: Resource Conservation & Recovery. (no date).
8. Letter from Edgerton, S. and T. Stobert, EC/R Inc., to Bill Neuffer, EPA, Feb 8, 2000. Minutes from Dec 16, 1999 meeting with representatives from EPA and Cadence.
9. May, M. and L. Walters, Jr. "Low NO<sub>x</sub> & Tire-derived Fuel for the Reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> from the Portland Cement Manufacturing Process." Cement Americas, August 1999, pp. 10-1.
10. Letter and attachments from Bramble, Kim, Cadence, to Bill Neuffer, USEPA, re: NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Reducing Technology, dated Feb 14, 2000.
11. Radian Corporation, "MDE Air Permit Test Report for Lehigh Portland Cement Company, Union Bridge, Maryland Facility," January 1996.
12. Lin, M.L., and M.J. Knenlein, Fuel Tech, Inc. Cement Kiln NO<sub>x</sub> Reduction Experience Using the NO<sub>x</sub>OUT® Process. Proceedings of 2000 International Joint Power Generation Conference, Miami Beach, FL., July 23-26, 2000.
13. Biggs, H.O., Plant Manager, Mitsubishi Cement Corporation. Biosolids Injection Technology: An Innovation in Cement Kiln NO<sub>x</sub> Control. (no date). Received during December 1999 trip report.

14. Sun, et.al. Reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from Cement Kiln/ Calciner through the Use of the NO<sub>x</sub>OUT® Process. Presented at the International Specialty Conference on Waste Combustion in Boilers and Industrial Furnaces. Kansas City, MO. April 1994.

15. Interoffice Correspondence from McAnany, L. to Knopfel, H., LaFarge Corporation. October 26, 1998. re: Fuel Tech NO<sub>x</sub>OUT® Testing.

16. Letter with attachments from Bramble, K.J., Cadence Environmental Energy Inc., Michigan City, IN, to W. Neuffer, U.S. EPA, RTP, NC. January 20, 2000. Cost of a mid-kiln firing system.

17. Electronic mail from Joe Truini, Waste News to Lee-Greco, J., EC/R Incorporated, Durham, NC. July 28, 2000. Average tire tipping fees.

18. Telecon. Neuffer, W., US EPA, Durham, NC and Mayes, G., TAI, Dallas, TX. March 24, 2000. Information on the CemStar® Process.

19. Telecons. Lee-Greco, J., EC/R Incorporated, Durham, NC and Mayes, G., TAI, Dallas, TX. July 20 and 28, 2000. Additional information on the costs of installing CemStar®.

20. Electronic mail and telecon. Vaccaro, M., Pillard E.G.C.I., Marseille, France with Lee-Greco, J., EC/R Incorporated, Durham, NC. July 26, 2000. Costs of low-NO<sub>x</sub> burners.

21. Letter and attachments from Bennett, J.H., California Portland Cement, Glendora, CA to Neuffer, W.J., U.S. EPA, RTP, NC. July 2, 1999. Cost of firing system conversion.

22. PSM International, Inc. Available Control Techniques for NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions from the Portland Cement Manufacturing Plant of California Portland Cement Company located in Colton, California. Prepared by PSM International, Inc., Dallas, Texas for California Portland Cement, Glendora, CA. March 6, 1995. Heat input for Colton Plant kilns. p.12.

23. Battye, R., EC/R Incorporated, Chapel Hill, NC. Trip Report to California Portland Cement Company, Colton Plant, Colton, CA, December 2, 1999. Prepared for the U.S. EPA, RTP, NC, under contract No. 68-D-98-026, work assignment No. 2-28. August 16, 2000.

24. Telecon. Lee-Greco, J., EC/R Incorporated, Durham, NC and Knenlein, M.J., Fuel Tech, Inc. August 17, 2000. Additional cost information for NO<sub>x</sub>OUT® process.

25. Letter and attachments from Six, E.B., Spencer Fan Britt & Browne LLP, Kansas City, MO to P. Hamlin, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Urbandale, IA. Lafarge Corporation Draft Construction Permit for Air Emission Source Plant # 82-01-006, project # 96-494. March 10, 1999. Attachment E—SNCR Data Analysis.

[FR Doc. 00-27582 Filed 10-25-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR PART 52

[WI99-01-7330b; FRL-6891-4]

### Approval and Promulgation of Maintenance Plan Revisions; Wisconsin

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a September 8, 2000 request from Wisconsin for a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision of the Walworth County, Wisconsin ozone maintenance plan. The maintenance plan revision allocates a portion of the safety margin to the transportation conformity Mobile Vehicle Emissions Budget (MVEB) for the year 2007. EPA is approving the allocation of 0.5 tons per day of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) to the area's 2007 MVEB for transportation conformity purposes. This allocation will still maintain the total emissions for the area at or below the attainment level required by the transportation conformity regulations. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comments in response to that direct final rule we plan to take no further action in relation to this proposed rule. If we receive significant adverse comments, in writing, which we have not addressed, we will withdraw the direct final rule and address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before November 27, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: Carlton Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch, (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

You may inspect copies of the documents relevant to this action during normal business hours at the following location: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch, (AR-18J),

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604. Please contact Michael G. Leslie at (312) 353-6680 before visiting the Region 5 office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael G. Leslie, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18)), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-6680.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Where can I find more information about this proposal and the corresponding direct final rule?

For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 11, 2000.

**Norman Niedergang,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 00-27400 Filed 10-25-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[MA-24-01-7201c; A-1-FRL-6892-9]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Massachusetts; (Amendment to Massachusetts' SIP [For Ozone and for Carbon Monoxide] for City of Cambridge Vehicle Trip Reduction Program—in the Metropolitan Boston Air Pollution Control District); Extension of Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is extending the comment period for its proposed action to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. This revision establishes, and requires the City of Cambridge to implement and operate, the City of Cambridge Vehicle Trip Reduction Program as a substitute for the commercial parking control measures currently in the SIP.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 18, 2000. Public comments on this document are requested and will be considered before taking final action on this SIP revision.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to David Conroy, Unit Manager, Air Quality Planning, Office of Ecosystem Protection (mail code CAQ), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

EPA—New England, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114-2023. Copies of the State submittal and EPA's technical support document are available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-New England, One Congress Street, 11th floor, Boston, MA and the Bureau of Waste Prevention, Department of Environmental Protection, One Winter Street, 8th floor, Boston, MA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Donald O. Cooke, (617) 918-1668 or e-mail [COOKE.DONALD@EPA.GOV](mailto:COOKE.DONALD@EPA.GOV).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On September 18, 2000 (65 FR 56278-56283), EPA proposed a revision to the Massachusetts State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Ozone and Carbon Monoxide, for a City of Cambridge Vehicle Trip Reduction Program in the Metropolitan Boston Air Pollution Control District. The revision consists of Massachusetts's new state regulation 310 CMR 60.04—"City of Cambridge Vehicle Trip Reduction Program."

The proposal provided a 30 day public comment period that was originally scheduled to end October 18, 2000. In response to a request from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection as well as a request from a representative for the City of Cambridge, EPA is extending the comment period for an additional 60 days.

Dated: October 13, 2000.

**Mindy S. Lubber,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA—New England.*

[FR Doc. 00-27580 Filed 10-25-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[MO 110-1110a; FRL-6889-9]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans State of Missouri**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed action.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri pertaining to the adoption of a statewide visible emissions rule, and the rescission of four areawide visible emissions rules.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule

without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by November 27, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Wayne Kaiser, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Wayne Kaiser at (913) 551-7603.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 6, 2000.

**William Rice,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

[FR Doc. 00-27145 Filed 10-25-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[TX-119-1-7448b; FRL-6886-2]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Water Heaters, Small Boilers, and Process Heaters; Agreed Orders; Major Stationary Sources of Nitrogen Oxides for the Beaumont/Port Arthur Ozone Nonattainment Area**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to take direct final action on revisions to the Texas State Implementation Plan. This rulemaking covers four separate actions. First, we are approving revisions to the Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) SIP to add a rule for water heaters, small boilers, and process heaters sold and installed in Texas (the Texas Water Heater Rule). This rule will contribute to attainment