

[FR Doc. 00-27183 Filed 10-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 305

#### Rule Concerning Disclosures Regarding Energy Consumption and Water Use of Certain Home Appliances and Other Products Required Under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act ("Appliance Labeling Rule")

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Trade Commission ("the Commission") amends its Appliance Labeling Rule ("the Rule") by publishing new ranges of comparability to be used on required labels for refrigerator-freezers with automatic defrost with top-mounted freezers with through-the-door ice service (Appendix A7). The Commission also announces that the current (1998) ranges of comparability for all other categories of refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers (Appendices A1 through A6, Appendix A8, and Appendices B1 through B3 to the Rule), which were published on December 2, 1998 (63 FR 66428), will remain in effect until further notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 22, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Mills, Attorney, Division of Enforcement, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20580 (202-326-3035); <<jmills@ftc.gov>>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Appliance Labeling Rule ("Rule") was issued by the Commission in 1979 (44 FR 66466 (Nov. 19, 1979)) in response to a directive in the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.<sup>1</sup> The rule covers eight categories of major household appliances: Refrigerators and refrigerator-freezers, freezers, dishwashers, clothes washers, water heaters (this category includes storage-type water heaters, instantaneous water heaters, and heat pump water heaters), room air conditioners, furnaces (this category includes boilers), and central air conditioners (this category includes heat pumps). The Rule also covers pool heaters (59 FR 49556 (Sept. 28, 1994)), and contains requirements that pertain to fluorescent lamp ballasts (54 FR 28031 (July 5, 1989)), certain plumbing

products (58 FR 54955 (Oct. 25, 1993)), and certain lighting products (59 FR 25176 (May 13, 1994)).

The Rule requires manufacturers of all covered appliances and pool heaters to disclose specific energy consumption or efficiency information (derived from the DOE test procedures) at the point of sale in the form of an "EnergyGuide" label and in catalogs. It also requires manufacturers of furnaces, central air conditioners, and heat pumps either to provide fact sheets showing additional cost information, or to be listed in an industry directory showing the cost information for their products. The Rule requires that manufacturers include, on labels and fact sheets, an energy consumption or efficiency figure and a "range of comparability." This range shows the highest and lowest energy consumption or efficiencies for all comparable appliance models so consumers can compare the energy consumption or efficiency of other models (perhaps competing brands) similar to the labeled model. The Rule requires that manufacturers also include, on labels for some products, a secondary energy usage disclosure in the form of an estimated annual operating cost based on a specified DOE national average cost for the fuel the appliance uses.

Section 305.8(b) of the Rule requires manufacturers, after filing an initial report, to report annually (by specified dates for each product type<sup>2</sup>) the estimated annual energy consumption or energy efficiency ratings for the appliances derived from tests performed pursuant to the DOE test procedures. Because manufacturers regularly add new models to their lines, improve existing models, and drop others, the data base from which the ranges of comparability are calculated is constantly changing. Under Section 305.10 of the Rule, to keep the required information on labels consistent with these changes, the Commission publishes new ranges (but not more often than annually) if an analysis of the new information indicates that the upper or lower limits of the ranges have changed by 15% or more. Otherwise, the Commission publishes a statement that the prior ranges remain in effect for the next year.

The Commission has analyzed the 2000 submissions of data for refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers, and has determined that the upper and lower limits of the ranges for refrigerator-freezers with automatic defrost with top-mounted freezers with

through-the-door ice service (Appendix A7) have changed significantly. Therefore, the Commission is publishing new ranges of comparability for those products.

The Commission also has determined that the ranges of comparability for all other categories of refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers (Appendices A1 through A6, Appendix A8, and Appendices B1 through B3 to the Rule) have not changed significantly. Therefore, the Commission is announcing that the current (1998) ranges for those products, which were published on December 2, 1998 (63 FR 66428), will remain in effect until further notice.

Today's publication of the new ranges for refrigerator-freezers with automatic defrost with top-mounted freezers with through-the-door ice service also means that, after January 22, 2001, manufacturers of these products must calculate the operating cost figures at the bottom of labels for the products using the 2000 cost for electricity (8.03 cents per kiloWatt-hour). Manufacturers must continue to calculate the operating costs at the bottom of labels for all other refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers using the 1998 cost for electricity (8.42 cents per kiloWatt-hour), which was the cost for electricity that was in effect at the time the current (1998) ranges were published.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act relating to a Regulatory Flexibility Act analysis (5 U.S.C. 603-604) are not applicable to this proceeding because the amendments do not impose any new obligations on entities regulated by the Appliance Labeling Rule. Thus, the amendments will not have a "significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities." 5 U.S.C. 605. The Commission has concluded, therefore, that a regulatory flexibility analysis is not necessary, and certifies, under Section 605 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), that the amendments announced today will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 305

Advertising, Energy conservation, Household appliances, Labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 16 CFR part 305 is amended as follows:

<sup>1</sup> 42 U.S.C. 6294. The statute also requires the Department of Energy ("DOE") to develop test procedures that measure how much energy the appliances use, and to determine the representative average cost a consumer pays for the different types of energy available.

<sup>2</sup> Reports for refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and freezers are due August 1.

**PART 305—RULE CONCERNING DISCLOSURES REGARDING ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND WATER USE OF CERTAIN HOME APPLIANCES AND OTHER PRODUCTS REQUIRED UNDER THE ENERGY POLICY AND CONSERVATION ACT (“APPLIANCE LABELING RULE”)**

1. The authority citation for Part 305 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 6294.

2. Appendix A7 to Part 305 is revised to read as follows:

**Appendix A7 to Part 305—Refrigerator-Freezers With Automatic Defrost With Top-Mounted Freezer With Through-the-Door Ice Service**

**RANGE INFORMATION**

Manufacturer's rated total refrigerated volume in cubic feet	Range of estimated annual energy consumption (KWh/Yr.)	
	Low	High
Less than 10.5 .....	502	511
10.5 to 12.4 .....	544	544
12.5 to 14.4 .....	544	624
14.5 to 16.4 .....	642	642
16.5 to 18.4 .....	(*)	(*)
18.5 to 20.4 .....	(*)	(*)
20.5 to 22.4 .....	680	840
22.5 to 24.4 .....	(*)	(*)
24.5 to 26.4 .....	905	905
26.5 to 28.4 .....	(*)	(*)
28.5 and over .....	(*)	(*)

(\*) No data submitted for units meeting the Department of Energy's Energy Conservation Standards effective January 1, 1993.

By direction of the Commission.

**Benjamin I. Berman,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-27157 Filed 10-20-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750-01-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Office of the Secretary**

**32 CFR Part 199**

[DoD 6010.8-R]

RIN 0720-AA53

**Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS); TRICARE Dental Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DoD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule revises the comprehensive CHAMPUS regulation pertaining to the Expanded Active Duty Dependents Benefit Plan, or more commonly referred to as the TRICARE

Family Member Dental Plan (TFMDP). The TFMDP limited eligibility to eligible dependents of active duty members (under a call or order that does not specify a period of thirty (30) day or less). Concurrent with the timeframe of the publication of the proposed rule, the Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65, sec. 711) was signed into law and its provisions have been incorporated into this final rule. The Act authorized a new plan, titled the TRICARE dental program (TDP), which allows the Secretary of Defense to offer a comprehensive premium based indemnity dental insurance coverage plan to eligible dependents of active duty members (under a call or order that does not specify a period of thirty (30) days or less), eligible dependents of members of the Selected Reserve and Individual Ready Reserve, and eligible members of the Selected Reserve and Individual Ready Reserve. The Act also struck section 1076b (Selected Reserve dental insurance), or Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, since the affected population and the authority for that particular dental insurance plan has been incorporated in 10 U.S.C. 1076a. Consistent with the proposed rule and the provisions of the Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000, the final rule places the responsibility for TDP enrollment and a large portion of the appeals program on the dental plan contractor; allows the dental plan contractor to bill beneficiaries for plan premiums in certain circumstances; reduces the former TFMDP enrollment period from twenty-four (24) to twelve (12) months; excludes Reserve component members ordered to active duty in support of a contingency operation from the mandatory twelve (12) month enrollment; clarifies dental plan requirements for different beneficiary populations; simplifies enrollment types and exceptions; reduces cost-shares for certain enlisted grades; adds anesthesia as a covered benefit; provides clarification on the Department's use of the Congressional waiver for surviving dependents; incorporates legislative authority for calculating the method by which premiums may be raised and allowing premium reductions for certain enlisted grades; and reduces administrative burden by reducing redundant language, referencing language appearing in other CFR sections and removing language more appropriate to the actual contract. These improvements will provide Uniformed Service members and families with numerous quality of life benefits that will improve participation

in the plan, significantly reduce enrollment errors and positively effect utilization of this important dental plan. The proposed rule was titled the “TRICARE Family Member Dental Plan”.

**DATES:** Effective February 1, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Major Brian K. Witt, TRICARE Management Activity, 303-676-3496.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background and Legislative Changes**

The Basic Active Duty Dependents Dental Benefits Plan was implemented on August 1, 1987, allowing Uniformed Service personnel, on active duty for periods of greater than thirty (30) days, to voluntarily enroll their dependents in a basic dental health care plan. Under this plan, DoD shared the cost of the premium with the active duty service member. Although the plan was viewed as a major step in benefit enhancement for Uniformed Service families, there were still complaints that the enabling legislation was too restrictive in scope and that there should be expansion of services to better meet the dental needs of the Uniformed Service family.

Congress responded to these concerns by authorizing the Secretary of Defense to develop and implement an Expanded Active Duty Dependents Dental Benefit Plan [The Defense Authorization Act For Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102-484, sec. 701]. The provisions of this Act specified the expanded benefit structure, as well as maximum monthly premiums for enrollees. Cost-sharing levels for the expanded benefits were left up to the discretion of the Secretary of Defense after consultation with the other Administering Secretaries. The provisions of this Act were implemented on April 1, 1993.

Thereafter, Congress granted legislative authority to allow the Secretary of Defense to expand the dental plan outside the United States and to provide one (1) year of continued dental coverage for enrolled dependents of service members who die while on active duty [The Defense Authorization Act For Fiscal Year 1995, Public Law 103-337, sec. 703]. In addition, the Congress granted subsequent legislative authority to allow the Secretary of Defense to waive or reduce the cost-shares in overseas locations [The Defense Authorization Act For Fiscal Year 1998, Public Law 105-85 sec. 732].

In Fiscal Year 1999, the Congress authorized a methodology by which the enrollee's share of the premium could be increased. This methodology is tied to the lesser of the percent increase in the basic pay of active duty service