

shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from the warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of these administrative reviews, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Yieh Hsing will be zero, in light of its *de minimis* weighted-average margin; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, the cash deposit rate will be 9.70 percent. This rate is the "all others" rate from the amended final determination in the LTFV investigation.

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with sections 351.305 and 351.306 of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: October 5, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-26243 Filed 10-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-838]

Clad Steel Plate From Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to notification by Dana Glacier Daido America LLC that it is not an interested party in this proceeding, we are rescinding the 1999-2000 administrative review of clad steel plate from Japan.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 12, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Nunno or Christopher Priddy, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0783 or (202) 482-1130, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

On July 31, 2000, Dana Glacier Daido America LLC (Dana), requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Japan for subject merchandise produced and exported by Daido Metal Corp. (Daido) during the period January 4, 1999, through June 30, 2000. On August 3, 2000, and August 9, 2000, Dana revised this request to correct and clarify the case name and number for which it requested an administrative review (*i.e.*, Dana intended to request a review of clad steel plate from Japan produced and/or exported by Daido to the United States). On August 31, 2000, Dana informed the Department that the merchandise that it imported is not subject to the antidumping duty order on clad steel plate from Japan, and withdrew its request for an administrative review. On September 6, 2000, the Department published in the

Federal Register a notice of initiation of administrative review with respect to Daido. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part; 65 FR 53980 (Sept. 6, 2000).

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(b)(3), the Department will initiate an administrative review based on a request from an importer of the subject merchandise. Given that Dana withdrew its request for review, notified the Department that it was not an importer of the subject merchandise during the period of review, and that no other party requested a review of this order, we are rescinding this review.

This rescission of the administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d).

Dated: October 2, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-26242 Filed 10-11-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-824]

Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan: Final Results of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of third antidumping duty administrative review and determination not to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: On June 6, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results in the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol from Taiwan and intent not to revoke the order in part. The review covers Chang Chun Petrochemical Co., Ltd., a manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise. The period of review is May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made one change in the margin calculations to correct a ministerial error. However, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results as a result of that change. The final weighted-average