

alternatives prior to their being analyzed in the EIS.

In addition to schedule changes, the first NOI stated an intent to prepare an "amendment" to the 1994 GMPA. Due to the term "amendment" causing public confusion, the Trust is clarifying that the comprehensive plan to be prepared and analyzed through the Supplemental EIS will update the 1994 GMPA for Area B (the area of the Presidio under the Trust's jurisdiction) and be adopted by the Trust Board of Directors as the governing comprehensive plan for Area B. It will retain many elements of the 1994 GMPA, but will update others that have been affected by changes since the GMPA was finalized. The GMPA will remain the governing plan for Area A of the Presidio under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service (NPS).

PUBLIC MEETING: The Trust will solicit public comments on the conceptual alternatives proposed for analysis in the EIS and on visions for Area B of the Presidio at the third of three public scoping workshops on Wednesday, November 15, 2000 from 6 to 9 p.m. at the Log Cabin (Building 1299), Fort Scott at the Presidio.

ADDRESSES: Written comments concerning the content of the plan and the scope of the Supplemental EIS should be sent by December 8, 2000 to John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Fax: 415-561-5315. E-mail: ptip@presidiotrust.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact: John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Coordinator, The Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Telephone: 415-561-5300.

Reference: 40 CFR 1508.22.

Dated: October 4, 2000.

Karen A. Cook,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-26004 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4R-P

PRESIDIO TRUST

Notice of Receipt of and Availability for Public Comment on an Application for Wireless Telecommunications Facilities Site; The Presidio of San Francisco, California.

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Public notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Presidio Trust's receipt of and

availability for public comment on an application from Bay Area Cellular Telephone Company, Sprint PCS, for colocation at an existing wireless telecommunications facilities site ("the project") in the Presidio of San Francisco. The proposed location of the project is in the parking area located directly below the Doyle Drive overpass in the vicinity of the intersection of Halleck and Vallejo Streets.

The project involves (i) replacing a single existing utility pole (installed by Cellular One) with a taller, slightly broader pole to accommodate three additional antenna panels, and (ii) placing the associated radio equipment within a nearby building. The utility pole will be approximately 65 feet tall, 15 feet taller than the existing Cellular One pole. Power for the project will be provided through underground coaxial cables connected to existing power sources. Connection to telephone lines will be through existing telephone lines.

COMMENTS: Comments on the proposed project must be sent to Devon Danz, Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052, and be received by November 13, 2000. A copy of Sprint PCS's application is available upon request to the Presidio Trust.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Devon Danz, Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Email: ddanz@presidiotrust.gov. Telephone: 415-561-5300.

Dated: October 4, 2000.

Karen A. Cook,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00-26005 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4R-U

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 17f-6; SEC File No. 270-392; OMB Control No. 3235-0447.

Notice is hereby given that, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Rule 17f-6 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 [17 CFR 270.17f-6] permits registered investment companies ("funds") to maintain assets (*i.e.*, margin) with futures commission merchants ("FCMs") in connection with commodity transactions effected on both domestic and foreign exchanges. Before the rule was adopted, funds generally were required to maintain such assets in special accounts with a custodian bank.¹

Rule 17f-6 permits funds to maintain their assets with FCMs that are registered under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA") and that are not affiliated with the fund. The rule requires that a written contract containing the following provisions govern the manner in which the FCM maintains a fund's assets:

- The FCM must comply with the segregation requirements of section 4d(2) of the CEA [7 U.S.C. 6d(2)] and the rules under that statute [17 CFR Chapter I] or, if applicable, the secured amount requirements of rule 30.7 under the CEA [17 CFR 30.7];

- If the FCM places the fund's margin with another entity for clearing purposes the FCM must obtain an acknowledgement from the clearing organization that the fund's assets are held on behalf of the FCM's customers in accordance with provisions under the CEA; and

- Upon request the FCM must furnish records about the fund's assets to the Commission or its staff.

The rule requires a written contract that contains certain provisions to ensure important safeguards and other benefits relating to the custody of fund assets by FCMs. For example, the requirement that FCMs comply with the segregation or secured amount requirements of the CEA and the rules under the statute is designed to protect fund assets held by FCMs. The contract requirement that an FCM obtain an acknowledgement from an entity that clears fund transactions that the fund's assets are held on behalf of the FCM's customers according to CEA provisions seeks to accommodate the legitimate needs of the participants in the commodity settlement process, consistent with the protection of fund assets. Finally, FCMs are required to furnish to the Commission or its staff on request information concerning the fund's assets in order to facilitate Commission inspections of funds.

¹ See Custody of Investment Company Assets With Futures Commission Merchants and Commodity Clearing Organizations, Investment Company Act Release No. 22389 (Dec. 11, 1996) [61 FR 66207 (Dec. 17, 1996)].