SUMMARY: On August 7, 2000, the Postal Service announced an interim rule in the Federal Register (65 FR 48171) providing a 5 percent discount off the regular postage for all Express Mail International Service (EMS) shipments paid by an Express Mail Corporate Account (EMCA). The Postal Service hereby gives notice that it is implementing the interim rule on a permanent basis.


ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Manager, International Products, International Business, U.S. Postal Service, 1735 N Lynn Street, Arlington VA 22209–6026. Copies of all written comments will be available for public inspection between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, in International Business, Second Floor, at that address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angus MacInnes, (703) 292–3601.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Postal Service proposed changes in conditions for certain mailing categories to automatically reduce every payment transaction by 5 percent for all EMS purchased at basic published prices and paid through an EMCA.

An EMCA is an advanced deposit account developed for Express Mail that enables customers to deposit funds with the Postal Service for payment of anticipated future Express Mail mailings. Express Mail Corporate Accounts can be used for domestic and international Express Mail. The discount is available only for Express Mail sent internationally. Federal agencies are eligible for the discount. The discount is deducted from the total postage amount on the mailer’s monthly account, rather than for each piece.

As required under the Postal Reorganization Act, this change results in conditions of mailing that do not apportion the costs of service, so the overall value of the service to its users is fair and reasonable, and not unduly or unreasonably discriminatory or preferential.

The Postal Service received no comments in response to its proposal published in the Federal Register on August 7, 2000 (65 FR 48171). Accordingly, the Postal Service hereby implements the 5 percent discount and amends the International Mail Manual (IMM), which is incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations. See 39 CFR 20.1.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 20
Foreign relations, international postal services.

PART 20—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR Part 20 continues to read as follows:
2. Revise the International Mail Manual as set forth below:

2 Conditions for Mailing

210 Express Mail International Service

* * * * *

212 Postage

212.1 Rates

212.11 Country Rates

  See the Individual Country Listings for countries that offer Express Mail International Service.

212.12 Express Mail Corporate Account Discount Rates

Express Mail International Service (EMS) rates will be reduced by 5 percent for all payments made through an Express Mail Corporate Account (EMCA) or through the federal agency payment system. The discount applies only to the postage portion of EMS rates. It does not apply to pickup service charges (212.24), additional merchandise insurance coverage fees (211.51), or shipments made under an International Customized Mail agreement.

* * * * *

Stanley F. Mires,
Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 00–25981 Filed 10–10–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81
[CA–029–EXTRA; FRL–6872–8]

Clean Air Act Promulgation of Extension of Attainment Date for the San Diego, California Serious Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Direct final rule.
SUMMARY: EPA is extending the attainment date for the San Diego serious ozone nonattainment area from November 15, 1999, to November 15, 2000. This extension is based in part on monitored air quality readings for the 1-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone during 1999. Accordingly, we are updating the table concerning attainment dates for the State of California. In this action, we are approving the State’s request through a “direct final” rulemaking. Elsewhere in this Federal Register, we are proposing approval and soliciting written comment on this action; if adverse written comments are received, we will withdraw the direct final rule and address the comments received in a new final rule; otherwise no further rulemaking will occur on this attainment date extension request.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective December 11, 2000 unless before November 13, 2000 adverse comments are received. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register, and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Please address your comments to the EPA contact below. You may inspect and copy the rulemaking docket for this notice at the following location during normal business hours. We may charge you a reasonable fee for copying parts of the docket. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Air Division, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the SIP materials are also available for inspection at the addresses listed below:
California Air Resources Board, 2020 L Street, Sacramento, CA 92123–1095
San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, 9150 Chesapeake Drive, San Diego, CA 92123–1096

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901. Telephone: (415) 744–1288. E-mail: jesson.david@epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Attainment Date Extension for the San Diego Area

On May 15, 2000, the State of California requested a one-year attainment date extension for the San Diego serious ozone nonattainment area. This area, which consists of San Diego County, is currently designated a serious ozone nonattainment area. The statutory ozone attainment date, as prescribed by section 181(a) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (“the Act”), was November 15, 1999.
CAA Requirements Concerning Designation and Classification

Section 107(d)(4) of the Act required the States and EPA to designate areas as attainment, nonattainment, or unclassifiable for ozone as well as other pollutants for which national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) have been set. Section 181(a)(1) required that ozone nonattainment areas be classified as marginal, moderate, serious, severe, or extreme, depending on their air quality.

In a series of Federal Register documents, we completed this process by designating and classifying all areas of the country for ozone. See, e.g., 56 FR 58694 (Nov. 6, 1991), and 57 FR 56762 (Nov. 30, 1992). San Diego County was originally classified as severe, but was reclassified as serious based upon our determination that the ozone value used in the original classification was incorrect. See 60 FR 3771 (Jan. 19, 1995).

Areas designated nonattainment for ozone are required to meet attainment dates specified under the Act. As noted, the San Diego ozone nonattainment area was reclassified as serious. By this classification, its attainment date became November 15, 1999. A discussion of the attainment dates is found in EPA’s General Preamble for Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. See 57 FR 13498 (April 16, 1992).

CAA Requirements Concerning Meeting the Attainment Date

Section 181(b)(2)(A) requires the Administrator, within six months of the attainment date, to determine whether ozone nonattainment areas attained the NAAQS. For ozone, we determine attainment status on the basis of the expected number of exceedances of the NAAQS over the three-year period up to, and including, the attainment date. See General Preamble, 57 FR 13506. In the case of serious ozone nonattainment areas, the three-year period is 1997–1999.

A review of the actual ambient air quality ozone data from the EPA Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) shows that three air quality monitors located in the San Diego ozone nonattainment area recorded exceedances of the NAAQS for ozone during the three-year period from 1997 to 1999.¹ (See Table 1.) There were 9 exceedances at the Alpine monitor, an average of more than 1.0 over the three-year period, which constitutes a violation of the ozone NAAQS for the San Diego area during this three-year period. Thus, the area did not meet the November 15, 1999 attainment date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Station</th>
<th>Exceedances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chula Vista</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cajon</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanside</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego (Overland)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Mar</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escondido</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego (12th St.)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Pendleton</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otay Mesa</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAA Provisions Authorizing a One-Year Extension of the Attainment Date

CAA section 181(b)(2)(A) states that, for areas classified as marginal, moderate, or serious, if the Administrator determines that the area did not attain the standard by its attainment date, the area must be reclassified upwards. However, CAA section 181(a)(5) provides an exemption from these bump up requirements. Under this exemption, we may grant up to 2 one-year extensions of the attainment date under specified conditions:

Upon application by any State, the Administrator may extend for 1 additional year (hereinafter referred to as the “Extension Year”) the date specified in table 1 of paragraph (1) of this subsection if—

(A) the State has complied with all requirements and commitments pertaining to the area in the applicable implementation plan, and

(B) no more than 1 exceedance of the national ambient air quality standard level for ozone has occurred in the area in the year preceding the Extension Year.

No more than 2 one-year extensions may be issued under this paragraph for a single nonattainment area.

We interpret this provision to authorize the granting of a one-year extension under the following minimum conditions: (1) The State requests a one-year extension; (2) all requirements and commitments in the EPA-approved SIP for the area have been complied with; and (3) the area has no more than one measured exceedance of the NAAQS during the year at any one monitor that includes the attainment date (or the subsequent year, if a second one-year extension is requested).

We have determined that the requirements for a one-year extension of the attainment date have been fulfilled as follows:

(1) California has formally submitted the attainment date extension request, in a letter dated May 15, 2000, from Michael P. Kenny, Executive Officer, California Air Resources Board, to P. Kenny, Executive Officer, California Air Resources Board, to Felicia Marcus, EPA Regional Administrator, Region 9.

(2) California is currently implementing the EPA-approved SIP. The State’s letter, cited above, discusses implementation of State measures in the SIP, and shows that these measures plus new State measures have achieved an overall surplus of emission reductions beyond those assumed in the SIP. The State also attached a letter dated March

¹ AIRS Data Monitor Values Reports are available electronically at http://www.epa.gov/airsdata/monvals.htm
Review.

entitled "Regulatory Planning and

OMB) has exempted this regulatory

A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget

(OMB) has exempted this regulatory

B. Executive Order 13045

Executive Order 13045, entitled

Protection of Children from

Environmental Health Risks and Safety

Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997),

applies to any rule that (1) is
determined to be "economically

significant" as defined under Executive

Order 12866; and (2) concerns an

environmental health or safety risk that

EPA has reason to believe may have a
disproportionate effect on children. If

the regulatory action meets both criteria,

the Agency must evaluate the

environmental health or safety effects of

the planned rule on children, and

explain why the planned regulation is

preferable to other potentially effective

and reasonably feasible alternatives

considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to Executive

Order 13045 because it does not involve
decisions intended to mitigate

environmental health or safety risks.

C. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084,

Consultation and Coordination with

Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may not

issue a regulation that is not

required by statute, that significantly

affects or uniquely affects the

communities of Indian tribal

governments, and that imposes

substantial direct compliance costs on

those communities, unless the Federal
government provides the funds

necessary to pay the direct compliance

costs incurred by the tribal
governments. If the mandate is

unfunded, EPA must provide to the

Office of Management and Budget, in a

separately identifiable section of the

proposed rule, a description of the

extent of EPA's prior consultation

with representatives of affected tribal

governments, a summary of the nature

of their concerns, and a statement

supporting the need to issue the

regulation.

In addition, Executive Order 13084

requires EPA to develop an effective

process permitting elected and other

representatives of Indian tribal
governments "to provide meaningful

and timely input in the development of

regulatory policies on matters that

significantly or uniquely affect their

communities." Today's rule does not

significantly or uniquely affect the

communities of Indian tribal
governments. Accordingly, the

requirements of section 3(b) of

Executive Order 13084 do not apply to

this rule.

D. Executive Order 13132

Executive Order 13132, entitled

Federalism (64 FR 43255, August 10,

1999) revokes and replaces Executive

Orders 12612, Federalism and 12875,

Enhancing the Intergovernmental

Partnership. Executive Order 13132

requires EPA to develop an accountable

process to ensure "meaningful and

timely input by State and local officials

in the development of regulatory

policies that have federalism

implications." "Policies that have

federalism implications" is defined in

the Executive Order to include

regulations that have "substantial direct

effects on the States, on the relationship

between the national government and

the States, or on the distribution of

power and responsibilities among the

various levels of government." Under

Executive Order 13132, EPA may not

issue a regulation that has federalism

implications, that imposes substantial

direct compliance costs, and that is not

required by statute, unless the Federal

government provides the funds

necessary to pay the direct compliance

costs incurred by State and local

governments, or EPA consults with

State and local officials early in the

process of developing the proposed

regulation. EPA also may not issue a

regulation that has federalism

implications and that preempts State

law unless the Agency consults with

State and local officials early in the

process of developing the proposed

regulation.

This rule will not have substantial

direct effects on the States, on the

relationship between the national

government and the States, or on the

distribution of power and

responsibilities among the various

levels of government, as specified in

Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255,

August 10, 1999), because it merely

approves a state request for an

attainment date extension, and does not

alter the relationship or the distribution

of power and responsibilities

established in the Clean Air Act. Thus,

the requirements of section 6 of the

Executive Order do not apply to this

rule.

E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)
generally requires an agency to conduct

a regulatory flexibility analysis of any

rule subject to notice and comment

rulemaking requirements unless the

agency certifies that the rule will not

have a significant economic impact on

a substantial number of small entities.

Small entities include small businesses,

small not-for-profit enterprises, and

small governmental jurisdictions.

Extension of an area's attainment date

under the CAA does not impose any

new requirements on small entities.
Extension of an attainment date is an action that affects a geographical area and does not impose any regulatory requirements on sources. EPA certifies that the approval of the attainment date extension will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

F. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of $100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the promulgated attainment date extension does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of $100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This rule is not a “major” rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12 of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) of 1995 requires Federal agencies to evaluate existing technical standards and to use “voluntary consensus standards” (VCS) if available and applicable when developing programs and policies unless doing so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical.

The EPA believes that VCS are inapplicable to this action. Today's action does not require the public to perform activities conducive to the use of VCS.

I. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 11, 2000. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, National parks, Wilderness areas.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.


Felicia Marcus,
Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 81 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 81—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 81 continues to read as follows:

   Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

2. In § 81.305 the “California—ozone” table is amended by revising the entry for San Diego area to read as follows:

§ 81.305 California.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated area</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Area:</td>
<td>* * * * * *</td>
<td>* * * * * *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego County</td>
<td>11/15/90 Nonattainment</td>
<td>2/21/95 Serious</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This date is November 15, 1990, unless otherwise noted.
2 Attainment date is extended to November 15, 2000.

* * * * * * * *

[FR Doc. 00–25926 Filed 10–10–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P