

and future benefits for the shipping public. The plan must also describe any potential area of service degradation that might result due to operational changes. The plan must encompass:

(a) *Integration of operations.* Based on the operating plan, and using benchmarks for the year immediately preceding the filing date of the application, applicants must describe how the transaction will result in improved service levels and must identify potential instances where service may be degraded. While precise in nature, this description is expected to be a route level review rather than a shipper-by-shipper review. Nonetheless, the plan should be sufficient for individual shippers to evaluate the projected improvements and respond to the potential areas of service degradation for their customary traffic routings. The plan should inform Class II and III railroads and other connecting railroads of the operational changes that may have an impact on their operations, including operations involving major gateways.

(b) *Coordination of freight and passenger operations.* If Amtrak or commuter services are operated over the lines of the applicant carriers, applicants must describe definitively how they will continue to operate these lines to fulfill existing performance agreements for those services. Whether or not the passenger services operated are over lines of the applicants, applicants must establish operating protocols that ensure effective communications with Amtrak and/or regional rail passenger operators in order to minimize any potential transaction-related negative impacts.

(c) *Yard and terminal operations.* The operational fluidity of yards and terminals is key to the successful implementation of a transaction and effective service to shippers. Applicants must describe how the operations of principal classification yards and major terminals will be changed or revised and how these revisions will affect service to customers. As part of this analysis, applicants must furnish dwell time information for one year prior to the transaction for each facility described above, and estimate what the expected dwell time will be after the revised operations are implemented. Also required will be a discussion of on-time performance for the principal yards and terminals in the same terms as required for dwell time.

(d) *Infrastructure improvements.* Applicants must identify potential infrastructure impediments (using volume/capacity line and terminal forecasts), formulate solutions to those

impediments, and develop timeframes for resolution. Applicants must also develop a capital improvement plan (to support the operating plan) for timely funding and completing the improvements critical to transition of operations. They should also describe improvements related to future growth, and indicate the relationship of the improvements to service delivery.

(e) *Information technology systems.* Because the accurate and timely integration of applicants' information systems are vitally important to service delivery, applicants must identify the process to be used for systems integration and training of involved personnel. This must include identification of the principal operations-related systems, operating areas affected, implementation schedules, the realtime operations data used to test the systems, and pre-implementation training requirements needed to achieve completion dates. If such systems will not be integrated and on line prior to implementation of the transaction, applicants must describe the interim systems to be used and how those systems will assure service delivery.

(f) *Customer service.* To achieve and maintain customer confidence in the transaction and to ensure the successful integration and consolidation of existing customer service functions, applicants must identify their plans for the staffing and training of personnel within or supporting the customer service centers. This discussion must include specific information on the planned steps to familiarize customers with any new processes and procedures that they may encounter in using the consolidated systems and/or changes in contact locations or telephone numbers.

(g) *Labor.* Applicants must furnish a plan for reaching necessary labor implementing agreements. Applicants must also provide evidence that sufficient qualified employees to effect implementation will be available at the proper locations prior to the transaction.

(h) *Training.* Applicants must establish a plan to provide necessary training to employees involved with operations, train and engine service, operating rules, dispatching, payroll and timekeeping, field data entry, safety and hazardous material compliance, and contractor support functions (i.e., crew van service), as well as to other employees in functions that will be affected by the transaction.

(i) *Contingency plans for merger-related service disruptions.* In order to address potential disruptions of service that may occur, applicants must establish contingency plans. Those

plans, based upon available resources and traffic flows and density, must identify potential areas of disruption and the risk of occurrence. Applicants must provide evidence that contingency plans are in place to minimize negative service impacts and promptly restore service.

(j) *Timetable.* Applicants must identify all major functional or system changes/consolidations that will occur and the time line for successful completion.

10. A new § 1180.11 is proposed to be added to read as follows:

§ 1180.11 Additional information needs for transnational mergers.

(a) Applicants must explain how cooperation with the Federal Railroad Administration will be maintained without regard to the national origins of merger applicants.

(b) Applicants must assess the likelihood that commercial decisions made by foreign railroads could be based on national or provincial rather than broader economic considerations, and be detrimental to the interests of the United States, and discuss any ownership restrictions imposed on them by foreign governments.

(c) Applicants must discuss and assess the national defense ramifications of the proposed merger.

[FR Doc. 00-25043 Filed 10-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-00-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AG12

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Reopening of Public Comment Period and Notice of Availability of Draft Economic Analysis for Proposed Critical Habitat Determination for the Zapata Bladderpod.

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed Rule; Extension of public comment period and notice of availability of draft economic analysis.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, announce the availability of the draft economic analysis for the proposed designation of critical habitat for the Zapata bladderpod (*Lesquerella thamnophila*).

We also provide notice that the public comment period for the proposal is reopened to allow all interested parties

to submit written comments on the proposal and the draft economic analysis. Comments submitted during the previous comment period need not be resubmitted as they will be incorporated into the public record and will be fully considered in the final determination on the proposal.

DATES: The original comment period closed on September 18, 2000. The comment period is hereby reopened and now closes on November 2, 2000.

Comments from all interested parties must be received by the closing date. Any comments that are received after the closing date may not be considered in the final decision on this proposal.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the draft economic analysis are available on the Internet at <http://ifw2es.fws.gov/library/> or by writing to the Field Supervisor, Ecological Services Field Office, c/o TAMUCC, Box 338, 6300 Ocean Drive, Corpus Christi, Texas 78412, or facsimile 1-361-994-8262. All written comments should be submitted to the Field Supervisor at the above address. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Loretta Pressly, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, at the above address (telephone 1-361-994-9005).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Zapata bladderpod was listed as an endangered species on December 22, 1999. The Zapata bladderpod is a perennial plant that grows

opportunistically; that is, the density of Zapata bladderpod plants and the sizes of populations fluctuate in response to rainfall. They are cryptic plants, which show little vegetative growth during drought conditions, hampering survey efforts for additional populations. All known populations of the Zapata bladderpod occur on graveled to sandy-loam upland terraces above the Rio Grande floodplain in South Texas.

Critical habitat was proposed on July 19, 2000. Of the ten populations of Zapata bladderpod that have been located, only three populations are still known to display live plants. The introduction of non-native species such as pasture grass, overgrazing, urban development, and oil and gas production activities have all contributed to the decline of the plant.

Ten areas of critical habitat are being proposed for the Zapata bladderpod. Seven Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge tracts in Starr County are proposed, as well as one private land site also in Starr County. Two sites along the Texas Department of Transportation's Highway 83 right-of-way in Zapata County are being proposed as critical habitat. Altogether 5,330 acres of land are being proposed for critical habitat.

Section 4(b)(2) of the Act requires that we designate or revise critical habitat based upon the best scientific and commercial data available and after taking into consideration the economic impact, and any other relevant impact, of specifying any particular area as critical habitat. We may exclude an area from critical habitat if we determine that

the benefits of excluding the area outweigh the benefits of including the area as critical habitat, provided such exclusion will not result in the extinction of the species. Consequently, we have prepared a draft economic analysis concerning the proposed critical habitat designation, which is available for review and comment at the above Internet and mailing addresses.

Public Comments Solicited

We solicit comments on the draft economic analysis described in this notice, as well as any other aspect of the proposed designation of critical habitat for the Zapata bladderpod. Our final determination on the proposed critical habitat will take into consideration comments and any additional information received by the date specified above. All previous comments and information submitted during the comment period need not be resubmitted. The comment period is extended to November 2, 2000. Written comments may be submitted to the Field Supervisor at the above address.

Author

The primary author of this notice is Loretta Pressly, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (see **ADDRESSES**).

Authority: The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Renne Lohofener,

Acting Regional Director, Region 2, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 00-25323 Filed 10-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P