

Background

On June 5, 2000, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand (65 FR 35604), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Maui Pineapple Co., Ltd. ("Maui") and the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union (the "Union") (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the applicable deadline (June 16, 1999) specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. producers of a domestic like product.

On July 5, 2000, we received substantive responses on behalf of domestic interested parties and Dole. Dole is an interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(A) of the Act as a foreign producer and exporter of subject merchandise. Domestic interested parties claim that they have participated in every segment of this proceeding, including the original investigation and the four administrative reviews initiated to date, pursuant to section 751(a) of the Act (see July 5, 2000, Substantive Response of domestic interested parties at 3).

On July 10, 2000, we received rebuttal comments on behalf of domestic interested parties in response to Dole's substantive response. On July 14 and July 27, 2000, we accepted additional comments.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is CPF from Thailand. CPF is defined as pineapple processed and/or prepared into various product forms, including rings, pieces, chunks, tidbits, and crushed pineapple, that is packed and cooked in metal cans with either pineapple juice or sugar syrup added. CPF is currently classifiable under subheadings 2008.20.0010 and 2008.20.0090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). HTSUS 2008.20.0010 covers CPF packed in a sugar-based syrup; HTSUS 2008.20.0090 covers CPF packed without added sugar (*i.e.*, juice-packed). Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and

Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 23, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the attached Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn, under the heading "Thailand." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on CPF from Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/exporters	Margin (percent)
Dole	1.73
TIPCO	38.68
SAICO	51.16
Malee	41.74
All Others	24.64

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held on November 15, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than November 8, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than November 13, 2000. The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such briefs, no later than January 27, 2001.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 25, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-25082 Filed 9-28-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-602]

Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Belgium: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 26, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Belgium*, 65 FR 39355 (June 26, 2000) ("Preliminary Results"). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States, Societe Chimique Prayon-Rupel S.A. ("Prayon"). The period of review is August 1, 1998, through July 31, 1999. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results of review but received no comments. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the Preliminary Results of review, in which we found the dumping margin for Prayon to be 0.60 percent.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frank Thomson or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office IV, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4793, and 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

Background

On June 26, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 39355) the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium for the 98–99 review period. We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results* or review. We did not receive any interested party comments on our *Preliminary Results*.

We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act and continue to find the dumping margin for Prayon to be 0.60 percent.

Effective January 1, 2000, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1). *See Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order: Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Belgium; and Revocation Countervailing Duty Order: Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel*, 65 FR 37115 (June 13, 2000). Therefore, we will not issue cash deposit instructions to the U.S. Customs Service (“Customs”) based on the results of this review. We have not received any requests to conduct an administrative review for the August 1999 through December 1999 period, and the deadline for such requests has passed. Since the revocation is currently in effect, current and future imports of industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium shall be entered into the United States without regard to antidumping duties. We will instruct Customs to liquidate imports during the August 1999 through December 1999 period as entered. We have already instructed Customs to liquidate all entries as of January 1, 2000 without regard to antidumping duties. This is the notice of the final results in the final review of this antidumping duty order.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review include shipments of IPA from Belgium. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“HTS”) item numbers 2809.2000 and 4163.0000. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We did not receive any interested party comments on our *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, there is no Issues and Decision Memorandum for the final results of review.

Final Results of Review

We have determined that no changes to our analysis are warranted for purposes of these final results. As a result of our review, we determine that the following margin exists for the period August 1, 1998, through July 31, 1999.

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
Prayon	0.60

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We have calculated an importer-specific duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales to the total entered value of the same sales. The rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries by that particular importer made during the POR. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-25083 Filed 9-28-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Campbell at (202) 482-2239, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement I, Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Background

On July 29, 1999, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People’s Republic of China, covering the period June 1, 1998 to May 31, 1999 (64 FR 41075). On June 29, 2000, we issued the preliminary results of review (65 FR 41944). In our notice of preliminary results, we stated our intention to issue the final results of this review no later than November 4, 2000.

Extension of Final Results of Review

We determine that due to the numerous complex issues raised by parties in this review, it is not practicable to complete the final results