

Background

On June 26, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 39355) the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium for the 98–99 review period. We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results* or review. We did not receive any interested party comments on our *Preliminary Results*.

We have now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act and continue to find the dumping margin for Prayon to be 0.60 percent.

Effective January 1, 2000, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1). See *Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order: Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Belgium; and Revocation Countervailing Duty Order: Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel*, 65 FR 37115 (June 13, 2000). Therefore, we will not issue cash deposit instructions to the U.S. Customs Service (“Customs”) based on the results of this review. We have not received any requests to conduct an administrative review for the August 1999 through December 1999 period, and the deadline for such requests has passed. Since the revocation is currently in effect, current and future imports of industrial phosphoric acid from Belgium shall be entered into the United States without regard to antidumping duties. We will instruct Customs to liquidate imports during the August 1999 through December 1999 period as entered. We have already instructed Customs to liquidate all entries as of January 1, 2000 without regard to antidumping duties. This is the notice of the final results in the final review of this antidumping duty order.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review include shipments of IPA from Belgium. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (“HTS”) item numbers 2809.2000 and 4163.0000. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We did not receive any interested party comments on our *Preliminary Results*. Therefore, there is no Issues and Decision Memorandum for the final results of review.

Final Results of Review

We have determined that no changes to our analysis are warranted for purposes of these final results. As a result of our review, we determine that the following margin exists for the period August 1, 1998, through July 31, 1999.

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
Prayon	0.60

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We have calculated an importer-specific duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer-specific sales to the total entered value of the same sales. The rate will be assessed uniformly on all entries by that particular importer made during the POR. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to Customs.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–25083 Filed 9–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Campbell at (202) 482–2239, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement I, Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Background

On July 29, 1999, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People’s Republic of China, covering the period June 1, 1998 to May 31, 1999 (64 FR 41075). On June 29, 2000, we issued the preliminary results of review (65 FR 41944). In our notice of preliminary results, we stated our intention to issue the final results of this review no later than November 4, 2000.

Extension of Final Results of Review

We determine that due to the numerous complex issues raised by parties in this review, it is not practicable to complete the final results

of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the final results until no later than January 3, 2001.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, Group I.

[FR Doc. 00-25081 Filed 9-28-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Export Trade Certificate of Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Process to Revoke Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 86-00002.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce issued an export trade certificate of review to National Association of Export Companies, Inc. ("NEXCO"). Because this certificate holder has failed to file an annual report as required by law, the Department is initiating proceedings to revoke the certificate. This notice summarizes the notification letter sent to NEXCO.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Morton Schnabel, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or E-mail at oetca@ita.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 ("the Act") (15 U.S.C. 4011-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue export trade certificates of review. The regulations implementing Title III ("the Regulations") are found at 15 CFR part 325. Pursuant to this authority, a certificate of review was issued on July 9, 1986 to NEXCO.

A certificate holder is required by law (Section 308 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 4018) to submit to the Department of Commerce annual reports that update financial and other information relating to business activities covered by its certificate. The annual report is due within 45 days after the anniversary date of the issuance of the certificate of review (Sections 325.14(a) and (b) of the Regulations). Failure to submit a complete annual report may be the basis for revocation. (Sections 325.10(a) and 325.14(c) of the Regulations).

The Department of Commerce sent to NEXCO on June 29, 1999, a letter

containing annual report questions with a reminder that its annual report was due on August 23, 1999. Additional reminders were sent on September 27, 1999, and on December 1, 1999. The Department has received no written response to any of these letters.

On September 25, 2000, and in accordance with Section 325.10 (c)(1) of the Regulations, a letter was sent by certified mail to notify NEXCO that the Department was formally initiating the process to revoke its certificate. The letter stated that this action is being taken because of the certificate holder's failure to file an annual report.

In accordance with Section 325.10(c)(2) of the Regulations, each certificate holder has thirty days from the day after its receipt of the notification letter in which to respond. The certificate holder is deemed to have received this letter as of the date on which this notice is published in the **Federal Register**. For good cause shown, the Department of Commerce can, at its discretion, grant a thirty-day extension for a response.

If the certificate holder decides to respond, it must specifically address the Department's statement in the notification letter that it has failed to file an annual report. It should state in detail why the facts, conduct, or circumstances described in the notification letter are not true, or if they are, why they do not warrant revoking the certificate. If the certificate holder does not respond within the specified period, it will be considered an admission of the statements contained in the notification letter (Section 325.10(c)(2) of the Regulations).

If the answer demonstrates that the material facts are in dispute, the Department of Commerce and the Department of Justice shall, upon request, meet informally with the certificate holder. Either Department may require the certificate holder to provide the documents or information that are necessary to support its contentions (Section 325.10(c)(3) of the Regulations).

The Department shall publish a notice in the **Federal Register** of the revocation or modification or a decision not to revoke or modify (Section 325.10(c)(4) of the Regulations). If there is a determination to revoke a certificate, any person aggrieved by such final decision may appeal to an appropriate U.S. district court within 30 days from the date on which the Department's final determination is published in the **Federal Register** (Sections 325.10(c)(4) and 325.11 of the Regulations).

Dated: September 25, 2000.

Morton Schnabel,

Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 00-25012 Filed 9-28-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 092500E]

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council will convene a public meeting of the Law Enforcement Advisory Panel (LEAP).

DATES: This meeting will be held on October 18, 2000, from 8:30 a.m. to 12 noon.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will be held at the Adam's Mark Clearwater Beach Resort, 430 South Gulfview Boulevard, Clearwater, FL 33767; telephone: 727-443-5714.

Council address: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3018 U.S. Highway 301 North, Suite 1000, Tampa, FL 33619.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Leard, Senior Fishery Biologist, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council; telephone: 813-228-2815.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The LEAP will convene to discuss possible actions to prohibit the sale of recreationally caught fish and to review current state and Federal marine enforcement resources, capabilities, and needs. The LEAP and the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission's (GSMFC) Law Enforcement Committee (LEC), which are made up of mostly the same individuals, have been developing a 5-year "Gulf of Mexico Cooperative Law Enforcement Strategic Plan—2001-06." This document contains a set of goals and objectives that the LEAP/LEC would like to accomplish during this 5-year period. Once finalized, the 5-year strategic plan will be submitted to the GSMFC and the Council. The LEAP will also review Draft Amendment 7 to the Stone Crab Fishery Management Plan (FMP) that includes options for a trap certificate program in state and Federal waters, and Draft Amendment 11 to the Shrimp FMP that includes options for