

life cycle cost is no more than the ceiling specified in the program regulations, \$7,940 in 1992 dollars. Current values of the maximum purchase prices are discussed below.

G. Current Maximum Purchase Price for the CCT Upgrade Kit

Table 6 below shows the maximum purchase price (in 1992 dollars) as

determined above. The current (August 1999) maximum purchase prices are also shown in Table 6, and are calculated using a multiplicative ratio of Consumer Price Indices (CPI). Table 5 below lists the relevant CPIs. The average CPI for 1992 is 140.3, as specified by the program regulation. The August 1999 CPI, for all items and all urban consumers, is 167.1. These CPI

values are provided by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE 5.—CONSUMER PRICE INDICES

Average CPI for	CPI
1992	140.3
August 1999	167.1

TABLE 6.—CURRENT MAXIMUM CCT KIT PURCHASE PRICE

Applicable engine model year	1992 maximum purchase price	August 1999 maximum purchase price
1991–1993 50-state	\$12,373	\$14,736
1988–1990 Calif & 1990 fed	12,232	14,569
1988–1989 fed	10,880	12,958

JM, in a letter to EPA dated October 22, 1999, guarantees to make CCT Upgrade kits available to all affected urban bus operators for no more than the maximum August 1999 purchase prices shown in Table 6.

V. Impact on Transit Operator

Today's **Federal Register** notice announces that the JM CCT Upgrade Kit is certified to comply with the optional life cycle cost criteria of the Urban Bus Rebuild Program. EPA has reviewed the available information and comments received to determine that there is adequate demonstration of compliance with the life cycle cost criteria of 40 CFR 85.1403(b) and 85.1407(a).

Affected urban bus operators who choose to comply with compliance program 1 are currently required to use equipment certified to meet the 0.10 g/bhp-hr PM standard. As discussed above, this current requirement has been previously triggered by certification of equipment supplied by the Engelhard Corporation.

The Johnson Matthey CCT kit has already been certified to comply with the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard and can be used by all operators towards compliance with the current urban bus program requirements. Operators that choose to comply with compliance program 2 and use this equipment would claim the PM certification level for the CCT kit (0.10 g/bhp-hr) when calculating their Fleet Level Attained (FLA).

If the current trigger of the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard becomes ineffective, then certification of JM's CCT Upgrade Kit on the basis of life cycle cost would trigger program requirements for bus operators that have chosen to comply with program 1, to use equipment certified to the 0.10 g/bhp-hr standard when

applicable engines are rebuilt or replaced. The requirement would be effective for any applicable engine rebuilt or replaced six months after September 15, 2000.

Dated: September 8, 2000.

Robert Perciasepe,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 00–23776 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS–140286; FRL–6741–7]

Access to Confidential Business Information by SecTek Incorporated

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized its contractor SecTek, Incorporated of Herndon, Virginia access to information which has been submitted to EPA under all sections of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Access to the confidential data submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA occurred as a result of an approved waiver dated August 2, 2000, which requested granting SecTek immediate access to all sections of TSCA CBI. This waiver was necessary to allow SecTek to maintain the day-to-day operations of all security hardware, access controls, and alarm equipment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara A. Cunningham, Acting Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution

Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 554–1404, TDD: (202) 554–0551; e-mail address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Does this Notice Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to “those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).” Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

II. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document or Other Related Documents?

Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov/>. To access this document, on the Home Page select “Laws and Regulations”, “Regulations and Proposed Rules,” and then look up the entry for this document under the “**Federal Register—Environmental Documents.**” You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

III. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Under contract number 68–W–00–104, contractor SecTek, Incorporated of 208 Eden St., Suite 201, Herndon, VA, will assist the Office of Pollution

Prevention and Toxics (OPPTS) by maintaining the day-to-day operations of all security hardware, access controls, and alarm equipment.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 68-W-00-104, SecTek will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract.

SecTek personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under all sections of TSCA that EPA may provide SecTek access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters.

SecTek will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at EPA Headquarters only, in accordance with the EPA *TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual*.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until June 30, 2005.

SecTek personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection,
Confidential business information.

Dated: September 6, 2000.

Allan S. Abramson,

*Director, Information Management Division,
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.*

[FR Doc. 00-23781 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6610-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information, (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed September 04, 2000 Through September 08, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000315, Draft EIS, TVA, TN, Future Water Supply Needs in the Upper Duck River Basin, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Bedford, Marshall, Maury and

Williamson Counties, TN, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Linda B. Oxendine (865) 632-3440.

EIS No. 000316, Draft Supplement, BLM, NV, Betze-Post Project, Updated Information, Dewatering Operations and a Proposed Pipeline, Elko and Eureka Counties, NV, Due: November 14, 2000, Contact: Kirk Laird (775) 753-0272.

EIS No. 000317, Draft Supplement, COE, MS, Yazoo Basin Reformulation Study, Supplement No: 1 To the 1982 Yazoo Area Pump Project, Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Yazoo Basin, MS and LA, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Gary Young (601) 631-5960.

EIS No. 000318, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, El Luky Duk Gold Suction Dredging, Proposal to Mine Gold, Plan-of-Operation, Implementation, Nez Perce National Forest, Red River Ranger District, ID, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Kevin Martin (208) 842-2245.

EIS No. 000319, Draft EIS, BOR, NM, Rio Granda and Low Flow Conveyance Channel Modification Channel System, From Rio Grande Valley between San Acacia Diversion Dam, NM and the Narrows of Elephant Butte Reservoir, NM, Due: November 07, 2000, Contact: Chris Gorbach (505) 348-5351.

EIS No. 000320, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Chugach National Forest, Proposed Revised Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Glacier, Seward and Cordora Ranger Districts, Kenai Peninsula Borough, AK, Due: October 30, 2000, Contact: Dave Gibbons (907) 271-2500.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 000286, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Lassen Volcanic National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Lassen, Plumas, Shasta and Tehama Counties, CA, Due: October 31, 2000, Contact: Alan Schmierer (415) 427-1441.

Published FR-8-25-00, Correction to agency from GSA to NPS.

Dated: September 12, 2000.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-23809 Filed 9-14-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6610-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FRA-A54035-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic—Maglev Deployment Program, Development and Construction of an Operating Public Transportation System using Magnetic Levitation, Grants Issuance, CA, FL, GA, LA, MD, NV and PA.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns relating to disclosure of the existing state of knowledge regarding the safety and health effects and general operating characteristics of Maglev technology.

ERP No. D-FTA-L54004-WA Rating LO, Sound Transit, Lakewood-to-Tacoma Commuter Rail and WA-512 Park and Ride Expansion, Construction and Operation, Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, City of Tacoma and City of Lakewood, WA.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the action as proposed.

ERP No. D-NPS-K60030-CA Rating EC2, Legislative EIS—Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Homeland, To Establish a Permanent Tribal Land Base and Related Cooperative Activities, The Transfer of Federal Land and Acquisition of Private Land, Death Valley National Park, Saline Valley, CA and Lida Ranch near Lida, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the range of alternatives and the lack of information on the reasonably foreseeable future development (RFFD) on proposed lands for transfer in trust. EPA requested more information on RFFD, that the alternatives section criteria be included in the body of the document, that alternatives be presented in comparative format, and that the Tribal and Federal agencies commit to the development of a comprehensive plan in the Record of Decision (ROD).

ERP No. DR-COE-K90029-CA Rating EC2, Delta Wetlands Project,